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Bilateral relations between Switzerland and China are characterized by pioneering spirit and intense cooperation. In 2016 our two countries have strengthened their rich and successful partnership across a wide range of areas.

Since 1949, Switzerland has always shown its pioneering spirit in developing bilateral ties with China. The historic state visit of President Xi Jinping to Switzerland this year will further boost bilateral trade and economic ties. Innovation in the Sino-Swiss context stands for more than 20 bilateral dialogues that are diversified in nature and scope. They cover a wide variety of topics in politics, the economy, trade, finance, science, education and culture.

During the state visit of our then President Johann Schneider-Ammann to China in April last year, both our Presidents agreed to elevate the relations between our two countries to a new level by establishing the “Sino-Swiss Innovative Strategic Partnership”. This is a truly meaningful achievement for both countries.

Our country might be relatively small in size, but Switzerland is ranked as the number 18 economy in the world with several industries topping the world in terms of technologies and markets. It is important to note that the forces driving Switzerland’s innovation are not only large companies, but also private actors and in particular our SMEs. These may not be as well-known as the big international Swiss brands, but they are the true “hidden” champions in many crucial “niche” areas. The unique combination of successful multinational companies and thriving, innovative SMEs has made Switzerland a global economic and innovation leader.

It is a splendid signal that this year’s CEIBS Business in China Survey you hold in your hands shows a measurable rebound in confidence of Swiss and foreign companies engaged in China for the coming year. Over 50% of Swiss, EU, and US companies stated an intention to increase investments in China in 2017. Foreign and Chinese companies of all sizes are expecting better sales and profits than they were at this time last year. Generally speaking, Swiss, international and Chinese companies are doing well in China.

It is the mutual spirit of collaboration and the complementarity of our two economies that makes Switzerland such a brilliant match for China – and naturally vice versa. I’m delighted to say the two countries are highly complementary, not only in the fields of trade and innovation.

By whatever measure you are looking at the Chinese business environment, I am sure this report will serve as a helpful overall view and I am especially sure it will be of great value to those considering establishing new ventures in the attractive and fast-growing market of China.

Jean-Jacques de Dardel
Ambassador of Switzerland to the People’s Republic of China
Nicolas Musy holds a MSc. in Physics Engineering from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne (www.epfl.ch). He has won his university’s first Special Alumni Award for demonstrating outstanding innovative and entrepreneurial spirit. He has been responsible to establish EPFL’s presence in China and his Alma Mater cooperation with Chinese top universities and the Chinese Academy of Science. In addition, he developed and ran the 2 weeks China Module of the EPFL EMBA in Entrepreneurship.

Exclusively involved in China trade, investment, research, strategy and project management, he has resided in the Shanghai area since 1988. He founded the Swiss Center in China (www.swisscenters.org), co-founded the first Swiss industrial SME in China, Suzhou 2-ply Co. Ltd (www.2-ply.com) and is the co-owner of LX Precision (www.LXprecision.com).

Founding Partner of China Integrated (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. (www.ch-ina.com), Nicolas has successfully supported a number of multinationals and hundreds of mid-sized companies on market entry, operations management, acquisition and restructuring in the past 7 years.

Since 1997, China Integrated is a solution provider dedicated to supporting international companies in successfully establishing and developing their businesses in China, whether their needs are market entry, growth or acquisition. Building on 20 years of experience and the skills of internationally trained Chinese professionals, China Integrated provides the expertise needed to ensure the long-term, superior success of foreign businesses in China. China Integrated's team comprises about 25 skilled entrepreneurs, strategists, managers, engineers and service professionals with complementary backgrounds in business management, Chinese law, recruitment, tax, finance, IT, ERP and PR.

In the last 7 years, China Integrated has successfully facilitated the establishment, development and expansion of around 350 International firms, large and small, with innovative solutions and cost-effective best practices developed through its decades of experience in Shanghai, Beijing, Hong Kong and Mongolia.

Based on its company research and experience, China Integrated regularly publishes books and analyses to facilitate the decision making of managers running international companies and institutions active in China.

In 2007, China Integrated conducted the first business survey of Swiss companies in China, “Behind the China Kaleidoscope”, highlighting success factors of Swiss companies and offering a comprehensive roadmap for those planning to be active and operate in China.

This was followed in 2009 by an analysis of human resources practices, the “China HR Paradox.” Indeed, HR issues were identified as the key challenge and success factor of the 2007 research. Then, in collaboration with CEIBS, “2010 Doing Business in China: A Survey of European Companies” was published, integrating both analysis and contributions from experts.

The success of this publication led to yearly surveys and this 2017 Business in China Survey, which analyzes the business landscape for foreign companies in China.

