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China’s Production Costs are Steadily Decreasing, Year after Year
FOREWORD FROM THE SWISS AMBASSADOR IN CHINA

Switzerland and China enjoy strong, constructive and intensifying ties across a wide range of areas. Sino-Swiss exchanges date back centuries and were invigorated through Switzerland’s recognition of the People’s Republic of China in January 1950. We are now one of the first Western states to celebrate 65 years of bilateral relations with China.

Very much driving this relationship is the commercial acumen of our people. China has become the largest trade partner of Switzerland in Asia. The Sino-Swiss Free Trade Agreement is the first such arrangement between China and a top 20 economy. More exciting milestones lie ahead, ranging from our participation in the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank as a founding member to the imminent creation of an offshore Renminbi market in Zurich.

From the early days of Schindler’s first Sino-foreign joint venture in China to the present, Swiss companies have contributed greatly to our prosperous relations. They now employ over 200,000 people in China and are a standard-bearer for high quality and technology, precision, reliability and overall product excellence.

Recent somewhat tumultuous events and weaker activity data have reminded us of the dynamics underlying China’s current stage of economic development. But let us take a step back from equity-price movements, macroeconomic output indicators and policy interventions and look at the larger picture. A bigger economy translates into more output than during the double-digit growth years, while an expanding middle class will lead to new sales prospects.

In fact, the report you are holding in your hands calls for continued optimism. Swiss companies mostly attribute their success in China to the quality of their products and services. Compared to companies from other countries, they are less concerned about their innovation capability, have higher sales expectations in China and penetrate the Chinese market in proportionally larger numbers. Moreover, around three-quarters of them reported that their Chinese operations were profitable at the end of 2014 and plan to increase investments against the backdrop of higher sales and steady profit expectations in 2015.

The Swiss Government will continue to attach great importance to the Sino-Swiss economic relationship, support its expansion and monitor the implementation of the Free Trade Agreement. By whatever measure you are looking at the Chinese business environment, I hope this report will serve as a helpful overview and enable a most profitable decision.

Jean-Jacques de Dardel
Ambassador of Switzerland to the People’s Republic of China
THE RESEARCH TEAM

Nicolas Musy holds a MSc. in Physics Engineering from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne (www.epfl.ch). He has won his university’s first Special Alumni Award for demonstrating outstanding innovative and entrepreneurial spirit. He has also been the China Coordinator for EPFL since 2006.

Exclusively involved in China trade, investment, strategy and project management, he has resided in the Shanghai area since 1988. He co-founded the first Swiss industrial SME in China, Suzhou 2-ply Co. Ltd (www.2-ply.com) and is the co-owner of LX Precision (www.LXprecision.com).

Founding Partner of China Integrated (Shanghai) Co. ltd. (www.ch-ina.com), Nicolas has successfully supported a number of multinationals and over 300 mid-sized companies on market entry, operations management and restructuring in the past 20 years.

China Integrated is a solution provider dedicated to supporting international companies in successfully establishing and developing their businesses in China, whether their needs are market entry, growth or acquisition. Building on 20 years of experience and the skills of internationally trained Chinese professionals, China Integrated provides the expertise needed to ensure the long-term, superior success of foreign businesses in China. China Integrated's team is comprised of about 25 skilled entrepreneurs, strategists, managers, engineers and service professionals with complementary backgrounds in business research, Chinese law, recruitment, tax, finance, IT, ERP and PR.

China Integrated has successfully served about 300 International firms, large and small, with innovative solutions and cost-effective best practices developed through its decades of experience in Shanghai, Beijing, Hong Kong and Mongolia.

Based on company research and experience, China Integrated regularly publishes books and analyses to facilitate the decision making of managers at international companies doing business in China. In 2007, China Integrated conducted the first business survey of Swiss companies in China, “Behind the China Kaleidoscope”, highlighting success factors of local Swiss companies and offering a comprehensive roadmap for those planning to do business and operate in China. This was followed in 2009 by an analysis of human resources practices, the “China HR Paradox”, identified as the key challenge and success factor in the 2007 research. Then, in collaboration with CEIBS, “2010 Doing Business in China: A Survey of European Companies” was published, integrating both analysis and contributions from experts. The success of this publication led to yearly surveys and this 2015 Business in China Survey, which analyzes the business landscape for foreign companies in China.

You can find more information on www.ch-ina.com.
Zhen Xiao is the General Manager of Swiss Center Shanghai. Mr. Xiao obtained his engineering education from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. He then worked in Singapore and in Switzerland for more than 13 years as an engineer, researcher, and manager. He has been working with the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne since 2005 as China Relation Coordinator. Over the past 5 years, he has supported and advised many Swiss companies in business development in China, and successfully expanded the Swiss Center cluster with the new facilities and services.

Founded in 2000, Swiss Center Shanghai (SCS) is a non-profit organization supporting Swiss companies in business set-up, expansion and operations in China. SCS not only offers instant workshop, showroom, warehouse, and office space, but also supports its members with government relations and a comprehensive network of experts. Together with its service partners, SCS has served more than 250 companies in China – SMEs and large enterprises alike. SCS is by far the largest cluster of Swiss companies in Asia, with 30 Swiss companies in one industrial park (SHXIP) accounting for over 50'000 sqm of industrial space.

Since 2014 Swiss Center has operated the Machinery, Trading and Business Center in the China (Shanghai) Pilot Free Trade Zone where 4000 sqm is dedicated to Swiss businesses.

Anthony DeOrsey is PR and marketing manager at China Integrated (Shanghai) Co. ltd. (www.ch-ina.com). Anthony has spent 5 years in China and specializes in marketing, PR, and branding, with ground-level experience in China-based start-ups. A key player in the beginning stages of two startups in Shanghai, Anthony was most recently as the head of marketing and PR for one of China’s first sustainable daily use brands. In 2010, Anthony graduated with a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science and Communications Studies from the University of Rhode Island and is currently pursuing a part-time Master’s Degree in Sustainability through the Harvard distance education program.

Aline Ballaman is the Deputy General Manager of the Swiss Center Shanghai. Aline holds a Bachelor’s degree in Business and Administration from La Chaux-de-Fonds. After 8-years of management experience in the tourism and watch industries in Switzerland, she came to China in 2011 and enrolled in a 1-year Chinese language program at Suzhou University before joining the Swiss Center Shanghai in 2012 as Operation Manager. For the past three years, she has been responsible for the Shanghai Office in advising and supporting Swiss businesses entering the Chinese market. In early 2014, she successfully managed a new 4000 sqm facilities project dedicated to Swiss businesses complete with a showroom, offices, and a warehouse in the China (Shanghai) Pilot Free Trade Zone.

Oliver Berger is a Swiss Citizen studying Economics at University of Basel, Switzerland. In 2015, he joined Swiss Center Shanghai, China as a Project Assistant for a period of three months where he actively contributed to the Business Survey 2015.
PREFACE & KEY TAKEAWAY

In cooperation with the China Europe International Business School (CEIBS) (http://www.ceibs.edu/), the Swiss Center Shanghai (http://www.swisscenters.org/), swissnex China (http://www.swissnexchina.org/en/), SwissCham (http://cn.swisscham.org/) and China Integrated are pleased to bring you the findings of Swiss respondents who participated in the CEIBS Business in China Survey 2015. In analyzing these responses, we hope to draw conclusions that will be useful for Swiss companies and their activities in China.

This latest CEIBS survey is of particular interest not only for its findings but also because:

- With 780 responses, this survey gathers the largest amount of respondents in one such yearly survey (by comparison, 541 companies participated in the 2015 EU Chamber survey and 377 in the 2015 American Chamber in Shanghai survey).

- It is the only survey that collects responses from both Chinese and foreign companies in China. Furthermore, this year’s edition contains a rare analysis of international and Chinese SMEs.

- This survey allows comparisons among firms of different national origins, based on a sufficient number of replies from each region.

This is the only business survey that is able to compare a sizeable sub-sample of foreign and Chinese SMEs in China. Though just around 40 foreign (Swiss, EU, US) companies employing 300 employees or less globally (classified as SMEs) answered the survey, this sample and a sample of 150 Chinese SMEs show that SMEs are generally more successful in China than larger firms and explores the probable reasons for this success.

For the Swiss business community, it is for the third time possible to understand how similarly Swiss, European and American companies perceive their China environment.

As a result, some findings on European and American companies can also be used to estimate and confirm Swiss companies’ trends. Globally speaking, Swiss, European, and American companies in China are doing well. On average, Swiss and EU companies have experienced an increase in profits, and American companies saw only a slight downturn in 2014. The confidence level of Swiss companies in 2015 continues its upward trend that began in 2012.

65% of Swiss, EU, and US companies stated an intention to increase investments in China in 2015. All foreign companies are united in expecting better sales and profitability.
Challenges are growing as well. Increasing competition from Chinese companies is again the most important external challenge. For the second year in a row, competition from private Chinese companies is perceived to be more important than from other foreign companies, regardless of specific nationality. R&D has increased as a priority for Chinese businesses; an initiative that one can see reflected in the shift toward quality from Chinese SMEs and continued strength of Chinese companies as competitors in general.

In terms of internal challenges, as always, attracting top talent tops the list of foreign companies’ and Chinese SMEs’ managers, however, larger Chinese companies see innovation as their biggest challenge.

Managers who are facing the market in China see different challenges than managers in the headquarters. Like last year, lack of understanding and support from the head office is a top management challenge. This illustrates the continuing perception gap between head offices and their subsidiaries operating in China.

In addition to the common misperception reported in the media that Swiss and foreign companies lack success in China because of slowing growth, we find a general overestimation of the impacts that corruption and IP infringements have on companies in China. In fact, corruption and IP infringements ranked in the bottom third of reported external challenges by respondent companies.

In addition to an analysis of key answers from Swiss companies in China, an analysis of China’s cost of production, which has been in decline since 2011 despite rising wages, is included. This analysis highlights the paradoxical situation that manufacturers experience in China and the opportunities for businesses moving forward.

Hopefully, this survey will dispel certain misperceptions and highlight the actual situation that Swiss and international businesses face in China. Additionally, we hope that these facts, analyses and the benchmarks that they provide will help Swiss companies to become even more successful in the coming years.

We also want to express our sincere gratitude to all the respondents: thanks to them, a representative and objective point of view on Sino-Swiss business is available to all. At the same time, we would like to take the chance to encourage everyone to participate in the 2016 survey, so that we can further expand the knowledge pool available and continue to do successful business in China.

*The first part of this report emphasizes Swiss companies, while the overall results of the CEIBS survey and analysis of foreign and Chinese companies are presented in the second part of the report.*
FOREIGN COMPANIES, AND SMES IN PARTICULAR, CONTINUE FINDING BETTER SUCCESS IN CHINA

Approximately 15% of foreign respondents can be classified as small and medium enterprises (SMEs), defined as companies with less than 300 employees globally, close to 50% are large companies with over 10’000 employees worldwide. SMEs are even more prevalent among Chinese companies sampled, with 37% qualifying as SMEs in contrast to 23% with over 10’000 employees. 
(A significant proportion of Chinese companies sampled did not have operations abroad, as a result, Chinese SMEs have been defined according to the number of their employees in China.)

Of the foreign companies sampled, Swiss companies present the largest proportion of SMEs, totaling nearly 24% of Swiss respondents. By comparison, other western SMEs are much less represented with only 15% of European and 8% of American companies employing less than 300 employees.

It cannot be fully confirmed that the firms who responded to the survey represent the full scope of all Swiss, European and American businesses. (Small Swiss companies could have responded in a higher proportion than EU and US companies, therefore presenting a biased picture of a larger proportion of Swiss SMEs.)

Yet, these results are consistent with the tendency of Swiss SMEs, due to the small size of their domestic market, to be more adapted and geared towards internationalization than other SMEs.

Taken together, the sample of around 40 foreign SMEs that answered the survey is of a similar size as the samples in 2013 and 2014. This sample is still small, but it allows for comparisons with larger foreign companies. Considering that the proportion of the 2015 SME respondents from different countries and other 2015 findings are generally consistent with those of 2014 and 2013, we can be confident in the relevance of the data despite small samples.

This is the first time, as far as we know, that comparisons have been made between foreign invested and Chinese SMEs within the same survey. Indeed, this year’s survey includes a respectable total of 150 Chinese SMEs (37% of all Chinese respondents). What’s more, Chinese respondents boasted the highest level of respondents by positions in their companies (53% CEO, GM, or owner and 32% VP, director, vice GM), offering an unique insight on the situation that managers of Chinese SMEs are facing.
Revenues, profits, investments and confidence

FIGURE 1 – HOW MANY EMPLOYEES GLOBALLY?

FIGURE 2 – HOW MANY EMPLOYEES DOES YOUR COMPANY HAVE IN CHINA?
1.1 China continues to evolve into a venue for SME success

Foreign SMEs in China are seeing higher percentages of their global sales generated in China. Only 8% of large foreign firms expect more than half of their sales to be generated in China, whereas 33% of SMEs expect 51% or more of their sales to be generated in China.

**FIGURE 3 – WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR COMPANY’S EXPECTED GLOBAL SALES IN 2014 WERE GENERATED IN CHINA? FOREIGN COMPANIES**

- More than 90%: 2% (FOR +300 n=214), 12% (FOR SME n=33)
- 51-90%: 6% (FOR +300 n=214), 21% (FOR SME n=33)
- 31-50%: 9% (FOR +300 n=214), 15% (FOR SME n=33)
- 11-30%: 37% (FOR +300 n=214), 39% (FOR SME n=33)
- 2-10%: 30% (FOR +300 n=214), 30% (FOR SME n=33)
- Less than 2%: 8% (FOR +300 n=214), 8% (FOR SME n=33)
While all companies see their sales and profits improving on average in 2015, respondents from **foreign and Chinese SMEs see both sales and profits increasing significantly faster than their counterparts in larger firms**. 73% of foreign SMEs are expecting higher 2015 profits in China versus 52% of the larger foreign firms. Though less pronounced, the trend on the Chinese side is the same with 79% of SMEs expecting better profits against 66% for larger firms.

In terms of sales growth, the picture is also uneven: **more SMEs are looking forward to higher sales than larger firms**, and no foreign SMEs expect decreases in sales, while just 3% of Chinese companies do expect decreases in sales.