You can find more information on www.ch-ina.com.
Anthony DeOrsey is North America General Manager at China Integrated (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. (www.ch-in.com). Anthony spent 5 years in China and specializes in marketing, PR, and branding, with ground-level experience in China-based start-ups. A key player in the beginning stages of two startups in Shanghai, Anthony was most recently as the head of marketing and PR for one of China’s first sustainable daily use brands. In 2010, Anthony graduated with a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science and Communications Studies from the University of Rhode Island and is currently pursuing a part-time Master’s Degree in Sustainability through the Harvard extension program.

Swiss Centers China

Founded in 2000 as a non-profit, Sino-Swiss, public-private partnership, Swiss Centers China (SCC) is by far the largest cluster of Swiss enterprises in Asia. With four locations strategically located on the dynamic East coast of China (Shanghai and Beijing-Tianjin), SCC not only offers virtual and instant office space as well as ready-to-use workshops and showrooms, but also supports member companies with government relations, technology transfer and a broad network of experts. SCC has served more than 300 companies in China – both SMEs and large enterprises. SCC experts have established 30 production companies and more than 50 commercial offices for Swiss companies. SCC also conducts surveys and expert analyses of China’s business opportunities and challenges, and at the same time promotes the Swiss Made brand and Switzerland as a country for innovation and an industrial leader.

Zhen Xiao is the Managing Director of Swiss Centers China. Mr. Xiao obtained his engineering education from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. He then worked in Singapore and in Switzerland for more than 15 years as an engineer, researcher, and manager. He has been working with the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne since 2005 as China Relation Coordinator. Over the past 6 years, he has supported and advised many Swiss companies in business development in China, and successfully expanded the Swiss Center cluster with new facilities and services.

Aline Ballaman is the General Manager of Swiss Centers China. After 8-years of management experience in the tourism and watch industries in Switzerland, she came to China in 2011 and enrolled in a 1-year Chinese language program at Suzhou University before joining Swiss Center Shanghai in 2012 as Operation Manager. For the past five years, she has been responsible for the China offices in advising and supporting Swiss businesses entering the Chinese market. Since 2014, she supervised the implementation of three projects dedicated to Swiss businesses complete with showroom, office, and warehouse facilities in the China (Shanghai) Pilot Free Trade Zone and in the north of China, Tianjin.

Emmanuelle Roduit holds a Bachelor of Science in History and Political Economy from the University of Fribourg, Switzerland. She also holds a diploma in Hospitality Management from Hospitality School of Geneva. Emmanuelle has spent the last five years in multiple regions of China (Beijing, Inner Mongolia, and Shanghai) and has worked both for Chinese and foreign companies in different industries such as hospitality, wine and tea. In 2015, she joined the Swiss Center Shanghai as the Operation Manager.

Jérémy Chiffelle is currently pursuing a Bachelor in Business Administration at the University of Applied Sciences in Neuchâtel. He spent a year working in China, first as a Junior Project Leader for swissnex China and then as a tax assistant for Ecovis Ruide.
In cooperation with the China Europe International Business School (CEIBS) ¹, the Swiss Centers China ², China Integrated ³, The Embassy of Switzerland in Beijing ⁴, swissnex China ⁵, Swiss Global Enterprise ⁶, and SwissCham ⁷ are pleased to bring you the findings of Swiss respondents who participated in the CEIBS Business in China Survey 2017. In analyzing these responses, we intend to draw conclusions that will be useful for Swiss companies and their activities in China.

This latest CEIBS survey is of particular interest not only for its findings but also because:

- It is the only survey that collects responses from both Chinese and foreign companies in China. Furthermore, this year's edition contains once again a unique analysis of international and Chinese SMEs.

- This survey allows comparisons among firms of different national origins that operate in China, based on a sufficient number of replies from each region.

This is the only business survey that is able to gather a sizeable sub-sample of foreign and Chinese SMEs in China. Though just around 40 foreign (Swiss, EU, US) companies employing 300 employees or less globally (classified as SMEs) answered the survey, this sample and a sample of over 300 Chinese SMEs show that SMEs are growing even faster in China and are continually finding success.

For the Swiss business community, it is for the fifth time possible to understand how similarly Swiss, European and American companies perceive their China environment.

Comparisons with findings on European and American companies allow us to feel comfortable about the accuracy of the major trends affecting Swiss companies we report, despite the small absolute number of respondents.

This year's survey is marked by a measurable rebound in confidence, companies of all origins surveyed report a higher level of confidence for the coming year than they did last year.

Generally speaking, Swiss, international and Chinese companies are doing well in China. Over 50% of Swiss, EU, and US companies stated an intention to increase investments in China in 2017. Foreign SMEs in particular saw a remarkable resurgence this year, with 65% of foreign SMEs expecting higher profits and 79% expecting increased sales.

Contrary to what is usually reported in the international media, western companies continue to succeed in the form of growing sales and profits in China, despite slower economic growth. In fact, in 2017 the expectation for improved sales is over 10 percentage points higher for large foreign companies and 25 percentage points higher for foreign SMEs when compared to 2016.

And while larger foreign companies have lower sales and profit expectations than their Chinese counterparts, foreign SMEs expect to do as well as Chinese ones.