There are similarities in terms of sales for foreign and Chinese SMEs: 83% of foreign SMEs expect sales increases versus 82% of their Chinese counterparts. Large companies’ sales expectations are nearly as close, with 74% of foreign companies and 77% of Chinese companies expecting sales growth.

However, one needs to note that Chinese firms, both large and small have a larger proportion of rapidly growing companies and companies expecting fast profit growth (the "substantially higher" portion of the columns for Chinese firms are always bigger than for foreign companies).
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FIGURE 5 – HOW DO YOU EXPECT YOUR COMPANY’S CHINA SALES FOR 2015 COMPARED TO 2014? SME VS LARGER FIRMS

- Substantially higher (more than 15%)
- Higher (from 3% to 15%)
- Almost the same (from -2% to 2%)
- Lower (from -3% to -15%)
- Substantially lower (less than -15%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SME (n=29)</th>
<th>FOR +300 (n=191)</th>
<th>PRC SME (n=120)</th>
<th>PRC +300 (n=206)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substantially higher</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almost the same</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially lower</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2 Fewer challenges for SMEs

When examining how SMEs and larger companies perceive challenges, few critical differences can be noticed with one exception: Chinese SMEs do suffer more than their foreign counterparts from rising labor costs and competition, indicating that they have lower margins or are less organized in improving their productivity. Improving productivity is a new imperative due to rising minimum wages and lower production prices (see appended analysis).

It is also worth noting that Chinese SMEs appear to feel much less of an impact from the global economic slowdown, indicating more local orientation of activities by Chinese SMEs.

One may note additionally that foreign SMEs perceive the top 3 challenges with less intensity than the larger foreign firms (47% versus 60-66%). This also confirms that SMEs are conducting business with more ease. A seemingly lower level of competition and challenges for SMEs than larger firms could be due to SMEs’ very nature of doing business: focusing on niches. Indeed, China’s mass market is very attractive, but therefore also terribly competitive, niches, however, are less crowded.

This is generally confirmed by the competition picture (pg 14): there are not fundamental differences between SMEs and larger firms with the exception that more SMEs have few to no major competitors, which is typical of niche businesses.
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FIGURE 7 – WHAT ARE THE GREATEST EXTERNAL CHALLENGES FOR YOUR COMPANY? LARGER FIRMS (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

- Fierce competition: 66% (FOR+300) vs. 69% (PRC+500)
- Rising labor cost: 61% (FOR+300) vs. 65% (PRC+500)
- Economy slowdown in China: 55% (FOR+300) vs. 60% (PRC+500)
- Government & legal environment: 41% (FOR+300) vs. 28% (PRC+500)
- Slow global economy: 24% (FOR+300) vs. 20% (PRC+500)
- Rising raw material prices: 19% (FOR+300) vs. 13% (PRC+500)
- IP infringements: 14% (FOR+300) vs. 9% (PRC+500)
- RMB appreciation: 13% (FOR+300) vs. 10% (PRC+500)
- Corruption: 12% (FOR+300) vs. 6% (PRC+500)
- Other: 3% (FOR+300) vs. 1% (PRC+500)
A pronounced difference is found between foreign and Chinese companies with regards to competition from state-owned enterprises (SOEs), with Chinese companies of all sizes viewing SOEs as competitors markedly more than foreign companies do. This may be attributable to restrictions in certain industries that prevent foreign companies from operating in some of the areas where state-owned companies are active. The fact that the Chinese SMEs in this survey are particularly local may also explain the severe competition that they feel from SOEs, which are also mostly concentrated on local activities.
This year again, Chinese private companies are regarded as the most imposing competitors, while Wholly Owned Foreign Enterprises (WOFEs) are seen by other foreign companies as a very close second largest source of competition.

Internal challenges do not differ greatly between SMEs and larger companies, differences are seen more so in the magnitude with which these challenges are felt and gaps between advantages specific to either foreign or Chinese businesses. “Support from the head office” is of greater concern to large foreign firms than SMEs, suggesting that foreign SME management in China is more nimble and adaptable to local trends. “Corporate governance” and “employees' unethical behavior” rank higher for SMEs of foreign and Chinese origin, potentially related to a lag in reaction time by smaller companies to the recent anticorruption campaign (see more about how corruption is perceived in section 3.1).

“Innovation capability” is an issue especially present for Chinese companies. Innovation capability is actually the top challenge for large Chinese companies, whereas Chinese SMEs are struggling in this area less than larger enterprises are. However, “finding and retaining talent” is listed by Chinese SMEs as the top challenge by far.
Section 4 of this survey offers a more in-depth analysis of internal challenges for companies of all sizes.
In terms of success factors, foreign companies clearly compete on quality, whereas Chinese companies use a combination of high price-quality ratio and service. However, Chinese companies still place a heavy emphasis on R&D.

**FIGURE 12 – PLEASE CHOOSE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS FOR YOUR SALES IN CHINA: SME (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**

**FIGURE 13 – PLEASE CHOOSE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS FOR YOUR SALES IN CHINA: LARGER FIRMS (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**
2 STABLE CONFIDENCE CLIMATE: INCREASING REVENUES, PROFITS AND INVESTMENTS ACROSS THE BOARD

The majority of Swiss companies are still expecting higher profits in 2015. The outlook for Swiss companies is optimistic in 2015, as the coming data will demonstrate.

FIGURE 14 – HOW DO YOU EXPECT YOUR COMPANY’S CHINA PROFIT? CH COMPANIES
Sales growth is comparable to previous years: on average, companies of all origins surveyed expect a stronger increase in sales in 2015 compared to 2014.

This result may appear extraordinary in light of the many media reports commenting on China’s decelerating growth and the occasional catastrophic predictions (recently those related to the stock market crash). However, it is important to remember that decelerating growth on a larger GDP base results in the addition of more GDP than previous years. Indeed, what has been dubbed by the current administration as the “new normal” of single-digit growth generates a consistent increase in sales and profit from all companies, both foreign and Chinese. This also explains that most businesses remain confident in the business opportunities that China offers.
The answers of Swiss (CH) and European (EU) respondents are tightly matched both on revenue and profits, with respondents from these regions stating increasingly profitable operations yearly for the past 3 years. American and Chinese respondents cite relatively consistent yet slightly lower profitability compared to the previous year. Still, more US and Chinese firms report being "profitable" and "very profitable" than do EU and Swiss companies.

2.1  **Investment plans in China naturally follow profits and remain a top priority**

Based on the profit and revenue picture, it is not surprising to see that growing investment plans and investments in China command a high priority.

72% of the Swiss companies surveyed plan to increase investment in China in 2015 and 64% consider China to be a top 3 investment destination.

**Chinese firms are clearly the most aggressive investors**, planning to accelerate their investments significantly in 2015 compared to 2014.
FIGURE 17 – WHAT INVESTMENT DO YOU PLAN FOR 2015 IN CHINA?
FIGURE 18 – HOW DOES CHINA RANK IN YOUR GLOBAL INVESTMENT PLAN? CH COMPANIES

- 2013: 28% Number 1 priority, 40% Number 2 or 3 priority, 1% Number 1 or 2 priority
- 2014: 32% Number 1 priority, 46% Number 2 or 3 priority, 1% Number 1 or 2 priority
- 2015: 22% Number 1 priority, 42% Number 2 or 3 priority, 5% Number 1 or 2 priority

Note: CH refers to Chinese companies.
2.2 Swiss respondents remain the most confident

Swiss firms, while showing less optimism for the coming 5 years, remain confident in their success over the next year. A variety of reasons are possible as to why the Swiss would feel less optimism for the coming 5 years, nonetheless, investment increases are still expected from the Swiss, demonstrating an absence of substantial hesitancy.
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European companies are less confident than in last year’s survey, confidence for the next 5 years is even lower than in 2013. American companies are more confident than their EU and Chinese counterparts in both categories, and falling only slightly behind the Swiss in absolute confidence ratings (companies are surveyed on a 1-10 scale).

In comparison, Chinese companies are surprisingly less confident in 2015 than in previous years. Despite a sharp increase last year, Chinese companies’ confidence for the next year has now decreased, and confidence in the coming 5 years has continued on a downward trend. This statement seems to contradict those stated earlier, that Chinese companies plan more investment and better sales in 2015. One interpretation of this statement is that while business is good for Chinese companies, the market continues to become more sophisticated, with competition intensifying (see section 4) and margins decreasing.

In Figure 21, we can see the trend of confidence for American companies in China for the next year and the next 5 years. The confidence rating for the next year has decreased from 2013 to 2015, while the confidence for the next 5 years is relatively stable.
FIGURE 22 – HOW CONFIDENT ARE YOU THAT YOUR OPERATION IN CHINA WILL BE SUCCESSFUL IN THE NEXT YEAR, AND IN THE NEXT 5 YEARS? CN COMPANIES
3 A FREQUENT PERCEPTION GAP ON THE REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Corruption & legal environment

When asked if corruption is significant in China in general, a sizeable proportion (32%, nearly 1 in 3) of Swiss companies sees it as a “serious problem”. However, when asked how serious corruption is in their industry, around 76% of the respondents see it as a “moderate” or “minor problem”. Only 15% (1 out of 7) Swiss companies say that corruption is a serious problem in their industry. Interestingly, the picture is very similar for other foreign companies as well as for Chinese companies.
These paradoxical answers highlight a critical phenomenon. On one hand, there is the general sentiment that “China is corrupt”, a belief fueled by foreign media reports of scandals and countless anecdotes of official misdoings.

On the other hand, there is the reality of doing business in China, where corruption is one of many issues that managers deal with, though not the most important one as shown by the low ranking of corruption in terms of challenges (See Section 4).

In terms of evolution, the level of corruption seems to be improving, but modestly and only since 2014.
When asked about the importance of relationships with authorities, only Chinese companies reported a significant decrease in importance from last year. This may indicate that the anti-corruption drive is having more of an effect among Chinese companies, which may also be the case because Chinese companies tend to rely more on relationships with authorities than foreign companies do.

**FIGURE 25 – HOW IMPORTANT ARE THE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE AUTHORITIES?**
3.2 Perception of Chinese government

Companies of all demographics surveyed cited “unclear, changing or inconsistent laws and regulations” as the top concern regarding the Chinese government and legal environment. Swiss and EU companies are more concerned than American companies about “macroeconomic policy adjustment”, and Chinese companies even more so. Concerns over corruption are equal amongst foreign respondents, whereas Chinese respondents see this as a lesser concern. One could interpret this sentiment as indicating more flexibility for Chinese companies when dealing with corruption.

![Figure 26 – What are your main concerns regarding the Chinese government and the legal environment?](image)

3.3 Reforms 2.0: first results

However, concerns over unclear and changing regulations may be eased over time as the effects and benefits of these changes become more apparent. From the chart below, one sees that a significant portion of foreign companies surveyed are simply not sure whether they benefit from new reforms or not. Chinese companies are more positive about recent government reforms, with 27% reporting tangible benefits from reforms, a pronounced difference from the percentages that foreign companies report.

This illustrates the fact that while the reforms initiated in the 1980s aimed at attracting foreign investments, the new set of reforms underway clearly intend to support the development of domestic companies.
This is confirmed by the fact that Chinese companies state the most optimism regarding perceived future benefits from reforms, Swiss companies state the least certainty in this area, with 67% unsure about potential future benefits.
3.4 Innovation and intellectual property

Swiss companies overwhelmingly report increased innovations in new and existing product lines. Improvements in processes and services are of comparable importance for Swiss and EU companies. **Chinese respondents place a heavier emphasis on innovation of management techniques, possibly because they lag behind in this respect and can make quick gains from improvements in management.**

![Figure 29 - What types of innovations have you introduced since the beginning of 2014?](image)
Intellectual property (IP) protection is improving according to the majority of all companies surveyed, although, less than 50% of Swiss companies report an improvement.

A strong misperception that foreign companies are severely affected by intellectual property infringements in China also prevails in their home countries. While it is true that IP infringements occur in China more than elsewhere, the damage they generate is not as serious as one would expect.

Moreover, Chinese companies suffer slightly more from IP infringement when compared to their foreign counterparts. This invalidates the widely held perception that IP is stolen specifically only by Chinese from foreigners. The reality is that IP is being stolen from companies of all countries on a similar scale.
Interestingly enough, companies of all origins consistently report increasing damages, though only slightly so. While the corruption situation seems to be improving and the majority of respondents see government actions in IP protection as having improved, the reforms applied to the legal and IP environment have not yet reduced damages to businesses from infringement.

### 4 CHALLENGES TO OVERCOME FOR SUCCESS

The key internal challenge remains finding and retaining talent. Human resources management remains a central element for success, as it has been since this survey began 8 years ago. Yet, the HR challenge now appears to be easing: just more than 60% of Swiss companies mention it this year while nearly 80% mentioned it a year before. Slower economic growth is certainly a factor in the softening of HR challenges with many employees more carefully considering job changes.

“Support from the head office” has slid from the second to the fourth most challenging internal issues for Swiss companies, a significant difference from last year when over 30% of Swiss companies listed this as a key challenge. In the meantime, marketing and innovation capabilities have grown in importance, indicating once more the growing sophistication and competitiveness of the market.
Innovation capability presents the most striking difference between Chinese and foreign companies in reported challenges, mentioned by 51% of Chinese respondents, while only 21% to 40% of the international firms reported innovation as an internal challenge.

In terms of external challenges, when compared to last year, competition is further intensifying while “economy slowdown” has become the second most important external challenge.

Concern over labor costs has receded as much as 10% for some companies (Chinese and American the most), while worries over a slowing economy have jumped up nearly 10% for all but EU companies, whose sentiments have not changed since last year.
4.1 HR issues in general remain management's top internal priority.

When looking specifically at HR management challenges, last year’s survey had nearly 60% of respondents of Swiss firms mentioning “Rising labor costs” as an issue, down from 80% in 2013, in 2015 this decrease has continued to 49%.