¹ http://www.ceibs.edu/
² http://www.swisscenters.org/
³ http://www.ch-ina.com
⁴ https://www.eda.admin.ch/beijing
⁵ http://www.swissnexchina.org/en/
⁶ http://www.s-ge.com/en
⁷ http://cn.swisscham.org/
Challenges are also growing for foreign companies. For the fourth year in a row, competition from private Chinese companies is perceived to be more important than competition from other foreign firms. In terms of internal challenges, as always, finding and retaining talent tops the lists of both foreign and Chinese managers.

**R&D has increased as a priority for Chinese businesses;** an initiative that one can see reflected in the shift toward quality from Chinese SMEs and continued strength of the Chinese respondents as competitors in general. Still, foreign companies, and Swiss in particular, hold quality, technology, and brand advantages that have allowed them to continue growing despite increased competition.

**Reports of problems posed by corruption in China are at their lowest numbers** since we began surveying companies on the topic 5 years ago. In general, corruption and IP infringements rank in the bottom third of reported external challenges by respondent companies.

Hopefully, this latest survey edition will contribute to the **clarification of common misperceptions and highlight the actual situation that Swiss and international businesses face in China.**

Additionally, we hope that these facts, analyses and the benchmarks that they provide will **help Swiss companies to be even more successful** in the coming years, if only by providing facts that will improve the understanding of headquarters.

We also want to express our sincere gratitude to all the respondents: thanks to them, a representative and objective point of view on Sino-Swiss business is available to all. At the same time, we would like to take the chance to encourage everyone to participate in the 2018 survey, so that we can further expand the knowledge pool available and continue to do successful business in China.

The first part of this report emphasizes Swiss companies, with specific comparisons between Swiss and Chinese companies, SMEs and larger companies, and companies of Swiss, American, European, and Chinese origin. The overall results of the CEIBS survey and analysis of foreign and Chinese companies are presented in the second part of the report.
1 FOREIGN COMPANIES, AND SMES IN PARTICULAR, CONTINUE FINDING BETTER SUCCESS IN CHINA

Approximately 10% of foreign respondents can be classified as small and medium enterprises (SMEs), defined as companies with less than 300 employees globally, approximately 52% are large companies with over 10'000 employees worldwide. SMEs are even more prevalent among Chinese companies sampled, with nearly 40% qualifying as SMEs, in contrast to just 17% with over 10'000 employees.¹

Of the foreign companies sampled, **Swiss companies present the largest proportion of SMEs, totaling nearly 20% of Swiss respondents.** By comparison, other western SMEs are much less represented with only 10% of European and 2% of American companies employing less than 300 employees globally.

These figures do not necessarily represent the proportion of SMEs in the total number of foreign companies.

Yet it is reasonable to believe that Swiss SMEs are proportionally more numerous in China than other foreign respondents. Indeed, due to the small size of the Swiss domestic market, Swiss SMEs need to be more adapted and geared towards internationalization than those originating in larger Western markets.

Taken together, the sample of around 35 foreign SMEs that answered the survey is similar in size to the samples in years 2013 through 2016. This sample is small, but it allows for comparisons with larger foreign companies. Considering that the proportion of the 2017 SME respondents and other 2017 findings are generally consistent with those of previous years, we are confident in the relevance of the results despite small samples.

¹ (A significant proportion of Chinese companies sampled did not have operations abroad. As a result, Chinese SMEs have been defined according to the number of their employees in China.)
FIGURE 1 - HOW MANY EMPLOYEES GLOBALLY?

FIGURE 2 - HOW MANY EMPLOYEES IN CHINA?
1.1 Outlook for SMEs: Record Expectations

As this section will serve to demonstrate, both foreign and Chinese SMEs are on the forefront of a renewed sense of optimism: throughout this survey respondents report significantly higher expectations than in 2016, but SMEs are especially confident. Foreign SMEs in China are seeing higher percentages of their global sales generated in China. 11% of large foreign firms ("FOR +300") expect more than half of their sales to be generated in China whereas 22% of SMEs ("FOR SME") hold the same expectation:

**FIGURE 3 - WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR COMPANY’S GLOBAL SALES WERE GENERATED IN CHINA IN 2016?**

![Graph showing the distribution of global sales generated in China for foreign and SME firms.](image_url)
Larger enterprises expect slightly improved profits compared to 2016. SMEs, however, are expecting higher profits in substantially greater numbers than last year: 65% of foreign SMEs predict higher profit, as opposed to 39% in 2016, while 72% of Chinese SMEs predict higher profits, compared to 50% last year. In recent surveys, Chinese SMEs have had a noticeably higher percentage of respondents predicting higher profits than their foreign SME counterparts, but this year foreign SMEs are not far behind. Perhaps more importantly, only 3% of foreign SMEs expect a drop in profits, and none expect a significant drop.

**FIGURE 4 - HOW DO YOU EXPECT YOUR COMPANY’S CHINA PROFIT?**

![Diagram showing profit expectations]
Sales are expected to increase all-around in 2017, and similar to the sentiment stated in the profits data, no foreign companies are expecting significant decreases in sales.

Foreign SMEs show a marked improvement in sales expectations from last year, with 25% more SMEs than last year looking forward to sales increases. Large foreign companies have similar expectations, and the continued predictions of growth are a testament to the ability of Western enterprises of all sizes to compete and succeed in an ever more dynamic Chinese market.

Chinese enterprises are also optimistic, with higher expectations for sales reported by 76% of SMEs and 82% of large companies. This may be an indicator that the regulatory changes promulgated in recent years have succeeded in bolstering the private sector; in any case, the growth in sales and profit that we have seen from Chinese companies in recent surveys has improved each year.

FIGURE 5 - HOW DO YOU EXPECT YOUR COMPANY’S CHINA SALES?
1.2 SMEs Continue to Succeed in Niches

Consistent with previous years, SMEs for the most part perceive challenges with less intensity than larger firms do. Foreign SMEs seem to have made improvements on facing issues that presented heavier challenges in previous years.

Last year, the top external challenge for both foreign SMEs and large enterprises was the economic slowdown in China, however, this year that concern has dropped to positions 4 and 2 respectively. Perhaps more significant is that Chinese SMEs still see the economic slowdown as a challenge in a similar proportion to last year (50% in 2017 vs. 54% in 2016) while foreign SMEs hold this concern in a much lower proportion (39% in 2017 vs. 54% in 2016). This again signals that the recent downturn was likely an outlier year.

Competition and rising labor costs present more pressing challenges to foreign SMEs this year than in previous years. Still, foreign SMEs are not struggling more with labor costs than their Chinese counterparts are, and 12% fewer foreign SMEs see competition as a concern than large foreign enterprises do. This can likely be attributed to the nature of SMEs typical business model, operating in niches rather than mass markets. China’s market becomes progressively more competitive every year, but companies that are able to succeed in niches are less susceptible to pressure from competition.
For large enterprises, the ranking of external challenges is similar to previous years. **Competition has displaced economic slowdown in China as the top challenge**, and rising labor cost remains the third greatest challenge, however, the percentages do not differ significantly.

The challenges related to government and legal environment have eased for foreign companies but surprisingly have increased for large Chinese companies. This observation may indicate that although regulatory changes have allotted more growth opportunities to private Chinese companies, the **stricter enforcement of regulations** (e.g. war on corruption) is favoring foreign companies who have a stronger culture of compliance than their Chinese competitors.

As well, the larger challenge for Chinese companies related to increasing labor costs (government-mandated minimum wage increases) may well be due to the stronger know-how that foreign companies have to increase efficiency and productivity (e.g. lean management and automation). In this aspect also foreign companies are better equipped to deal with the "New Normal" (the post financial crisis slower growth Chinese economy).
Chinese private companies remain the most imposing competitor for the third year in a row. Although, this year, the percentage of companies citing Chinese private companies as the top competitor has not increased significantly for any group, and has in fact decreased by 12% for large foreign enterprises. This may signal that while private Chinese companies are the toughest competitor, most managers of foreign subsidiaries have already come to recognize their advantages in the New Normal.

A noticeable difference is found between foreign and Chinese companies with regards to competition from state-owned enterprises (SOEs). Chinese companies of all sizes view SOEs as competitors markedly more than foreign companies do. This may be attributable to restrictions in certain industries that prevent foreign companies from operating in some of the areas where state-owned companies are active. Interestingly enough, however, Swiss companies on average report a similar level of competition with SOEs as Chinese do. (See section 2.2 below.)

**FIGURE 8 - WHO ARE YOUR MAJOR COMPETITORS IN CHINA? SME (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**
FIGURE 9 - WHO ARE YOUR MAJOR COMPETITORS IN CHINA?
LARGER FIRMS (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competitor Type</th>
<th>FOR +300 (n=309)</th>
<th>PRC +300 (n=519)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese private</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign companies (WFOE)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint ventures</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State-owned</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition from imports</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No major competitors</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 9 provides a bar chart showing the distribution of major competitors reported by larger firms in China. The chart includes percentages for Chinese private companies, foreign companies (WFOE), joint ventures, state-owned companies, and competition from imports, as well as other and no major competitors, comparing responses from FOR +300 and PRC +300.
With regard to internal challenges, “finding and retaining talent” is again the top issue for most segments surveyed (“Innovation capability” is the top internal challenge for large Chinese companies, though). Figures 17 and 38 later in the survey explore the HR strategies employed by different survey segments to deal with such challenges.

A critical distinction between foreign SMEs and larger companies is that “Support from the head office” is of greater concern to large foreign firms, suggesting that foreign SME’s headquarters are closer to their subsidiaries and more able to understand local needs and trends.