However, retaining employees has remained an issue for 40% of Swiss companies, with no change since last year.
FIGURE 34 – WHAT ARE THE MAJOR HUMAN RESOURCE ISSUES FACING YOUR COMPANY IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)
To deal with the critical HR issue and in order to retain employees, “pay(ing) above the market” is not the main solution used by foreign companies. “Offering a good career path” and “develop(ing) a feeling of belonging” appear to foreign and Chinese companies alike as more efficient tools for retaining employees, as these options offer opportunities for promotions and the prospect of substantial salary improvements. Still, Chinese companies use “pay above market” and “stock plans” as important means to retain employees. However, providing a “system of rewards and recognitions” has increased in importance for foreign companies compared to previous years.

Swiss companies stand out by considering a “good relationship with boss” and “coaching and mentoring” as efficient tools to retain employees.

**FIGURE 35 – WHAT MEASURES DO YOU FIND MOST EFFICIENT IN RETAINING EMPLOYEES? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**
4.2 Labor costs

Swiss and EU companies are hiring the least and decreasing the most labor. Quite interestingly, Chinese companies are hiring the most and at the same time increasing wages most (see chart below) while still stating the most concern over labor costs compared to international firms.

This paradox may be understood when considered along with the innovation challenge strongly perceived by domestic firms. Indeed, their ability to offset the rising cost of labor by productivity innovations (be they technical or others) make them more vulnerable to rapidly increasing salaries.
From the chart below, we see that Chinese companies are increasing wages of their employees more significantly than foreign companies.

This may explain the bigger challenge that domestic firms face in terms of retaining employees and from a need to catch up and pay salaries comparable to those offered by foreign companies in order to be competitive.

4.3 Chinese competitors’ advantages

International companies in China perceive local private players to be their greatest competitors, closely followed by other foreign companies, a continuation of the trend witnessed beginning in last year's survey and a clear indication that domestic players are rapidly advancing in capability.
This perspective is shared by Chinese companies that see Chinese private companies as their most important competitors by far.

It appears in the chart below that, for foreign and Swiss companies particularly, the very competitive landscape is once more highlighted by the fact that “pricing”, “cost advantages”, and “government relations & network (guanxi)” are clearly perceived as the competitors’ main advantages.

The perception is very different for Chinese companies however, who see the main advantages of their competitors to be “technology” and “product” as well as “brand recognition”, once more highlighting that foreign companies compete on quality, brand recognition and technology to maintain their margins and positions.

An interesting development amongst Chinese companies is the stark decrease in reported government relationship advantages for Chinese competitors; last year over 40% of Chinese companies surveyed stated this as competitors’ advantage, this year only 10% state the same. Comparable too, is the result that “unethical behavior” was listed by nearly 15% of Chinese companies as an advantage for Chinese competitors last year, this number decreased to 3% in 2015. This does indicate that the ongoing “War on Corruption” is already proving to be effective among Chinese companies.
Despite a more level playing field, competition from private Chinese companies is only likely to become fiercer as the Chinese government is due to support the private sector with more incentives as part of its economic reform agenda.
4.4 **The key success factors: superior product quality and human resources**

It is not surprising in this very competitive environment that the key factors of success cited are first “quality of the products/services” and human resources (HR) considerations, specifically “employee selection and training” and “quality of the management team.” This is naturally coherent with HR being singled out as the greatest management challenge.

The high-end focus is also clearly illustrated by the market segments targeted by the different companies. Foreign players are by far mostly focused on the premium segments.
However, if the chart below is any indication, Chinese companies intend to catch up to foreign companies in their offerings to the premium segment.
The ability of foreign companies to continue competing in the premium segment is unquestionably derived from a continued focus on high quality.
5 RESPONDENT AND COMPANIES’ DEMOGRAPHICS

With 88 Swiss respondents out of the 368 foreign-owned company respondents, the Swiss sample is well represented in the overall CEIBS survey.

Compared to the 254 different companies registered with SwissCham China (not counting separate subsidiaries of the same company), this represents a strong sample of the affiliated Swiss firms in China.

Additionally, with 65% of top managers (Owner/CEO/GM & VP/Vice-GM/Director) answering the survey, the data from Swiss companies is reasonably credible. Respondents are noticeably more senior than those from the American (45%) and European companies (58%). Only Chinese companies’ respondents have a higher percentage of top managers answering (85%).

FIGURE 44 – WHAT IS YOUR POSITION IN THE COMPANY?
5.1 Distribution of Swiss companies in China
5.2 Geographic distribution of respondents

When looking at the location of respondents, one sees generally similar distributions among Swiss, European and American companies. In comparison, Chinese companies are slightly more spread out, citing 24% of operations in non-coastal regions, the highest proportion of all respondent groups.
5.3 Years in the market

With regards to establishment year, one can again note similar distributions among Swiss, European and American companies.
FIGURE 48 – WHEN WAS YOUR COMPANY ESTABLISHED IN CHINA?
CH COMPANIES (N=86)

- Before 1979: 19%
- 1980-1989: 11%
- 1990-1999: 11%
- 2000-2009: 24%
- After 2010: 36%

FIGURE 48 – WHEN WAS YOUR COMPANY ESTABLISHED IN CHINA?
EU COMPANIES (N=203)

- Before 1979: 34%
- 1980-1989: 11%
- 1990-1999: 11%
- 2000-2009: 32%
- After 2010: 12%
FIGURE 48 – WHEN WAS YOUR COMPANY ESTABLISHED IN CHINA?
US COMPANIES (N= 78)

- Before 1979: 9%
- 1980-1989: 14%
- 1990-1999: 23%
- 2000-2009: 19%
- After 2010: 35%

FIGURE 48 – WHEN WAS YOUR COMPANY ESTABLISHED IN CHINA?
CN COMPANIES (N= 252)

- Before 1979: 19%
- 1980-1989: 11%
- 1990-1999: 11%
- 2000-2009: 24%
- After 2010: 36%
5.4 Industrial sectors and types of clients

More than half of Swiss firms represented in the survey are manufacturing companies. This is again quite similar to American and European companies, while the Chinese companies answering are more in the service sector (53%).

FIGURE 49 – WHAT IS YOUR COMPANY’S MAIN ACTIVITY IN CHINA?
A similar situation occurs when looking at the customer base: Chinese and American companies are selling significantly more to the consumer segment than the EU respondents, who are more strongly focused on B2B clients, with Swiss companies predominantly in B2B (87% of the Swiss companies in this sample).
While the above already provides good reasons to think that the sample of Swiss respondents to this survey is representative, a general similarity can be found between the Swiss and EU data that gives additional credibility to the results obtained for Swiss companies.

Yet, in this year’s data, US companies demonstrate some significant differences from other foreign companies. This may be explained by the fact that, proportional to respondents of other origins, a much larger number of US respondents are in project management, business development and sales with a lower number of US respondents that are from the top management. Still, since a reasonably large number of European companies – about 152 – report patterns and trends in consistent and similar ways, we believe that the responses of the Swiss (and EU) companies are generally representative of their management’s experience. In addition, the significant differences between Swiss and European firms’ answers do make sense when analyzed along with the current EU economic sluggishness and well-known particularities of Swiss companies.

As a consequence, we are convinced by the general representativeness and value of the results and hope that they will be put to good use by the business community and contribute to even more successful activities.
China's Production Costs are Steadily Decreasing, Year after Year

Despite near double digit salary increases in the past years, China's producer prices are lower than in 2008 and only 10.3% higher than in 1996.

What is happening? What does it mean for international businesses?

Europe, the USA, and Japan are faced with stagnating prices. Surprisingly, the same holds true for China where salaries have been increasing in the double-digits every year.

Moreover, China's business growth, in absolute GDP increase, is twice as large in this decade (2011 to 2015) as it was from 2001 to 2010. (See our previous Analysis)

Therefore, one would assume that production costs are increasing, in line with labor costs and the economy.

Yet, quite paradoxically, China's Producer Price Index (PPI), which records the price of goods when they are sold by the country's factories, has been going down every year since 2011. Recorded by the PPI, the average prices paid to producers in China went down by as much as 4.8% in the first half of 2015, and are forecasted (by UBS) to remain low or continue dropping in the second half of the year. A slow worldwide economy has created a drag on demand, noticeable in the lower producer prices, commodity prices certainly account for the particularly drastic drops in recent months. Nonetheless, lower commodities prices do not explain the steady drop of producers' prices from 2011.

The PPI is today at the level it held in 2008 and, very surprisingly, shows an increase in production costs of only 10.3% since 1996 (which is the base for the index).

This is a critical (and little noticed) element of China's economy, in the current business era when controlling and reducing costs is crucial for businesses' leaders to maintain margins.

Understanding this appears even more important to us today, when uncontrollable external factors often come into play, such as the currency appreciation that Swiss enterprises experienced at the beginning of the year, sending the cost of Swiss products 15% higher on international markets.
How and why is this at all possible?

The explosion in labor costs is not eroding China’s competitiveness

This is a first point to notice, even though from 2006 to 2014, in just 8 years that included the worst economic crisis that the world went through since World War II, China’s average manufacturing wages tripled.
This explosion of labor cost is often mentioned as a defining point in China’s overall economic direction and competitiveness, indicating that Chinese producer advantages have already peaked. International businesses moving operations to new low cost countries or back home are presented as examples of a new trend of China's competitiveness erosion.

Sporadic reports of labor shortages in the coastal areas of China are highlighted to indicate that labor cost increases are due to market forces, which in turn are bringing China’s manufacturing competitiveness to its limits. As a consequence, many believe that the time has come for businesses to look for new, more profit-friendly production locations.

Most of these reports overlook the fact that manufacturing wages increase for a large part because of government ordered increases in minimum salaries, which are part of China’s overall strategy of increasing domestic consumption. Indeed, consumption rises most when those at the lowest salary level get a higher disposable income. The better-off are most likely to save a larger part of their salary. In addition, China's middle class is still today a minority of the consumers, further increasing their buying power will not be the optimal action for increasing the overall consumption in the country.

![Increases in the minimum wage in China 2011-2014](image)

Data source: Asia Pacific Industrial Relations Network

The drastic increases in minimum wages represented above are certainly pushing manufacturing for low-cost, large quantity, labor intensive items (such as mass market cotton t-shirts,
other similar garments, sports shoes, and plush toys) to lower cost destinations such as Cambodia or Bangladesh. In spite of the talk about this move to lower cost countries, we do not find evidence on a macro level.

It is also a fact that international companies are “reshoring.” According to a Boston Consulting Group survey, 21% of US companies in China are relocating production back to the USA or a planning to do so. BCG estimates that at least 200 companies have already moved back.

Japanese multinationals are also making the move. Panasonic’s President announced in January that the company will be relocating most of their home appliance manufacturing out of China and back to Japan.

The primary reason cited for moving production back home is fast increasing labor costs. However, if rising labor cost is the deciding factor, then it would stand to reason that these companies should be moving to lower cost countries such as Vietnam. Indonesia, as an example, has even lower costs than Vietnam (see chart below).

---

Despite the consistent double digit growth of labor costs in China, the inland China wages are still very close to those of Vietnam (as shown below). Anhui, for example, is only a couple of hours away from Shanghai by high-speed train and around 20% higher than Vietnam in terms of labor costs.

Surely other, more determining factors must be at play.

**China's exports continue to gain world market share**

If labor cost increases would be critical to the relocation of productions, one would expect Chinese exports to lose ground. Yet, the opposite is happening.

China's export performance has been stellar. After China’s entry into the World Trade Organization in 2001, its exports increased at a dizzying rate to nearly 1.2 trillion U.S. dollars by 2009, the same year it eclipsed Germany to become the world’s top exporter. China’s global
share of exports expanded from 4.3% to 9.6% in that same time period. Moreover, these increases occurred in both labor-intensive assemblies and heavy industry/high-tech, increasing China’s global share of exports from 17.4% to 32.1% in apparel, 7.5% to 25.9% in furniture, 4.1% to 19.5% in ships, 6.5% to 27.8% in telecom, and 4.9% to 32.6% in office machines and computers.

Since then, China’s export share of the world market continued to expand. The country doubled its exports from approximately USD 100 billion worth of goods per month in 2007 to 200 billion monthly at the end of 2014, consistently outperforming average world export growth. In 2009 China accounted for 9.6% of total world exports (in current US$), while in 2013 it accounted for 11.7%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Share of World exports</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Automation is offsetting labor cost increases

All things considered, it is clear that much higher labor costs have not increased China's production costs or reduced China's export competitiveness. As a result, higher wages should not be a fundamental reason for reshoring or moving production to lower costs countries.

As a matter of fact, the textile industry is showing steadily increasing profits. This is taking place even though textiles are one of the most sensitive industries to labor cost increases. Due to high labor-intensiveness, textiles are traditionally the industries that move first to lower cost locations.
Moreover, in 2013 China was responsible for 37.1% of all textiles produced worldwide, and 80 percent of all man-made fibers are also delivered from China. In 2013, China's textiles industry grew 8.3% and it is estimated to have grown 7.4% in 2014.\(^7\)

Instead of this growth both in turnover and profits, we should see decreasing profits and a decelerating or stable growth if the industry were under heavy pressure from relocation abroad.

Since there is some reshoring to the USA in the textile industry, such examples can provide us more interesting insights.

The cost of making garments, due to the incompressible labor-intensiveness of the activity (no one has invented sewing robots or machines that make garments, up to now), is still significantly more expensive in the USA than in Asia:

However, fabrics and the garment accessories (so-called "trims") actually cost less. All in all, the total cost difference is still 20% in favor of Asia. It is not high enough though, to make an Asian production a no-brainer. Indeed, being close to clients and therefore being able to deliver "in real time" allows adaptability to fashion or customer tastes and minimization of risk on inventory, as opposed to weeks spent travelling by sea. As a result, some garment companies are expanding again in the USA and in Europe; US textiles export increased as much as 37% in 2012.

A key factor enabling this (still modest) revival is the new competitiveness of textiles materials producers. This competitiveness is even luring Chinese companies to open spinning mills in the USA. The Hangzhou Ke'er Group is an example: it is currently building up a cotton yarn factory in South Carolina. In total, there are now more than 20 Chinese manufacturing facilities between the two Carolinas.

Spinning cotton in the USA has again become a lucrative activity, even attracting private equity investors. What has changed?