“Innovation capability” is an issue especially present for Chinese companies, and is the top challenge for large Chinese companies. This points out one more advantage for foreign companies when dealing with a more and more competitive market.

Chinese companies are however encouraged (financially as well) by government initiatives to place priority on R&D and innovation capabilities. Large foreign companies list innovation capability as a more significant hurdle than do foreign SMEs. This may indicate that SMEs’ agility and activities in global niche markets (that they usually dominate technologically) are serving them well in China.

Similar to innovation challenges, Chinese SMEs struggle more with marketing capability than their foreign counterparts do. This may be surprising given that Chinese companies have grown through the domestic market and are not faced with the same distance-from-headquarters issues that foreign companies are. However, the ability of foreign SMEs to differentiate through innovation, offering better products and services and their global presence and related marketing needs may allow them to do better than their Chinese counterparts. Indeed, they have typically relied on relationships to market their products and are now faced with a professionalizing environment.

This situation does not extend to large companies, however. This also indicates that Chinese SMEs are more reluctant to devote resources to marketing than larger Chinese companies.

An interesting change from last year is that finance-related difficulties have more than doubled for foreign SMEs and they report more such difficulties than Chinese SMEs. This could potentially pose barriers to further fast growth if not resolved. It presents an opportunity for foreign institutions (financial and governmental) to enhance competitiveness of their SMEs in China, while the Chinese government steps up its support for local SMEs.
FIGURE 10 - WHAT ARE THE GREATEST INTERNAL CHALLENGES FOR YOUR COMPANY? SME (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

- Finding and retaining talent: 70% for SME (n=40), 70% for PRC SME (n=316)
- Finance related difficulties: 45% for SME, 32% for PRC SME
- Corporate governance: 35% for both SME and PRC SME
- Innovation capability: 33% for SME, 48% for PRC SME
- Marketing capability: 33% for SME, 50% for PRC SME
- Distribution problems: 28% for SME, 21% for PRC SME
- Support from head office: 23% for SME, 8% for PRC SME
- Other: 5% for both SME and PRC SME
FIGURE 11 - WHAT ARE THE GREATEST INTERNAL CHALLENGES FOR YOUR COMPANY? LARGER FIRMS (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

- Finding and retaining talent: 61% (FOR +300), 63% (PRC +300)
- Innovation capability: 57% (FOR +300), 68% (PRC +300)
- Marketing capability: 46% (FOR +300), 45% (PRC +300)
- Support from head office: 10% (FOR +300), 38% (PRC +300)
- Distribution problems: 18% (FOR +300), 28% (PRC +300)
- Corporate governance: 27% (FOR +300), 43% (PRC +300)
- Finance related difficulties: 7% (FOR +300), 16% (PRC +300)
- Other: 5% (FOR +300), 4% (PRC +300)

Bar charts show percentage of companies facing each challenge.
Again this year, there are no fundamental differences to be observed between SMEs and larger firms with regard to factors for sales success.

But the differences between Chinese and foreign companies (large and small) tell a rather consistent story. While foreign firms focus on quality and developing a brand, the Chinese ones focus more on "quality/price ratio", distribution and market research.

R&D is also more emphasized by Chinese respondents, which indicates that Chinese companies intend to catch up with foreign companies’ ability to offer higher quality and more advanced and adapted products.

In other words, as always, foreign firms compete on quality as well as more advanced products and use their advantage to build strong brands while Chinese companies still offer lower prices and try to maximize their local distribution advantages.
FIGURE 13 - WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS ON THE SUCCESS OF YOUR SALES IN CHINA? LARGER FIRMS (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

- High quality: 61% (FOR +300), 49% (PRC +300)
- Service: 49% (FOR +300), 55% (PRC +300)
- Distribution network: 38% (FOR +300), 44% (PRC +300)
- Developing a strong brand: 37% (FOR +300), 21% (PRC +300)
- R&D: 35% (FOR +300), 40% (PRC +300)
- Price/quality ratio: 30% (FOR +300), 46% (PRC +300)
- Speed to market: 21% (FOR +300), 18% (PRC +300)
- Awareness & advertising campaigns: 19% (FOR +300), 20% (PRC +300)
- Market research: 12% (FOR +300), 16% (PRC +300)
- Low price: 5% (FOR +300), 7% (PRC +300)
- Other: 3% (FOR +300), 2% (PRC +300)
2 A CLOSER LOOK: COMPARING THE SWISS AND CHINESE PERSPECTIVES

2.1 China Remains a Very Challenging Environment

“Finding and retaining talent” is the top internal challenge for both Swiss and Chinese businesses. Marketing and Innovation capability are also within the top challenges for both groups of enterprises.

However, “innovation capability” is a much closer second to “retaining top talents” for Chinese firms than it is for the Swiss ones. It should be noted that the disparity in challenges between Swiss and Chinese respondents did in fact decrease since last year’s survey. For example, there was a 24% gap between Swiss and Chinese respondents on innovation capability last year, while this difference is only 17% this year. Likewise, a 16% gap in corporate governance in 2016 was narrowed down to 5% in 2017.