A U.S. cotton spinning mill which was closed in the 90s and has been re-opened in 2010 (by Parkdale Mills, in Gaffney, South Carolina) gives us an important clue. There is a fundamental difference in this mills' production today when compared to its previous life: the factory currently produces 1.1 ton of yarn per week with 140 employees. That same production would have required over 2'000 people in 1980.8 As a result of adopting automation, yarn

---

production in the U.S. is now 30% cheaper than in China according to the International Textile Manufacturers Federation. A telling indicator, Keer plans to hire only 500 workers at the newly opened plant in South Carolina.

In the specific case of cotton spinning, the USA has other advantages in addition to efficiency through higher technology. US cotton is competitive internationally and energy prices have come down to half the level in China. Land is also cheaper in the US than in China.

The resurgence in textiles seen in the U.S. is still not on a large enough scale to be considered as displacing China. Sheng Lu, an apparel and textiles expert at the University of Rhode Island, states that the cutting and sewing of textiles is still required to such an extent in normal operations that “it’s just impossible for the U.S. to be competitive.”9 Still, the fundamental lesson is that, in much of the manufacturing sector, **automation in developed countries is making the cost of labor less and less relevant**, because plants can produce more and more with fewer and fewer workers.

---

In other words, the key factor providing renewed manufacturing competitiveness to developed economies is not so much the increase in labor costs in developing ones. What really is having a stronger impact is the ability of developed economies to replace workers with machines.

The process of replacing labor with machines is accelerating with technology developments in IT, artificial intelligence, while systems prices are falling fast. Today a robot performing repetitive tasks costs 10 times less than 10 years ago.\(^\text{10}\)

Automation is also a fundamental factor allowing China's manufacturing to remain competitive in the face of steep labor cost increases.

Little known is the fact that the People's Republic became the biggest robot market in 2013, accounting for about 20% of world sales. On average, the total supply of industrial robots in China has increased by about 36% every year between 2008 and 2013.

If we were to hope that such large new installations of robots will soon realize China's productivity potential, we would be mistaken: China had almost 20 times less robots per manufacturing employee in 2013 than Korea.

Looking ahead, what does it all mean for us?

In addition to the obvious conclusion that it is the right time to expand in China for robotics and automation companies, below are some consequences that may be useful to most of us:

\(^{10}\) http://www.reuters.com/article/2015/02/10/us-manufacturers-robots-idUSKBNOLE00720150210
First of all, **technology is going to matter more and more** to businesses, not only in developed economies but also in China. Services, too, will gain enormous opportunities through technology thanks to the increasing availability of large sets of consumer data, allowing companies to better serve Chinese customers. Given the importance of this factor, it is crucial to understand what is happening in China on the science and technology scene.

**Proximity to customers** will also matter more and more, provided well educated people and machines are available. Indeed, when the cost of labor loses its relevance and technology takes over, costs in different locations will tend to get closer. If the capabilities to customize and operate the machines are available, production will be best carried out close to the customers. With similar costs, proximity to customers will allow businesses to provide the advantage of better understanding and satisfying client's needs. (That is additional to saving on customs duties as well as transportation costs and time.)

From this point of view, China's enormous potential market and enormous efforts put into developing technology provide the country the opportunity to become an even stronger manufacturer. (On the other hand, other developing countries with large populations will be slow to catch up, if they cannot keep up in technological development.)

For foreign companies this also means **providing sufficient flexibility to their local operations to gain advantage from clients' proximity** and to adjust to local customers. The “Challenges to Overcome for Success” section of this survey indicates "lack of support and understanding from the head office" as one of the top 5 internal challenges for foreign companies in China.

**China will remain cost competitive for production.** China’s current export competitiveness and enormous potential for automation speak for themselves: wages can keep increasing and workers can be replaced by robots for a long time. (Meanwhile, wage increases are also slowing down. It will be interesting to know what the next 5-year plan, starting in 2016, has in store in terms of minimum wages increase.)

This, however, depends on China being able to train a well educated work force fast enough. Education will be a challenge, but China has the opportunity to draw on an enormous pool of Chinese studying abroad to compensate for the deficiencies of the local system. We then naturally see improving the education system as a key factor for the successful and long term fast development of the country.
Businesses, Chinese and foreign, have to become increasingly efficient. Prices are very unlikely to go up, at least for a few years; meanwhile, costs will still continue to rise. In addition to business growth, every manager will need to constantly have efficiency and productivity increases on her or his mind.

Swiss companies have additional challenges - and opportunities. The sudden increase in the Swiss Franc will mean even more efficiency for those who want to keep selling to the very price sensitive China market.

On the other hand, Chinese products are becoming cheaper in many ways. In addition to the exchange rate, the lower cost of production and the Free Trade Agreement (FTA) are reducing the landed costs of Chinese industrial goods in Switzerland and provide an opportunity to expand sourcing and production operations in China to offset the strong Franc.
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LETTER FROM THE RESEARCH TEAM

We are pleased to present the 5th annual CEIBS China Business Survey.

We want to sincerely thank all of the executives working in China who have participated in this survey for their time and valuable contribution. In particular we thank the CEIBS alumni community and current MBA, EMBA and Executive Education students who have given their support to this research. Our sincere gratitude is also extended to the following institutions and organizations:

- Swiss Center Shanghai, Swiss Embassy in China, Swiss Business Hubs, Swissnex China, SwissCham, China Integrated. Ltd.
- China-Italy Chamber of Commerce
- Confederation of Indian Industry

We are especially grateful to Nicolas Musy and the team at China Integrated for their in-depth article on innovation in China through history and today.

Finally, we acknowledge the financial support from CEIBS Research Fund, support from the Alumni, MBA, EMBA and Executive Education offices at CEIBS, and the many friends that helped us with their network. We are grateful to all of them.

Top management executives and also functional experts have given us a very valuable and rich perspective of the situation of their businesses in China in 2014 and their expectations for 2015 and the future.

The 2015 CEIBS Business in China Survey was completed by 773 executives between November and December 2014, with 412 from Chinese companies and 361 from foreign companies. Among them were 339 CEOs, GMs, and company owners; 220 Vice Presidents, Deputy General Managers or Directors. The rest represented all the remaining business functions: HR, Finance, Marketing, Sales, Operations and Research & Development. Of the respondents, 77% are from the Chinese mainland, 2% from Taiwan, Hong Kong or Macao, and 21% from foreign countries. Most of them (93%) have more than 10 years of work experience, with 53% of them having more than 20 years of work experience. This broad and experienced sample added rich and valuable perspectives to the survey.

**Figure 1 - What is your position in the company? N= 773**

- CEO/GM/Owner: 45%
- VP/Vice GM/Director: 30%
- Marketing and Sales: 8%
- Business Development: 5%
- Operations: 4%
- Finance: 2%
- HR: 2%
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The CEIBS China Business Survey is an annual poll of business executives working in China for both Chinese-owned and foreign-owned companies. Among the findings from this year’s poll we highlight the transformation of the Chinese economy and the new opportunities and strategies.

Business Climate Reflecting Chinese Economy’s “New Normal”

The Chinese economy was said to be in a “new normal” stage in 2014 as characterised by slower economic growth, higher labour cost, and more competition. When asked “What are the greatest external challenges for your company?” both Chinese and foreign companies listed these three themes as the top three challenges.

The “new normal” status of the Chinese economy posed new management challenges to companies in China. When asked “What are the greatest internal challenges facing your company in China?”, 61% of Chinese company executives and 58% of foreign company executives said it was “Finding and retaining talent”, which reflects the increasingly tight conditions of China’s labour market. It is worth noting that 51% of Chinese company executives saw “Innovation capability” as a top management challenge, which indicates the rising importance of innovation in China’s new business environment.

One pronounced feature of China’s new business environment is the increasingly competitive power of Chinese private companies. When asked “Who are your major competitors in China?”, 76% of Chinese company executives and 68% of foreign company executives pointed to Chinese private companies. It is also noticeable that less than 30% of Chinese company executives considered wholly foreign owned companies (WFOE) and joint ventures as their major competitors, which reflects a significant change of China’s business climate. These findings are consistent with the latest trends in brand evolution in China. According to the 2015 BrandZ Top 100 Most Valuable Chinese Brands report¹, brands from private enterprises dominate in value growth, rising 97% since 2013, while SOEs declined 9%. Additionally, it is Chinese private companies in our sample that lead R&D spending with 41% of their polled executives declaring investing above 5% of their sales revenues in innovation, compared to a much lower 23% of SOEs and a lower 37% of foreign owned firms. These data confirm the burgeoning trend of Chinese private companies taking the lead in China’s business landscape.

New Opportunities, New Strategies

Companies continue expanding their work force

China became a high-middle income country in 2010 when its per capita gross national income reached USD4,000. In this new development stage, China’s economic growth rate has slowed down to 7.4% in 2014, while the country’s economic structure has seen an expansion of the service sector (whose size exceeded the industrial sector in 2012) and the rise of the Internet-led new economy. In our survey, when asked about the change in employment, 60% of the surveyed Chinese companies and 48% of the surveyed foreign companies indicated an increase of their labour force in 2014, compared with 16% of Chinese companies and 20% of foreign companies which decreased their labour force in 2014. Therefore, despite the further slowdown of the Chinese economy in 2014, the majority of the companies in our sample still expanded their business operations.

Additionally, the transformation of the Chinese economy from heavy industry and manufacturing to a more modern one with a higher representation of services and technologies can be witnessed in our sample of surveyed companies. Analyzing the data by industry, we discover that it is healthcare and financial sector firms that have proportionally increased their in labor force the most in 2014, with 67% of them expanding in number of employees. This compares to 50% to 49% for more traditional industry related sectors like basic materials (chemicals, mining and forestry) and industrial goods and services.

¹ Ranking elaborated by marketing and brand consultancy Millward Brown and WPP
Increasing role of technology and innovation
In recent years, China has seen an increasing emphasis of the role of innovation. Our survey indicates that this trend will continue. When asked about their R&D plans for the next 3 years, 71% of Chinese company executives and 59% of foreign company executives said that their companies will increase R&D intensity. Another trend observed in China in recent years is the increasing use of the Internet for business operations. When asked about their plan to expand the digitisation of their businesses, 90% of Chinese company executives and 82% of foreign company executives said that their companies will have a plan to expand the digitisation of their businesses. These trends highlight the new business opportunities that have emerged as China moves up in its economic structure, which call for the design and adoption of new business strategies for companies operating in the country.
This section tackles subjects that are particularly relevant to current business life in China. The first two topics have been selected as they reflect changes greatly affecting the business environment in China; one of them is originated by the nation’s government and the other emerges from a global trend. The third topic is a compilation of key learnings from the 182 market leaders in our sample.

- Government New Policies
- The Digital Revolution in China
- Lessons from the Market Leaders

The purpose of this section is to give an in-depth overview of what we learn about the above topics from the diverse range of surveyed companies.
GOVERNMENT NEW POLICIES

The increasing role of the market and the decreasing role of the government is eagerly anticipated by both Chinese and foreign executives according to our poll of 773 executives from companies doing business in China.

Chinese and foreign executives who participated in this year CEIBS Business in China Survey are anticipating that the new round of economic reform which got underway in China in 2014 will further increase market openness and reduce government intervention. They are likely reacting to signals from the Third Plenum of the 18th Communist Party of China Central Committee which set the new reform agenda in November 2013. As outlined in the blueprint for comprehensive reform in China for the next ten years, The Decision on Major Issues Concerning Comprehensively Deepening Reforms, “the basic economic system should evolve on the decisive role of the market in resource allocation.” Chinese and foreign executives have heard this message loud and clear, based on their responses for this year’s survey.

New Reform Policies Anticipated but Uncertainty Remains

Despite the Chinese government’s efforts to kick-start new reforms in 2014, the benefits of these changes are yet to be felt by many companies. Among the 731 executives who answered the question “Do you think your company will benefit in the future from current reform policies?” 43% of Chinese company executives and 57% of foreign company executives said they were not sure (Figure 2). When we break down the sample by ownership, 48% of Chinese private company executives and 58% of foreign executives from wholly foreign owned companies said they were not sure about the future benefits of the current reform policies (Figure 3). These data indicate that there is still a lot of uncertainty about the potential benefits of the new reform policies, especially among private and foreign companies in China. It is interesting to observe that 65% of state-owned company executives felt that they would benefit from the current reform policies. While many new reform policies aim to reduce the power of state-owned enterprises, the majority of state-owned company executives in our survey (65%) expect to benefit from such policies which may breathe new life into these state-owned companies.
For the fraction of companies which already benefited from new reform policies in 2014, their answers reveal the areas of reforms that companies prefer. For Chinese companies, 28% of them listed “reduction of administrative regulation” (decreased government intervention) as the number one benefit. For foreign companies, 41% listed “increase of market openness” as the number one benefit (Figure 4). Figure 5 shows that the number one benefit listed by Chinese private companies was also “increase of market openness” (27%), while “reduction of administrative regulation” came a close second (25%). These answers reveal that companies prefer new reform policies that increase the role of the market and decrease administrative interventions.
Figure 5 - Which reform policy benefited your company in 2014?
THE DIGITAL REVOLUTION IN CHINA

The world is going through a digital revolution, and China is not lagging behind. More and more, China is becoming an active actor in this revolution with an increasing importance of digitalization in its economy.

From a very low starting point five years ago, China has grown to be the country with most internet users in the world. As of July 2014, China had 632 million internet users compared to 582 million in Europe and 277 in the US. Nevertheless, the penetration of internet usage in China is much lower than the penetration in Europe and in the US (46% in China vs. 70.5% in Europe and 87% in the US). This indicates that despite the impressive internet usage numbers, China still has an enormous potential for development and growth.

What is also remarkable is the share that e-tailing (also called internet retailing or e-commerce) has in total retailing in China. The author still remembers how ten years ago it was very difficult to do a purchase online. Today, it is almost the natural way of buying in China. According to McKinsey & Company's in an article published in January 2015 “China’s rising Internet Wave: Wired companies”, the market size of e-tailing in China is $295 billion compared to $270 billion in US. It terms of percentage, the share of e-tailing in total retailing is higher in China than US (7-8% vs. 6%) and still growing.

Survey results

In order to capture this situation, we included four new questions in our 2015 Survey. The first question just filtered those who use any internet platform to sell their products and services. 37% of the total respondents declared that they use internet platforms in their sales operations. For those that use internet platforms, we asked three more questions:

- Which is the percentage of sales that come from the above internet based platforms?
- Which internet based platforms do you use?
- Do you plan to expand the digitization of your business in China?

Based on these questions, we can share the following results:

1. **E-tailing penetration is highest in B2C companies and in the consumers sector.**

   231 respondents (37% of our total sample) sell their products and services through an internet based platforms. However, we observe important variations in e-tailing penetration depending on the type of business, the market segment and industry type. 72% of the B2C firms in our sample declare using ecommerce, vs. a much lower 29% of the B2B firms. More firms targeting the Middle and Low-End segments of the market declare using e-tailing than in the Premium segment (44% vs. 33% respectively). Likewise, 43% of service companies in our sample use the internet to sell their services versus a lower 31% of the surveyed manufacturing firms (Figure 6).

\[\text{Source: Internet World Stats}\]
In terms of industry, 61% of surveyed consumer goods and services firms use ecommerce, making it the Number 1 industry for prevalence of ecommerce in our sample. It is followed by Technology and Telecom with 45% of ecommerce penetration, Healthcare with 44% and Financials with 40%. In contrast, only 11% of firms operating in the energy sector declare to use the internet to sell their products and services (Figure 7).

Companies in our sample using ecommerce are probably quite satisfied with it as 87% of them declare to have expansion plans for 2015 (Figure 8). A higher percentage of B2C firms and of companies selling in the Middle and Low end markets state intentions to expand (92%).
2. Technology and Telecom firms, B2C firms and Service companies declare highest e-commerce sales as percentage of total sales.

In terms of percentage of total sales obtained through internet based platforms (for companies using e-tailing), we observe similar patterns as in e-commerce penetration: B2C firms, Middle and Low end firms and Service firms obtain higher percentage of sales from e-commerce than B2B firms, Premium segment firms or Manufacturing firms, respectively (Figure 9).
In our sample, B2B companies obtain, in average, 25% of their total sales through internet based platforms compared with 15% for B2C companies. Firms operating in the Middle and Low ends of the market obtain 21% of their sales through ecommerce vs. 11% for firms in Premium segments. Service firms declare that in average 27% of their sales in China come from internet platforms, while Manufacturing firms obtain a much lower 11%.

With regard to industries, Technology and Telecomm companies declare an average of 29% of their total sales coming through ecommerce. They are closely followed by Consumers, with 23% of their sales realized through the internet, in average. Financials and Industrials complete the top 4 with 18% and 16% in average.

3. Company website, Wechat and Taobao most used internet based platforms for marketing and sales.

80% of ecommerce companies declare using their company website to promote and sell their products, 57% of them utilize Chinese platform Wechat, while Taobao is the 3rd most used platform with 41% of sampled e-commerce companies utilizing it (Figure 10).

Digital economy: threat or opportunity?
The rapid development of the digital economy in China opens up a number of opportunities to expand the market and increase sources of revenues. On the other hand, new business models render some existing businesses and intermediaries obsolete. The digital revolution also poses a threat to companies unwilling or unable to adapt to the new tools and consumers habits. Amongst the opportunities we count direct access and increase proximity to customers as well as lowered barriers to entry to newly created and smaller companies.

1. Direct access to customers. Internet platforms allow companies to sell directly to their customers. This is happening not only in sectors like household durables, food, apparel and consumer services; but also in industries newer to e-tailing like the automobile industry, real estate or healthcare. When companies sell directly to their end customers bypassing intermediaries, costs and final prices are reduced, benefitting said customers.

2. Increased proximity to the customers. New techniques like big data open for companies a whole new word of consumer knowledge. Moreover, companies can now use the internet and social media to engage in two-way conversations with their customers. This
allows firms to develop individualized offerings which incorporate feedback received from customers, moving away from the mass market model. Internet is also a vehicle for personalized advertising and promotion, allowing companies segment and target their customers better than ever.

3. **Entrepreneurs and smaller firms easier access to the market.** The barriers to entry are lower, new companies enter the market offering very original products and services. For example, companies can now achieve wide distribution without the need of building a vast network of brick-and-mortar stores. They can also manage their supply chain effectively, reduce transaction costs and get to know their customers through internet based tools and business models, all for a fraction of the cost and a fraction of the time than before.

Nevertheless, despite all its potential advantages, the digital economy can also be a menace. All revolutions involve changing some of the actors, especially those traditional businesses that fail to adapt to the new competitive environment and reality. Business processes and manager mentalities need to change as traditional business models risk survival in this new world. As Darwin said in the 19th century: “It is not the strongest of the species that survives, nor the most intelligent that survives. It is the one that is the most adaptable to change.”
LESSONS FROM THE MARKET LEADERS

The 2015 CEIBS Business in China Survey found that, in 2014, most firms had profitable business in China. There seemed to be a positive relationship between market share and firm profitability with market leaders performed best. The key successful factors of doing business in China are service, quality, and distribution network. Market leaders also did very well in R&D and developing a strong brand, while non-market-leaders used price/quality ratio to win the market. However, with the non-market-leaders investing more on R&D, adopting more new sales channels, and increasing the digitalization of their business, they may close the gap with the market leaders. Furthermore, the implementation of new reform policies may bring additional challenges and uncertainties for the market leaders.

After rapid growth for over three decades, China’s economic growth rate has slowed down to around 7.5% in recent years. Nevertheless, it is still by far the fastest growing market among all major economies, and more firms are now counting on China for their future business expansion. In 2014 Samsung Group sold over 60 billion U.S. dollars in China, this amount is larger than the revenue of BaoSteel, the largest Chinese steelmaker. General Motors sold more vehicles in China than in the U.S., and generated about half of its net profits from the China market. Similarly, a number of Chinese firms, by leveraging their deep understanding of their home markets, grew very rapidly in recent years. Xiaomi, a Chinese mobile phone manufacturer founded only 4 years ago, has become the No. 1 domestic brand in China with a market valuation of over 45 billion U.S. dollars.

While the strong economic growth has offered many opportunities for firms doing business in China, not everyone has performed well. As a matter of fact, due to the increasingly competitive and dynamic business environment, in the past decade, we have witnessed as many failed examples as the successful ones in China. Whirlpool, OBI, Mark & Spencer, eBay and Google…, these traditional as well as Internet firms that have been very successful in their home and many foreign markets all failed in China. Whirlpool, the largest white goods producer in the U.S. and one of the top players in the world, entered China in late 1994 with a total investment of 300 million dollars, but today its China operation contributed less than 0.5% of its global sales.

What are the key successful factors of doing business in China? Do market leaders perform better than non-market-leaders in China? What are the unique strengths of these market leaders? What challenges will firms face in China?
Most Firms Did Well in China, and Market Leaders Performed Best
Even though the China market has become increasingly competitive, most firms in China did well in 2014. Our findings suggested that more than 70% of all the surveyed firms considered their China operation “profitable” or “very profitable.” Less than 10% of the firms are losing money in China. Furthermore, there seemed to be a positive relationship between market share and firm profitability (Figure 11): 87% of the market leaders considered their China operation “profitable” or “very profitable”, while 76% for the top 5 firms in an industry, and 58% of the others considered their China operation “profitable” or “very profitable”. For the market leaders, 18% of them reported that their China operation were “very profitable,” much than non-market-leaders (7% of the top 5, and 7% of the others reported “very profitable”).

One of the important reasons for market leaders to enjoy high level of profitability is that market leaders tend to generate more business from the premium segments, while the non-market-leaders tend to focus on middle or low-end segments. From Figure 12, we can see that for market leaders, 65% of their business have come from the premium segment, this number is 30% more than the top 5 (which have 49%), and 60% more than the others (which only have 40%).
Key Successful Factors in China, and the Unique Strengths of Market Leaders

When asked to “choose the most important factors on your sales in China”, the respondents identified three common key successful factors (KSFs): service, quality, and distribution network. In addition to these common KSFs, the data also revealed some different KSFs for market leaders and non-market-leaders (Figure 13). For market leaders, two unique factors for their success in China are R&D, and branding. In Chart 3, we can see that a significant amount of market leaders (58% of them) choosing R&D as one of their KSFs, while 43% of the top 5 and only 30% of the others thought R&D as one of their KSFs. Similarly, almost half of the market leaders (49% of them) chose “developing a strong brand” as one of their KSFs, while 32% of the top 5 and only 23% of the others thought developing a strong brand have been important for their success in China. On the other hand, both the top 5 (46% of them) and the others (53% of them) chose “Price/Quality ratio” as one of their KSFs, while only 37% of market leaders think so. These differences suggested that market leaders have used branding and R&D, something that are firm-specific and to certain extent more sustainable, to win the China market, while the non-market-leaders more inclined to use value for money (Price/Quality ratio) strategy to compete in China.
Since market leaders ranked R&D as their second most important KSF in China, it is not surprising that our survey has found market leaders have conducted more R&D than both the top 5 and the other firms (Figure 14): 85% of market leaders have R&D in China, while the number was 68% for the top 5 and only 48% for the others. Furthermore, Figure 15 shows that market leaders have spent more money on R&D (23% of market leaders spent 10% or more of their revenue on R&D, the highest among all firms), and in Figure 16 we observe that they have put more importance on Intellectual Property (IP) rights (57% of market leaders thought IP is “very important” to their business in China, far ahead of all other firms).
Given the large size and the significant regional differences of the China market, efficient
distribution network is a necessary condition to be successful in China. While all firms think
distribution network is one of their KSFs, our findings suggested that market leaders have done
a much better job in building an efficient distribution network. When asked “How do you evaluate
your company distribution network in China?” 43% of market leaders chose “efficient” or “very
efficient” while the number is 25% for the top 5 and only 11% for the other firms (Figure 17).
Challenges for the Market Leaders

As China has now become a must-win market for both global and local firms, the competition intensified in every segment. When asked “how intense is the competition you are facing in China?” More than 80% of the surveyed firms chose “intense” or “very intense.” When asked “who are your major competitors in China?” over 70% chose “Chinese private firms.” In addition to facing competition from local private firms, market leaders in China (48% of them) are also facing increasing competition from wholly-owned foreign enterprises (Figure 18 and 19). This is because these WFOEs are more likely targeting the same premium segments. Furthermore, non-market-leaders are planning to target more of their business towards premium segments, the key segment that market leaders have focused on (Figure 20).
One of the unique strengths market leaders have in China is their R&D capabilities. But rival firms are catching up. When asked “what are your plans for the next 3 years?” 69% of non-market-leaders (both top 5 and the other firms) that have conducted R&D activities chose “increase intensity or expand R&D activities,” this number is slightly higher than that of market leaders (68%). Market leaders’ advantage in innovation may disappear if rivals keep investing more on R&D (Figure 21).

Non-market-leaders are also working hard to strengthen their distribution capabilities. The new sales channels such as the Internet may offer them some short cut to catch up with market leaders who have already built strong traditional distribution network. Xiaomi, by using Internet-based direct sales mode, defeated Lenovo, the market leader who has the best traditional distribution network in China, in only 4 years’ time. Given the fact that less than 40% of firms have used Internet based platform to sell their products/services, there still exists plenty opportunities for all firms in China. It is not surprising that, when asked “do you plan to expand the digitalization of your business?” (Figure 22) 88% of the top 5 and 84% of the other firms answered “yes,” these numbers are very close to that of market leaders (89%).
The Chinese government has been playing a very important role in business. In 2014, a number of important new reform policies have been announced, and the goal of these new policies is to let market play decisive roles in resource allocation. But the impact of these new policies on business remains to be seen. When asked “did your company benefit in 2014 from any reform policies of the new government?” less than a quarter of the respondents answered “yes” (Figure 23). The new policies and the execution of these new policies will surely bring a lot of uncertainties and challenges for firms doing business in China.
This section presents an inquiry on the current situation of innovation in China businesses. It includes two parts: a summary of main learning’s on innovation trends from our sample of surveyed companies, and an in-depth article on innovation in China, history to current times and issues. The latter is a collaboration of China Integrated with CEIBS.

- Trends in innovations in companies in China
- China: Nation of Emulation or Future Center of Innovation?

The purpose of this section is to give an in-depth overview of innovation in China: history, current situation, trends and challenges.

http://www.ch-ina.com/
TRENDS IN INNOVATION IN COMPANIES IN CHINA

Our sample shows important levels of innovation for Chinese and foreign firms alike, with Technology and Telecomm firms being the big spenders in R&D and the most prolific in product and service introduction. Chinese private firms stand out as the group with highest percentage of firms investing more than 5% of their revenues in R&D. Despite growing commitment to R&D, IP protection is still a challenge.

Chinese and foreign executives who participated in this year CEIBS Business in China Survey report high levels of innovation for their companies as 74-75% of them have introduced new products or services in 2014. Additionally 63% of executives working for Chinese firms declare introducing new management techniques (vs. a lower 33% of foreign firms). Quality control and process improvements follow mentioned by approximately one third of sampled companies (Figure 24). Within industries, Technology and Telecomm firms seem to be the most prolific with 85% stating having introduced new products or services in 2014. It is important to note that aside from management techniques innovation; there is no significant difference in innovation levels between foreign and Chinese firms.

![Figure 24 - What types of innovations have you introduced in 2014?](image)

Likewise, levels of R&D investment are overall similar for Chinese and foreign companies in our sample. However, 71% of executives working for Chinese firms declare intention of their company to increase R&D spending in the next three years vs. a lower 59% of executives working for foreign firms, hinting at a potential trend of Chinese companies taking the lead in R&D investment in the coming years (Figures 25 and 26).
When we explore R&D investment by industry in our sample we discover that Technology and Telecommunication have the highest percentage of “big R&D spenders”, or firms that devote more than 5% of their sales revenues to R&D (Figure 27). These numbers are consistent with their highest product and service introduction in 2014.