And while Swiss SMEs face quite some more financing difficulties than their Chinese counterparts, overall Swiss companies still appear generally better financed than the Chinese ones.

---

**FIGURE 14 - WHAT ARE THE GREATEST INTERNAL CHALLENGES FACING YOUR COMPANY IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**

- Finding and retaining talent: CH 67%, PRC 65%
- Innovation capability: CH 43%, PRC 60%
- Marketing capability: CH 41%, PRC 47%
- Corporate governance: CH 35%, PRC 40%
- Support from head office: CH 9%, PRC 34%
- Distribution problems: CH 19%, PRC 19%
- Finance related difficulties: CH 16%, PRC 22%
- Other: CH 7%, PRC 5%
In comparison with last year’s survey, Swiss companies are now experiencing a similar challenge posed by the economic slowdown and rising labor costs but more challenges resulting from competition.

When comparing this year’s Swiss versus Chinese results, Chinese companies state a lesser challenge from the economic slowdown but report the same level of difficulty with competition as the Swiss do and a considerably higher challenge from rising labor costs, again indicating a higher level of difficulty for Chinese companies to improve efficiency.

**FIGURE 15 - WHAT ARE THE GREATEST EXTERNAL CHALLENGES FOR YOUR COMPANY? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**
2.2 HR Issues: Similar Challenges, Differing Swiss Strategies

Respondents from both countries continue to see in very similar ways their biggest HR challenges in hiring & retaining employees and rising labor costs.

Paradoxically, however, Chinese firms have a much more difficult time in “generating commitment and loyalty”, compared to the Swiss, but less of a challenge than Swiss firms in “retaining employees”.

This may indicate that Chinese companies employ personnel who apparently have less possibilities to find other, better opportunities, and are therefore probably less qualified. As a result, one may surmise that Chinese employees are generally more reluctant, less engaged employees.

However, the next section provides some additional explanations for this situation.

FIGURE 16 - WHAT ARE THE MAJOR HUMAN RESOURCE ISSUES FACING YOUR COMPANY IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)
Indeed, to deal with the still very critical HR issue and in order to retain employees, “pay(ing) above the market” is heavily used by the local employers. It comes as their third most efficient measure after “Offering a good career path” and “develop(ing) a feeling of belonging”. In fourth place for Chinese companies comes “stock plans”, which is least considered by the Swiss.

Here again, we see a consistent pattern in differences of HR management: while Chinese favor monetary rewards (pay above market, stock plan) and career plans (which ultimately pro-vides visibility to an employee in terms of promotion and therefore substantial increase in re-muneration) to retain employees, Swiss firms emphasize the traditional European approach of softer factors to retain employees (recognitions & rewards, company reputation, developing good boss-employee relations, coaching & mentoring).

While it appears that Swiss companies may have more efficient HR management than their Chinese counterparts overall, they should have opportunities to improve their retention performance. In particular, they could consider to make more efforts in terms of career plans and remuneration (possibly related to the long term performance of the company to compete with the Chinese stock plans).

![Figure 17 - What measures do you find most efficient in retaining employees? (Multiple answers)](image-url)
2.3 Chinese Competitors’ Advantages

Swiss companies in China perceive local private players to be their greatest competitors, closely followed by other foreign companies, a continuation of the trend witnessed beginning in 2014 and a clear indication that domestic players are rapidly advancing in capability.

This perspective is shared by Chinese companies that see Chinese private companies as their most important competitors. Yet, this year’s responses offer a slightly different perspective on state owned enterprises (SOEs), with Swiss companies perceiving SOEs as competitive nearly as much as Chinese companies do. (This could indicate that SOEs are getting more active in sectors traditional to Swiss enterprises or that more of the Swiss companies active in SOE sectors are answering the survey.)

![FIGURE 18 - WHO ARE YOUR MAJOR COMPETITORS IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)](image-url)
The chart below on competitive advantages is consistent with the results on challenges above. For Swiss companies, the very competitive landscape is once more highlighted by the Chinese competitors’ advantages: “pricing”, “cost advantages”, and “local knowledge and reach”.

Chinese companies find the quality of Swiss products and the Swiss companies solid positioning hard to match. “Brand recognition”, “marketing and sales”, and “product” are areas where Chinese managers see much more competition from Chinese companies than Swiss managers do. “Access to capital” also confirms that financing is an area where Swiss companies overall have less difficulties than their competitors.

**FIGURE 19 - WHAT ARE THE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF YOUR MAIN CHINESE COMPETITORS? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**
2.4 Quality and Making it Known Remain the Key to Swiss Success in China

Based on the results analyzed above, it is not surprising that the key factors of success cited by Swiss companies are “quality of the products/services”, “brand and awareness creation”, and human resources (HR) factors, specifically “quality of the management team” and “strong company culture & values”. This is naturally coherent with “fierce competition” and “economy slowdown” identified as biggest external challenges and HR being singled out as the greatest management challenge.