Furthermore, it is interesting to note differences by legal status of the companies: 41% of polled executives working for Chinese private firms have invested above 5% of their sales revenues in innovation, compared to a much lower 23% of SOEs and a lower 37% of foreign owned firms. This data confirms a burgeoning trend of Chinese private companies taking the lead in China’s business landscape.
Despite the growing R&D investment by Chinese and foreign companies in China, IP protection is still a challenge in with 90% and 89% of polled executives working for Chinese and foreign firms declaring to suffer damage due to IP infringement (Figure 28). Additionally, 33% of executives working in Chinese firms and 49% of those in foreign firms claim no improvement in IP protection in China in the past three years (Figure 29). The data suggests that the government needs to continue efforts to strengthen IP protection to nurture the growing trend of innovation.
CHINA: NATION OF EMULATION OR FUTURE CENTER OF INNOVATION?

Despite 12% to 20% annual growth in research & development spending for each of the past 20 years\(^4\) and a comprehensive 15 year science & technology development plan already in its 10th year, we are hard pressed to name a single recent technology innovation coming out of China. The People’s Republic is still waiting for its first Nobel Prize in science. China also does not dominate any technology industry yet, while Japan, as an example, has excelled in the camera and consumer electronic industries for decades.

Can (and will) China become a source of global innovation? Will Chinese companies be able to compete in technology arenas as Japanese (and now Korean) firms do? In a world where technology is becoming indispensable for economic success and competitiveness, forming an answer to these questions becomes critical for all international businesses.

The historical perspective helps: China was, until modern times, the main source of world innovation

It is a little known fact that until the 15th century, before Europe’s Renaissance made it the center of the world’s arts and techniques, most of the West’s technological breakthroughs had been achieved long before, in China.

The compass, gunpowder, and the moveable types printing on pulp based paper are the key Chinese inventions we may remember from our school days. In 1620, when Francis Bacon (the father of the scientific method) wrote that “printing, gunpowder, and the nautical compass . . . have altered the face and state of the world,”\(^5\) these Three Great Inventions were considered to be European. Only later was it recognized that these were only just some of the discoveries originating in China.

In fact, the Chinese developed almost all pre-modern technologies. The mechanical clock with an escapement (which was actually an astronomical engine reproducing movements of the sun and the moon accompanied by a bell that rung each hour) is credited to a Chinese engineer and Astronomer (Su Song) in around 1090. This occurred centuries before French and British watch makers started building mechanical time measuring devices (The Swiss only perfected the art, after French immigrants brought their know-how to the country).

In 1420, China had a seafaring navy, trading all throughout Asia and to the West coast of Africa, while the Portuguese had barely discovered Madeira (in 1419).

In those days, the Chinese treasure ships were over 100 m. long, while 70 years later (in 1492) the Santa Maria, the biggest of Columbus’ 3 ships, was about 20 meters long.\(^6\)

During the Han dynasty (2’000 years ago), the Chinese were drilling as deep as 1’500 m. and collecting natural gas then piping it through bamboo tubes for lighting and evaporation of sea water to extract salt. 300 years later they were also the first to drill for and use oil.

Iron and steel metallurgy innovations were first developed and used in China. Stirrups, hand guns, exploding cannon balls (filled with gunpowder), fragmentation bombs, land and naval mines are all inventions of military significance credited to the Chinese.

For those living in China it is no surprise that paper money (banknotes issued as currency) was also a Chinese innovation (used nationwide in the Song dynasty in the 13th century). Paper was also made into toilet paper for widespread use in China, while the toothbrush was also invented there.

On the more abstract side, evidence of negative numbers is recorded in China in 179 AD (a century before the Greeks) while they were considered absurd in Europe until the mid 1500s.\(^7\)

\(^7\) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Chinese_inventions
How is it, then, that the scientific and industrial revolutions did not happen in China?
This is the so-called “Needham Question”, named after Joseph Needham, a biochemist who retrained as a sinologist and chaired a Cambridge university program to understand Science & Technology in China’s history (the program started in 1948 goes on and published 27 books.).
No one gave a simple answer to the question. Most likely, the reason is that China was already an advanced society and a large country, well protected from the rest of the world. The imperial focus on stability and management of the large numbers of Chinese did not favor individual creativity. At the same time, Europe was a patchwork of competing, smaller feudal nations, whose princes did not have the thousand year old, powerful bureaucracy of the Chinese emperor. More competition, less conformism, and more individual freedom provided the dynamic of the European scientific revolution, while the Ming and Qing emperors saw themselves as the guarantors of the immutable heavenly order.

China is again becoming a world scientific and technological power
In 1999, China spent 0.83% of its USD 1 trillion GDP (at the 1999 exchange rate) on R&D, or about USD 8.3 billion. In 2014, R&D expenditures were about 2.0% of a USD 10 trillion GDP, or about USD 200 billion (at the current CNY to USD exchange rate). Calculated in USD, this represents an average of over 23% increase of R&D spending per year, every year, for the past 15 years.

In terms of purchasing power parity (PPP), “China is forecast to overtake the European Union and the United States in (...) R&D, spending by the end of the decade.”

In terms of percentage of GDP, China is already spending more than the EU.
However, the amount spent in China goes much more to development than research when compared to the US and the EU.

---

There are also many question marks when it comes to the effectiveness of this spending and the quality that results from research and patents generated in China. Nonetheless, scientific production in China is indeed prolific.

And while the quality found in China’s scientific papers does not compare to top Western nations, it has already caught up with Korea:
It is the same in terms of excellent research (international scientific articles in the top 1% in number of citations per article):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEARS</th>
<th>BRZEIL</th>
<th>% of National Output</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>% of National Output</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>% of National Output</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>% of National Output</th>
<th>S.Korea</th>
<th>% of National Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Thomson Reuters Web of Knowledge. Counts are shown as a percentage of national output in that year. Output of highly cited papers would match world average output of such papers if the count reached 1 percent of national output.
China is publishing about as many outstanding scientific publications in percentage as Korea (0.72%) and as many in absolute numbers as the UK (though half the proportion: 1.4% of UK papers are in the top 1%).

In terms of international patent filing (under the Patent Cooperation Treaty of WIPO, World Intellectual Property Organization) China was No 4 in 2013. However, 2 Chinese companies were in the top 3 patent filers: ZTE at No 2, Huawei at No 3.

In addition to these 2 Chinese telecom firms, the top 15 includes 2 Korean (Samsung and LG), 2 US (Qualcomm and Intel), 4 European (Robert Bosch, Ericsson, Philips and Siemens) and 5 Japanese (Panasonic No 1 patent filer, Sharp, Toyota, Mitsubishi and NEC).

The above does indicate that China’s S&T development strategy is having some strong results.

Where, then, is China’s Innovation?
Huawei and ZTE, though hugely successful and innovative are still “me too” companies, who started by making products similar to those of Cisco.

Lenovo became a global brand through its acquisition of IBM’s Thinkpad. Xiaomi shot to fame launching its first smart phone in 2010 and reaching a valuation of USD 45 billion last December.

Alibaba, the largest stock market IPO in history, developed through the combination of an online trading platform (not a new concept then) and China’s great production capabilities.

When we add household appliance brand Haier, these are probably all the Chinese companies with a global recognition. All appear to be “emulators” rather than innovators.

Though these companies are not groundbreaking innovators (like Facebook, Cisco, ABB or Siemens), we tend to overlook that they still innovate in business models. Xiaomi, for example, though using an Android OS and chipsets from the USA, is selling all its phones through internet exclusively. Additionally, they fostered active user clubs proposing improvements to their interface that they use to continuously develop their software and provide a better user experience.

We may think that the fundamental reason for this lack of technological innovation is the lack of capability to develop new technologies in China. There is another, however, very simple possible explanation: business model innovation is much easier and offers enough and much safer, high return opportunities in China at the moment.

In other words, why go about developing a new smart phone technology if you can build a USD 45 billion company in 4 years? It simply confirms that the Chinese have good business sense and know how to compare risks and returns on investment.

This is now also indicated by surveys of foreign companies in China:

Chinese firms eclipse MNCs on supply chain, service, and business model innovation

Please rate your company’s innovation performance in Mainland China.

(Note: The respondents were from MNCs.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Innovation</th>
<th>Supply Chain Innovation</th>
<th>Service Innovation</th>
<th>Business Model Innovation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chinese less innovative
Chinese equally innovative
Chinese more innovative

Note: Sums may not total 100 due to rounding.

Source: 2014 China Innovation Survey

---

Strategy&. “China’s Innovation is Going Global, 2014 China Innovation Survey.” (386 respondents. Demographics: 52% WFOE, 11% Sino-foreign joint venture, 23% Chinese Company, 14% Other.)
However, this still does not demonstrate the ability of China to become a fundamental innovator. And if the history does prove that the Chinese have been very prolific inventors, the history also indicates that stability and a bureaucratic and top down society may hinder the Chinese innovative spirit.

**Should we expect China to become, again, a technological innovator?**
Multinational companies in China believe so:

Chinese companies have arrived as innovators

Please rate your company’s innovation performance in Mainland China.
*(Note: The respondents were from MNCs.)*

![Pie charts showing innovation performance from 2012 to 2014](chart)


And worldwide, there is also a strong sense that this will be the case:

**Q: Which country shows the most promise for disruptive breakthroughs that will have a global impact?**

![Pie chart showing country results](chart)

Source: KPMG Technology Innovation Survey 2015

14 KPMG. “Technology Innovation Survey.” (811 respondents, Demographics: 34% U.S., 30% Europe/Russia, 37% Asia. Organizations: 36% start-up, 37% mid market, 15% large enterprise, 5% venture capital, 4% angel investors, 4% other).
Still, the speed and success of innovation development in China will depend on the willingness of the government to provide an environment conducive to entrepreneurship and start-ups. There is little doubt that building such an environment is now a high government priority. If there should be any illustration or important clue indicating this, a specific emphasis is expected to be put on innovation for the next five-year plan (2016-2020).

Indeed, as the National Development and Reform Committee’s (NDRC) planning department states: "In the current situation where labor costs are higher and higher and environmental conditions are increasingly desperate, becoming a high income country requires innovation drivers and a structural upgrade...There is a chance to be stuck in the middle income trap for a long time. So, how can we better realize innovation drivers?"\(^\text{15}\)

As the debate over China’s innovation potential pushes on, one must conclude that the extent to which this potential is realized will much depend on the level to which the leadership advocates for it.

“New State Council releases have stated that it is within the “key spirit” of recent high-level government meetings, chaired by Xi Jinping, to stoke “hundreds of thousands of people’s passion for innovation” via the twin engines of mass entrepreneurship and innovation\(^\text{16}\). If innovation indeed becomes a top priority of China, when looking at the Chinese leadership’s past 30-year track record and the strength of the current one, we can be confident that China will again be a big contributor to the world’s innovation!

©This article was written by Nicolas Musy and the China Integrated team. For more information about this topic you can contact n.musy@china.com.
SECTION 1

DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANIES PARTICIPATING

This section details the following information about the companies that have participated in the 5th edition of the CEIBS China Business Survey:

- Type of ownership (Chinese or foreign), business sector, industry and type of activity
- Location of Global Headquarters and China Headquarters
- Degree of internationalization
- Number of employees in China and globally
- Revenue level in China
- Legal form in China
- Years of operation

The purpose of the section is to give a general overview of the type of companies that took part in the survey. This not only helps clarify the scope of the survey, but also provides background in interpreting survey results.
SECTION 1: DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANIES PARTICIPATING

A total of 773 companies operating in China have participated in the 2015 edition of the CEIBS China Business Survey. This sample of businesses includes 412 (53%) Chinese owned companies (i.e. with 50% or more Chinese ownership) and 361 (47%) foreign-owned companies (i.e. with more than 50% foreign ownership).

In terms of business activity, the sample is well balanced with 53% of firms having their main activity in manufacturing and 47% in services. 75% of the total sample of firms are B2B companies, having other businesses as main clients, while 25% are B2C companies, offering products and services directly to consumers.

The industries represented are varied, topped by industrial products and services (245 firms, 32% of total sample), consumer goods and services (161 firms, 21%), Technology and Telecommunications (11%), Basic Materials like chemicals, forestry and mining (11%), Financials (10%), Energy (6%) and Healthcare (7%). However, we observe a considerably larger presence of financial companies within the Chinese-owned firms of our sample (13% versus 7% of foreign firms), a consequence of existing regulations limiting or constraining foreign investment in the sector. Foreign-owned firms are markedly more represented in “Industrials” with 37% of them vs. 28% of Chinese-owned firms in the industry (Figure 30).

In terms of market position, the firms surveyed operate mostly in the mid and high ends of the market. According to survey answers, 314 firms (52%) in our sample operate mainly in the premium segment, another 271 (45%) in the middle segment and only 22 (4%) in the low-end of the market. Moreover, 182 companies (30%) identify themselves as market leaders for their main business line and 286 (47%) consider to be in the Top 5. When splitting by type of company ownership, we do observe a considerable difference in market positioning between the two groups with 69% of foreign companies in the sample in the premium segment vs. a lower 39% of Chinese-owned firms. Inversely, 55% of Chinese-owned firms operate in the middle segment of the market, while 30% of foreign-owned do so.

---

17 Including Chinese controlled Joint Ventures
18 N= 607
The location of their Global headquarters is shown in the following map:

**FIGURE 31 - WHERE ARE YOUR GLOBAL HEADQUARTERS LOCATED? N=767**

![Map showing location of global headquarters](image)

The China headquarters of 80% of the total sample are located in coastal China, in central China for 17% and in west China for only 2% of respondents.

In 2014, participating companies had generated collective revenue of more than 400 billion RMB and were employing more than 2.5 million people in China alone.

Of participating companies, 60% of the Chinese-owned firms and 58% of the foreign-owned firms surveyed are considered large (Figure 32).

**FIGURE 32 - WHAT ARE YOUR COMPANY’S TOTAL CHINA SALES IN 2014?**

![Bar chart showing sales](image)

---

19 According to China official definition companies with more than 300 million RMB are considered large.
SECTION 1: DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANIES PARTICIPATING

The sample of companies varies widely in size, ranging from companies with less than 10 employees in China to those with more than 50,000. Surveyed Chinese have in average 3,400 employees in China or more, compared to 2,400 or more for foreign-owned firms. Moreover, 12% of foreign-owned firms surveyed have less than 10 employees versus only 2% of Chinese firms (Figure 33).

**FIGURE 33 - HOW MANY EMPLOYEES DOES YOUR COMPANY HAVE IN CHINA?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Chinese Firms (N=410)</th>
<th>Foreign Firms (N=354)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 50,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000-49,999</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000-9,999</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-1,999</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-299</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-49</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39% of the Chinese owned firms in our sample have operations abroad too. And although 77% of foreign firms in our sample are international, there is a non-negligible 23% of foreign owned firms that only operate in China (Figure 34).

**FIGURE 34 - WHERE DOES YOUR COMPANY HAVE OPERATIONS?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chinese Firms (N=406)</th>
<th>Foreign Firms (N=399)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In China and abroad</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Only in China 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only in China</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>In China and abroad 77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Within the companies that operate both in China and abroad, foreign-owned ones are overall larger in their global operations than Chinese-owned firms. 49% of the surveyed foreign companies with international operations have more than 10,000 employees globally vs. 23% of their Chinese-owned counterparts. Chinese international firms in our survey fall mostly within the small and medium categories (37% with < 300 employees, 40% with 300-9,999)20 (Figure 35).

35% of surveyed companies are Chinese privately owned or private-holding companies and 12% are state-owned or state-holding enterprises. Joint Ventures (JV) constitute 10% of the sample, with different levels of Chinese and foreign ownership. Most of the foreign-owned companies are Wholly Foreign Owned Enterprises (WFOE, 37% of total sample), with Representative Offices (RO) and Branches of foreign companies making up the rest (Figure 36).

20 In our survey, companies are classified in terms of number of employees as Small (<300 employees), Medium (300-10,000) and Large (>10,000)
Most of Chinese private companies in our sample, 89%, have been established after 1990 following the economic reforms initiated by Deng Xiaoping in the late 1980s and early 1990s, which triggered a remarkable growth of the private sector (Figure 37).
This section presents four Indices:

- Two Business Performance Indices that measure performance variation compared to the previous year, and also expected performance for the next year. They are based on sales revenue and profit growth, realized and expected.
- Two Business Confidence Indices give reading on the optimism and confidence in business results declared by respondents.

Business Performance Indices are:

- Current Performance Index (CPI)
- Expected Performance Index (EPI)

These two directional indices were introduced in the 2013 report to measure variation both in current and expected performance of the surveyed companies. Each index (ranging from 0 to 100) is constructed similarly to the well-known Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI): an index reading of 50 means that performance is unchanged compared to previous year, a number over 50 indicates an improvement while anything below 50 suggests a decline. The further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the
change over the period. The indices are based on multiple choice questions with 5 possible answers.²¹

Business Confidence Indices are:
- Business Confidence Index, for next year
- Business Confidence Index, for the next 5 years

The Business Confidence Index is a measure of the optimism stated by executives in our sample with respect to the evolution of their businesses for the next year (2015) and the next 5 years (2015 to 2019). These 2 indices have been part of the CEIBS Business in China Survey since its inception 4 years ago, which allows us to start recognizing emerging trends as well as current values.

The reading ranges from 0 to 10 (0 = Absolutely Not Confident, 3 = Not Confident, 5 = Neutral, 7 = Confident, 10 = Extremely Confident). The executives in our survey are asked to state their confidence level in the short term (next year) and in the medium term (next 5 years).

²¹\[ \text{INDEX} = (P1*1) + (P2*0.75) + (P3*0.5) + (P4*0.25) + (P5*0) \]

- \( P1 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported a substantial improvement.
- \( P2 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported an improvement.
- \( P3 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported no change.
- \( P4 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported a deterioration.
- \( P5 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported a substantial deterioration.
2.1. CURRENT PERFORMANCE INDEX – CPI

Large majority of respondents reports revenue & profit growth, stable from last year.

The CPI Index is calculated as a composite of 2 survey questions: Revenue and Profit Level. Each variable is attributed the same weighting.

The Current Performance Index is 69 for Chinese companies and 65 for foreign companies, reflecting an improvement for both types of firms in their 2014 business results when compared to 2013, with slightly better results for the Chinese owned companies in our sample (an index above 50 indicates an improvement, the further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the change over the period). Performance is based on both revenue and profit evolution. The indices are stable versus last year and higher that two years ago from last year for both groups (69 and 66 respectively last year, 63 and 61 two years ago), implying that 2014 was a positive but stable year for the majority of our sample (Figure 38).

Overall, 76% of the companies in the sample declared being profitable or very profitable in 2014, while 8% incurred losses, a situation practically identical to last year’s survey.
2.2. EXPECTED PERFORMANCE INDEX – EPI

Growth expectation for 2015 is stabilized.

A majority of the Companies surveyed are optimistic for the 2015, although slightly less than what they declared for 2014 in last year survey. The Expected Performance Index amounted to 75 (Chinese companies) and 69 (foreign companies), reflecting an expected growth in 2015 for both types of companies (an index above 50 indicates an improvement, the further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the change over the period).

Last year survey Expected Performance Index was higher than this year’s for both groups: 77 for Chinese companies and 72 for foreign companies, reflecting a slight cooling of expectations for the future (Figure 39).

![Figure 39 - Expected Performance Index](image)

The EPI Index is calculated as a composite of 2 survey questions: Revenue and Profit Level expectations for 2014. Each variable is attributed the same weighting.

When we look at planned investments in China for 2015, which are closely related to growth expectations, the same overall moderated optimism is observed. A large 67% of the total sample of companies declares an intention to increase investment in China in 2015 with only 2% of total respondents anticipating decreases in investments. These investment plans, although still robust, represent a slight decrease versus last year’s survey declared intentions (69% of firms claimed intentions to increase investment and 1% to reduce operations).
Analyzing the three-year evolution, we notice a positive evolution in the intention to increase investments in China for Chinese firms (67% in 2013, 71% in 2014, 73% in 2015 - Figure 40), but a negative evolution in said intention for foreign firms (66% in 2013, 65% in 2014, 61% in 2015 - Figure 41). This trend is consistent with the slightly lower performance and expectation indices of foreign firms, and also with the gradual recovering of the global economy that opens up investment venues overseas that had been put on hold during the worst years of the crisis.
2.3. BUSINESS CONFIDENCE INDICES – BCI

Moderated optimism reflects a “new normal” for the Chinese economy

The annual survey provides two confidence indices based on the question, “How confident are you that your operations in China will be successful in the next year and in the next 5 years?” The scale is from 0 (no confidence at all) to 10 (maximum confidence).

The current issue reveals very similar levels of business confidence for Chinese and foreign firms as both claim to be close to “confident” that their operations in China will be successful in 2015 and in the next 5 years (Figure 42 and 43).

When examining the five year trend we remark that in the past four years, the value of the one-year confidence index moved down and converged to a level of around 6.5 for both Chinese and foreign companies (Figure 42). This trend reflects the fact that all companies operating in China have adjusted their expectations and accepted the “new normal” status of the Chinese economy. Nevertheless, executives working for Chinese firms declare slightly lower confidence levels for 2015 than their counterparts in foreign firms. This is despite Chinese firms showing stronger performance in 2014 and higher expectations for 2015 (see section 2 on business indices).
Additionally, the downward trend in confidence for the next five years consolidates for both Chinese and foreign. This trend is consistent with the “new normal” stage in the Chinese economy characterized by slower economic growth, higher labor cost, and more competition (Figure 43).

Analyzing by industry, we discover interesting differences in confidence sentiment amongst sectors. Companies in Healthcare or Consumer Goods and Services show the highest optimism for 2015 of sampled companies. On the other hand, firms in the Financial sector or in Industry exhibit greatly improved levels of confidence for the next 5 years than what they have for 2014. This jump in future confidence may be linked to faith in future benefits resulting from on-going government reforms as 53% of Financials firms and 45% of Industrial firms in our sample declared to believe that their firm will benefit in the future from current reform policies of the new government (Figure 44).
SECTION 3

CHALLENGES AND SUCCESS FACTORS

This section details the difficulties encountered in China by the firms in our sample and the keys to their success:

- External Challenges
- Managerial Challenges
- Success factors

The purpose of this section is to identify the main challenges faced in China by the companies surveyed, explore the relevant differences in the difficulties faced by Chinese companies compared to foreign companies, and learn how the companies in our survey deal with these challenges.
3.1. EXTERNAL CHALLENGES

Fierce competition and economy slowdown gain strength while rising labor costs, issues with government and the legal environment and corruption improve a little.

When comparing the evolution of the Top 4 External Challenges for both Chinese and foreign companies in the last two years, we observe significant changes. “Fierce competition” has become the number one concern for both Chinese and foreign firms in our sample, and while “Rising labor costs” is still an important challenge for both groups, it has dropped to second position in the worry list. Additionally, “Slowdown of Chinese economy” gains strength for both groups, as 53% of surveyed Chinese firms and 54% of foreign firms select it as a main external challenge versus lower 47% and 45% respectively last year. These changes are in line with what is expected from the gradual consolidation of a “new normal” in the Chinese economy; lower growth rates fuel competition as companies need to fight amongst each other to gain market share, relying less and less in simply catching the wave of a fast growing market. It is important to note as well some improvement in issues related to “Government and legal environment”. Although still in the top 4 of surveyed companies, 31% of surveyed Chinese firms and 36% of foreign firms select it as a main external challenge versus a higher 39% and 41% respectively in last year’s poll. This development may very well reflect the efforts of the Chinese government to reform and streamline certain areas. Also related to government resolutions, “Corruption” loses importance for Chinese firms compared to the previous edition of the survey, worrying 7% of the Chinese firms (vs. 15% last year), while it stays stable foreign firms (worrying 15% of them this year vs. 16% last year).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese firms – Top 4 External Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 Survey</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Fierce competition (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rising labor cost (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Economy slowdown in China (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Government and legal environment (31%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign firms – Top 4 External Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 Survey</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Fierce competition (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rising labor cost (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Economy slowdown in China (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government and legal environment (36%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other worries that decrease are concerns about “Rising price of raw materials” worrying 11% of the Chinese firms (vs. 21% last year) and 16% of foreign firms (vs. 20% last year). “RMB appreciation” decreases too although mainly for Chinese companies, from 17% of firms concerning about it last year to 9% this year.

A noteworthy difference between Chinese and foreign firms is the relative importance of “IP Infringements”, worrying 15% of executives working for foreign firms vs. a lower 9% of those working for Chinese firms. Foreign companies in China tend to invest more in design and innovation and therefore could be more vulnerable to IP infringements.
Zoom on Number 1 external challenge: Competition

A majority of respondents cited their main competitors to be Chinese private enterprises (76% of Chinese firms and 68% of foreign firms). Chinese-owned respondents cite State-owned enterprises as a distant second (40%). In contrast, foreign companies measure themselves also amongst each other, citing WFOE (61%) close to Chinese private enterprises as their major type of competitors. These results are consistent with previous surveys (Figure 45).

When we ask executives working for Chinese firms what the competitive advantages of their foreign competitors are, and we ask those working for foreign firms what the competitive advantages of their Chinese competitors are we obtain an interesting picture of their perceived relative strengths and weaknesses (Figures 46 and 47).

The top strengths of foreign firms according to their Chinese competitors are related to strength creating brands, superiority of products and technology (mentioned by 70%, 66% and 56% of the surveyed executives working in Chinese firms). In contrast, foreign-owned firms consider that their main weaknesses vis-à-vis their Chinese competitors lay in Chinese firms’ superiority in “Cost advantages” (50%), “Price” (48%), and “Relationships with Government and other guanxi” (45%).

“Unethical behavior” is seen by 24% of polled executives working for foreign-firms as a competitive advantage of Chinese firms. On the contrary, a much lower 3% of executives in Chinese firms mention “Unethical behavior” as a strength of their foreign competitors. This difference may be due to the sometimes stricter environmental control policies that foreign firms apply in their production sites, thus increasing their costs.

“Local knowledge and reach” is seen as a competitive advantage of Chinese firms by 27% of polled executives working for foreign-firms while only 11% of those in Chinese firms mention it as a capability of their foreign competitors.
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**Figure 46 - What are the competitive advantages of your main foreign competitors in China? Multiple Answers**

- Brand: 56%
- Technology: 66%
- Marketing and Sales: 27%
- Cost Advance: 20%
- Access to Capital: 16%
- Distribution: 16%
- Pricing: 15%
- Local Knowledge: 11%
- Government relationships, guanxi: 10%
- Unethical behavior: 3%

(Chinese firms N=100)

**Figure 47 - What are the competitive advantages of your main Chinese competitors in China? Multiple Answers**

- Cost advantage: 50%
- Pricing: 46%
- Government relationships and other guanxi: 45%
- Marketing and Sales: 28%
- Local knowledge and reach: 27%
- Unethical behavior: 24%
- Brand recognition: 24%
- Product: 21%
- Distribution: 20%
- Access to capital: 13%
- Technology: 10%

(Foreign Firms N=331)
3.2. INTERNAL CHALLENGES

Three top internal challenges are shared by both Chinese and foreign owned firms and remain unchanged from last year’s poll: “Finding and retaining talent”, “Innovation Capability” and “Marketing Capability”.

Most cited internal management challenge faced by companies operating in China is “Finding and retaining talent” (61% of respondents in Chinese companies and 58% in foreign companies). This is consistent with previous surveys. However, we observe a slight improvement vs. last year survey notably for foreign firms, as it was cited by a higher 63% of Chinese firms and 67% of foreign firms (Figure 48).

“Innovation Capability” and “Marketing Capability” are the next most mentioned internal issues for both sampled groups although there are differences between them worthy to be mentioned. While “Innovation capability” worries 51% of the Chinese firms surveyed, it is a concern for a lower 35% of foreign firms. When exploring deeper by legal status, we remark that it is SOEs that struggle more often with innovation (cited by 67% of them vs. a much lower 44% of those working for Chinese private companies).