The Swiss emphasis on quality and good HR certainly creates opportunities for stronger brand and company reputation, demonstrating again that Swiss companies are able to differentiate and overcome pricing disadvantages by offering better products, developing stronger brands, and building up efficient organizations and attractive company cultures.

This strategy appears to be effective in dealing with the slower growth but continued cost increases coming with the New Normal: Swiss companies report “cost control and operations efficiency” as much less important this year than in previous years (30% in 2017 vs. 44% in 2016).
40% of Chinese companies, on the other hand, are still struggling with controlling costs and increasing efficiency (they were 43% in the 2016 survey and 41% in the 2015 edition).

Still, Chinese companies recognize quality as a key factor for their success, and therefore put more emphasis on R&D than Swiss ones. This indicates yet again the intention of Chinese companies to catch up in terms of innovation with foreign companies over time.

(This also appears explicitly in section 4.2 R&D, Innovation and Intellectual Property, below.)
The sales factors of success results chart below re-confirms, if need be, the findings already obtained from the answers to previous questions.

**Swiss companies maintain growth in sales and profits by offering products that Chinese companies still find difficult to offer in terms of quality.**

This also explains why Swiss companies in China have been competing as much with foreign competitors as they have with Chinese ones.

**FIGURE 21 - PLEASE CHOOSE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS FOR THE SUCCESS OF YOUR SALES IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**

![Bar chart showing the most important factors for sales success in China, with Swiss and Chinese perspectives. The chart includes factors such as High quality, Service, Developing a strong brand, Price/quality ratio, Speed to market, R&D, Distribution network, Awareness & advertising campaigns, Market research, Low price, and Other. The data is presented with Chinese (CH) and PRC perspectives, showing percentages for each factor.]
3 BACK ON TRACK: REVENUES, PROFITS, AND INVESTMENT EXPECTATIONS IMPROVE ACROSS THE BOARD

3.1 Profits and Sales Continue to Grow

The confidence of managers of foreign companies in China has rebounded (see section 3.2 below). This is well illustrated by the expectations for profit of Swiss companies. After a dip in expectations last year, nearly half of Swiss companies are expecting higher profits in 2017 and a very low number (4%) are expecting a decrease in profits.

FIGURE 22 - HOW DO YOU EXPECT YOUR COMPANY’S CHINA PROFIT? (CH COMPANIES)
Companies of all origins report higher average expectations for their profitability in 2016 and for their sales in 2017 as compared to 2016. What's more, Swiss and American firms are predicting their best sales year since 2014 while European and Chinese firms predict their best sales since we began the survey.
This reflects that the slowing growth of the Chinese economy is not resulting in reduced growth for the respondents to the survey, as would be expected.

This result may appear extraordinary in light of the many media reports on China’s decelerating growth and the occasional catastrophic predictions. However, it is important to remember that decelerating growth on a larger GDP base results in the addition of more absolute GDP increase than in previous, double digit growth years, therefore providing new business opportunities at least as strong as prior to 2008. Indeed, today’s “New Normal” of single-digit growth generates a consistent or even larger increase in absolute sales from all companies, both foreign and Chinese.

Additionally, growth is now generated less and less from traditional and heavy industries, driven by construction and civil engineering works. The proportion of growth coming from services and higher or new technologies is increasing, which favors foreign and more so-phisticated Chinese companies to capture more of the growth. As an example, growth in the medical sector is in the range of 10% and e-commerce grew by almost 20% in 2016, quite higher than the 6.7% overall China GDP growth.⁹

⁹ Chinese companies’ respondents are alumni of CEIBS, and therefore most likely to be from top Chinese companies.
3.2 Investment Plans in China Naturally Follow Profits and Remain a Top Priority

The confidence reflected later in Figures 28 and 29 is carried through the sales and profit picture above and remains consistent with regard to investment plans: over 50% of all origins surveyed plan expanded investment in China.

While in 2016 we reported a sentiment of cautious optimism (i.e. businesses not necessarily withdrawing investment, but seeking consistent investments in lieu of aggressive ones), this year, businesses are more eager to invest. Most notably, 59% of American companies plan to increase investment in China this year, compared to only 40% last year. For the third year in a row, Chinese companies are the most ambitious investors, with 72% planning to increase investment, the exact same amount as in 2016 except with even more intention to increase at the most substantial levels (29% of companies plan to increase investments by 20% or more in 2017 vs. 25% of companies with the same plan in 2016).

The third year in a row, Chinese companies are the most ambitious investors, with 72% planning to increase investment, the exact same amount as in 2016 except with even more intention to increase at the most substantial levels (29% of companies plan to increase investments by 20% or more in 2017 vs. 25% of companies with the same plan in 2016).