Regarding “Marketing capability”, 40% of Chinese firms worry about vs. 36% of foreign ones. However this gap is narrower than last year where a lower 29% of executives working in foreign firms declared to be worried about it. When the economy matures and competition heats up, effective marketing tactics increase in importance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Finding and retaining talent (61%)</td>
<td>Finding and retaining talent (63%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Innovation capability (51%)</td>
<td>Innovation capability (50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Marketing capability (40%)</td>
<td>Marketing capability (38%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Corporate governance (36%)</td>
<td>Corporate governance (36%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign firms – Top 4 Internal Challenges</th>
<th>2015 Survey</th>
<th>2014 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Finding and retaining talent (58%)</td>
<td>Finding and retaining talent (67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Marketing capability (36%)</td>
<td>Innovation capability (31%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Innovation capability (35%)</td>
<td>Marketing capability (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Support from head office (26%)</td>
<td>Support from head office (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 4th challenge on the top list differs for both groups. “Corporate governance” is a worry relatively more prevalent in Chinese firms with 36% of the executives working them selecting it vs. a lower 17% of those working for foreign companies. This effect was already observed in previous editions of this survey although there seems to be an improvement vs. two years ago where a higher number of executives (49%) working for Chinese firm worried about this topic. On the other hand, for executives working for foreign-owned companies “Support from Head Office” is one of the top issues more frequently mentioned, cited by 26% of them and this is also consistent with previous polls (vs. a much lower 9% of Chinese firms) and with the physical and cultural distance with their global headquarters.

Other group-specific challenge is “Finance related difficulties” which worries 26% of the Chinese private firms vs. a much lower 9% of SOEs and 10% of foreign firms. Likewise, “Services and materials quality” worry 10% of foreign firms in our sample vs. only 5% of Chinese ones. The latter is consistent with the fact that foreign companies in China are often positioned in the higher end of the market and the quality of the end product depends on quality of the input. Specifically for our survey sample, 69% of the foreign-owned companies operate in the premium segment of the market versus 39% for the Chinese-owned ones.
A zoom on Number 1 internal challenge, “Finding and retaining talent” is presented in Section 4 of this report, Focus by Area: Human Resources.
3.3. SUCCESS FACTORS

Not a single key to success, but a complex recipe of factors. Specificities by industry exist.

Both executives from Chinese and foreign-owned companies in our sample believe that success in China is linked to product and service superiority, to soft factors such as company culture & values and quality of the management team, and to capability factors such as brand creation, R&D and product innovation and running operations efficiently (Figure 49).

Although there is overall consensus between both groups, it is interesting to note that “Quality of the products/services”, although considered the top success factor for both Chinese and foreign companies, is more often cited by executives working in foreign companies (68% of foreign firms vs. 55% of Chinese firms). This is consistent with the fact that 69% of foreign companies in the sample operate in premium segment versus a lower 39% of Chinese ones. “Employee selection and training” also stands out as a factor more frequently considered key to success by foreign companies than by Chinese companies (42% of foreign firms vs. 30% of Chinese private firms and 22% of Chinese SOEs).

However, more salient differences are revealed when analyzing success factors by type of industry (Figure 50). According to our sample of surveyed companies, Healthcare is the industry most concerned by “Quality of products and services” with 74% of its executives mentioning it, while Technology & Telecommunications and Energy firms select “R&D and product innovation” as key success factor more often than other industries (66% and 57% of their executives respectively).

In terms of “Brand creation”, Healthcare, Consumer and Industrials companies are relatively more concerned about it than other sectors with respectively 48%, 49% and 47% of their executives considering it key to their success.

“Guanxi and networking” seems to have relatively more importance for the Energy and Financials sectors of our sample, with 37% and 32% of their executives mentioning it, respectively.
SECTION 3: CHALLENGES AND SUCCESS FACTORS

FIGURE 50 – BY INDUSTRY: WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS FOR YOUR COMPANY’S SUCCESS IN CHINA?

- Quality of the products/services
  - Healthcare: 74%
  - Financials: 63%
  - Energy: 64%
  - Basic Materials: 57%
  - Consumer: 46%
  - Industries: 44%

- R&D and product innovation
  - Healthcare: 63%
  - Financials: 62%
  - Energy: 60%
  - Basic Materials: 42%
  - Consumer: 33%
  - Industries: 35%

- Brand and awareness creation
  - Healthcare: 66%
  - Financials: 48%
  - Energy: 49%
  - Basic Materials: 39%
  - Consumer: 33%
  - Industries: 55%

- Guanxi and networking
  - Healthcare: 49%
  - Financials: 32%
  - Energy: 37%
  - Basic Materials: 17%
  - Consumer: 22%
  - Industries: 19%
SECTION 4
FOCUS BY AREA

This section gives an overview of the following topics:

- Human Resources
  The chapter covers the top HR issues that companies in our sample face in China. It also gives an in-depth commentary on what the executives in our sample think are the most effective measures to retain employees.

- Sales and Marketing
  This chapter includes an overview of market segments where companies in our sample operate and looks at mobility of companies within segments. It also details which are the most effective sales and marketing strategies for the companies in our sample, and particularly for those companies that are leaders in their markets.

The purpose of this section is to understand challenges and success factors of our respondents in these functional areas.
4.1. HUMAN RESOURCES

Labor force increased in 2014 for more than half of the sample with Healthcare and Finance industries experiencing leading. Average salary increase and turnover is still relatively high although depends on type of job in the organization. Finding talent and rising labor costs are cited as the top HR issues for managing businesses in China.

More than half of surveyed companies increased their labor force in 2014 (55% of total respondents), 27% of them kept the same labor force, and 17% of respondents decreased it. 60% of Chinese private-owned firms saw their labor force increase, somewhat more than the proportion of Chinese state-owned enterprises (57%) and foreign WFOEs (47%) having increased labor force (Figure 51).

The transformation of the Chinese economy from heavy industry and manufacturing to a more modern one with a higher representation of services and technologies can be witnessed in our sample of surveyed companies. Analyzing the data by industry, we discover that it is healthcare and financial sector firms that have proportionally increased their labor force the most in 2014, with 67% of them expanding in number of employees. This compares to 50% to 49% for more traditional industry related sectors like basic materials (chemicals, mining and forestry) and industrial goods and services (Figure 52).
In terms of salary increase and employee turnover in 2014 for our sample of firms, we observe that Chinese firms are increasing salaries more than foreign firms. Engineers and technicians get the highest increase in average (14% in Chinese firms, 11% in foreign firms), very closely followed by sales people, middle and top management. The group receiving the lower increase are unskilled workers although, according to our respondents, they still obtain a non-negligible 9% rise in their wages in 2014, both in Chinese and foreign firms (Figure 53).

It is the group with the lowest salary increase, unskilled workers, that suffers most from turnover, with 14% average yearly turnover both for Chinese and foreign firms in our sample. Chinese firms endure the lowest turnover of their organization in their top and middle management position (6% and 7% respectively in average). In contrast, foreign-owned firms struggle more than Chinese counterparts retaining their management positions with 9% and 10% average turnover respectively (Figure 54). Turnover in all other levels (engineers, sales people and unskilled people) are identical for Chinese and foreign firms in our poll.
4.1.1. TOP HUMAN RESOURCES ISSUES IN CHINA

HR issues remain the top challenge faced by companies in China, just as in previous editions of this survey. Finding suitable resources, especially in “hot” industries like technology, telecommunications and healthcare, and rising labor costs are main issues.

Consistent with previous years, “Finding and hiring suitable talent” and “Rising labor costs” are the top two HR concerns for surveyed Chinese and foreign companies. The rapid growth of Chinese private firm results in them struggling relatively more than SOEs and foreign firms with “Finding and hiring suitable talent” (86% of Chinese private firms, vs. 78% of SOEs and 64% of foreign firms declare issues in hiring suitable talent – Figure 55). Healthcare and Technology & Telecommunications, two rapidly developing industries in China where a lot of the innovation is currently happening, encounter the most problems finding fitting talent with 91% and 89% of them reporting staffing to be a key HR issue in 2014. Not surprisingly, a lower 72% of firms in the established sector of Basic Materials (chemicals, mining and forestry) declare to have issues staffing suitable talent.

In line with the data on average employee turnover discussed previously, it is foreign firms that report issues with “Generating commitment and loyalty” and “Retaining employees” relatively more often. 46% and 34% of foreign firms declare them to be key issues, compared to a lower 38% and 18% of Chinese–owned firms respectively.
The most cited causes why Chinese companies lose employees are personal reasons, work for other Chinese companies or start their own businesses. On the other hand, foreign-owned firms lose their employees mostly to personal reasons and to other foreign firms (Figure 56). The popularity of “personal reasons” reflects a common issue with exit interviews during which leaving employees often justify their leaving this way. In our experience talking with many companies, this “black box” often masks a bad relationship with a boss, frustration over a missed promotion or a feeling of having been unjustly mistreated. Certainly, it also comprises family and life changes related motives like getting married or wishing to take care of a new born baby.

**Figure 56 - People who leave your organization usually go to:**
**Multiple answers possible**

- **Personal reasons**: 50% (Chinese Owned Firms), 62% (Foreign Owned Firms)
- **Work for Chinese private companies**: 37% (Chinese Owned Firms), 48% (Foreign Owned Firms)
- **Start their own business**: 30% (Chinese Owned Firms), 43% (Foreign Owned Firms)
- **Work for non-Chinese company**: 17% (Chinese Owned Firms), 42% (Foreign Owned Firms)
4.1.2. Most effective measures to retain employees

In order to retain employees, executives in our survey cited the importance of creating a feeling of belonging to the company (69% of respondents) and offering interesting career path within the company (62% of respondents). It is interesting to note, this top set of measures comes before paying above market (third with 50% of respondents) and having a system of rewards and recognition (46%). Company reputation and training plans represent the next set of measures cited by executives. These results are in line with previous years’ surveys.

Even if the above selection of most effective HR measures is shared by both Chinese and Foreign companies, we observe differences in the relative weight of some of the strategies between both types of firms (Figure 57):

A larger proportion of Chinese-owned firms favor compensation related measures when compared to foreign-owned firms. “Pay above market” is cited by 59% of respondents working in Chinese firms vs. 37% for those in foreign companies. Similarly, “Stock plans”, even if less frequent, are more successful amongst Chinese firms (37% of them) than foreign ones (11%). This may be related to regulations regarding foreign stock ownership by Chinese citizens.

On the other hand, foreign firms put more emphasis (when compared to Chinese firms) to “Company reputation” (considered most efficient by 39% of foreign-owned firms vs. 32% of Chinese-owned firms), “Good relationship with direct boss” (34% vs. 27%) and “Coaching and Mentoring” (29% vs. 13%).

**FIGURE 57 - WHAT MEASURES DO YOU FIND MOST EFFICIENT IN RETAINING EMPLOYEES? MULTIPLE ANSWERS**

![Bar chart showing the most effective measures to retain employees](image-url)
4.2. SALES AND MARKETING

Market segments and mobility

*Clear market positioning with slight trend to move upscale*

Our sample of companies operates mainly in the premium and middle segments with 52% and 45% of companies respectively, and only a small 3% in the low-end (Figure 58).

In terms of segment mobility we see that even if a majority of companies plan to grow future sales within their existing segment (75% for those operating in the premium segment and 66% of those operating in the middle segment), there is still a significant amount of companies that plan to grow outside of their current segment. This trend is stronger for companies in the low and middle segments where 45% and 33% of them respectively to move up into the next segment. For companies currently operating in the premium segment, a smaller proportion (24%) of them wants to expand down into the middle segment (Figure 59).

![Figure 58 - Which is your main market segment in China?](image1)

**MARKETING AND SALES BUDGETS AND MOST EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES**

*No significant difference in behavior between Chinese and foreign firms, but type of company matters (B2B or B2C, premium or middle segment). Strategies for selling successfully are complex for B2C companies.*

There is no significant difference in marketing and sales spending for Chinese owned and foreign-owned firms or in their spending intention for the coming year. However, we remark important differences between B2C and B2B companies with the former dedicating more important budgets to marketing and sales activities. Moreover, it is also B2C firms that state more often an intention to increase their budgets in the coming year (Figures 60 and 61). These results are not surprising given the high cost in China of advertising and promotion activities directed to the consumer.
Sales strategies

While the B2B companies in our sample emphasize providing service and high quality above other factors (63% and 57% of executives working in B2B companies cite them as key success factors, respectively), executives in B2C companies rate many factors similarly. This results in a list of key sales success strategies for B2C firms in our survey without a clear winner: “Awareness and advertising campaigns” (cited by 47% of polled executives in B2C firms), “Distribution network” (47%), “Quality” (47%), “Service” (46%), “Price/Quality ratio” (44%), “Brand” (43%) and “R&D” (43%). The absence of a clear recipe for success reflects the complexity of selling products directly to consumers in a country as vast and as diverse as China (Figure 62).

---

**FIGURE 60 - BY B2B/B2C: WHAT % OF YEARLY REVENUES DO YOU SPEND IN MARKETING & SALES?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B2C firms (N=124)</th>
<th>B2B firms (N=463)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 5%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 10%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 61 - BY B2B/B2C: WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS FOR NEXT YEAR?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B2C firms (N=124)</th>
<th>B2B firms (N=466)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase marketing</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep same levels</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce marketing</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 62 – BY B2B / B2C: PLEASE CHOOSE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS ON THE SUCCESS OF YOUR SALES IN CHINA. MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>B2C (N=124)</th>
<th>B2B (N=470)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High quality</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price/quality ratio</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution network</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing a strong brand</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed to market</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market research</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness &amp; advertising</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low price</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Marketing strategies:

Most cited marketing expenditures for B2B companies in our sample are visits to clients or prospective clients (83% of respondents), followed very distantly by seminars and conferences and trade fairs (51% and 34% of respondents respectively - Figure 63).

In contrast, B2C firms favor mostly advertising and promotion activities, with the internet and new technologies taking a prominent position: Social media is cited by 52% of executives working for B2C firms as one of most effective marketing activities, Web marketing including Search Engine Optimization (cited by 43%), and Traditional advertising like print, TV, radio or outdoors (41%).

Distribution network

Two out of ten companies in our sample evaluates their national distribution network as bad or very bad. 55% of total executives surveyed labelled their distribution network as “sufficient” and 27% as “effective” or “very effective”. There are similar levels of satisfaction amongst surveyed firms in the premium and middle segments. Companies operating in the low-end segment appear to be the most concerned by this problem with 34% of their executives declaring their distribution networks to be bad or very bad (Figure 64).
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