![Figure 25 - What Investment Do You Plan for 2017 in China?](image-url)
China once again ranks as a top investment priority for Swiss firms, with 57% of managers of Swiss subsidiaries considering China to be a top 3 investment priority, in contrast to 48% in 2016. This level of prioritization is consistent with those seen prior to the economic downturn, again confirming that the hints of reluctance seen in 2016 can likely be considered outliers in the overall trend of foreign investment in China.
3.3 A Rebound of Confidence

We wrote last year that Swiss companies saw a marked drop in confidence, even when compared to companies of other origins. However, 2017 marks a clear rebound in confidence, as the majority of businesses weathered the Chinese crises of 2015 without major consequence. Importantly, Swiss confidence in the success of their businesses during coming year has bounced back to similar rates as in 2014 and 2015, and higher rates than 2012 and 2013.

This comeback is not unique to the Swiss, in fact, managers from all origins report a marked improvement in their confidence for the coming year, and all besides the Swiss express more confidence than in 2015. The reports on confidence from managers are indeed consistent, echoing the higher expectations for sales and profits stated in Figures 23 and 24.
Swiss companies are again the most confident in the five-year prospects for the success of their operations, as they were in 2013-2015.

Besides, all but American managers are looking optimistically toward the next five years. The divergence of the Americans from the outlook of other countries’ managers is likely not an outlier: the recent election of Donald J. Trump in the U.S. was unexpected by many, and presents a new element of unpredictability to international business people, especially given the new President’s often aggressive stance toward trade and promises to curtail areas of U.S. trade engagement with China.
4 IMPROVED ATTITUDES TOWARD REGULATORY & IP ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Corruption & Government Environment

Managers are experiencing fewer challenges with regard to corruption. The anti-corruption drive implemented by the Xi administration and accelerated over the past 3 years is starting to have an impact in the business world.

In previous years we reported a perception gap with regard to corruption in China; foreign companies, including those of Swiss origin, stated that corruption in China was a “moderate” or “serious” general problem in a much higher proportion than they stated it as a problem in their industry. While this gap is indeed closing (19% gap in 2017 compared to differentials of 28% or more in previous years), the perception of corruption in both respects is trending downward. Since 2014, the first year that we posed questions related to corruption, the percentage of Swiss companies that view corruption in China as “serious” has halved, and the percentage that view it as being more than a “minor problem” has dropped by 27%.

FIGURE 30 - IN YOUR VIEW HOW SERIOUS IS CORRUPTION IN CHINA IN GENERAL AND IN YOUR INDUSTRY? (CH COMPANIES)
Swiss companies are not alone in their improving view on corruption; All demographics surveyed have shown a decrease in the total number of respondents that consider corruption to be a moderate or serious problem in their industry.
When asked about the importance of relationships with authorities, each group of managers had a slightly different stance, although without any dramatic shifts in views. The perceived importance in maintaining relations to the government is not yet reducing significantly, indicating that government continues to play a critical role in the business world, despite the efforts currently under way to reduce government’s direct influence on the operations of businesses in China.

It will be interesting to see how this aspect further evolves over time.
4.2 R&D, Innovation, and Intellectual Property

While companies of all origins spend on R&D in similar proportions, Chinese firms clearly intend to increase their spending most, obviously to close their innovation gap.
FIGURE 34 - WHAT ARE YOUR R&D PLANS FOR THE NEXT 3 YEARS IN CHINA?

- **Expand R&D**
  - CH (n=87) - 52%
  - EU (n=91) - 49%
  - US (n=93) - 44%
  - PRC (n=791) - 37%

- **Keep same level of R&D**
  - CH (n=87) - 46%
  - EU (n=91) - 49%
  - US (n=93) - 44%
  - PRC (n=791) - 37%

- **Lower R&D activities**
  - CH (n=87) - 1%
  - EU (n=91) - 3%
  - US (n=93) - 3%
  - PRC (n=791) - 2%

- **Stop R&D**
  - CH (n=87) - 1%
  - EU (n=91) - 2%
  - US (n=93) - 1%
  - PRC (n=791) - 1%
Swiss companies continue their traditional focus on innovations in new and existing product lines. However, Chinese respondents place a heavier emphasis on innovation of management techniques, probably because they lag behind in this respect and can make quick efficiency gains from management improvements.

In slight contrast to last year, managers from all origins stress the importance of process improvements and are less emphatic about innovation in new product and service lines. This is consistent with Figure 35 (Chapter 5, below) in which “rising labor cost” are the third-highest external challenge for businesses and Figure 40 which lists “cost advantages” as the second-highest advantage of Chinese competitors. It follows naturally that if wages are placing a strain on company performance and costs are a difficulty for competition, then process improvements are necessary to increase efficiency.

**FIGURE 35 - WHAT TYPES OF INNOVATIONS HAVE YOU INTRODUCED SINCE THE BEGINNING OF 2016?**
5.1 Persistent and Growing Challenges

The key internal challenge remains finding and retaining talent. Human resources management remains a central element for success, as it has been since this survey began 9 years ago. In fact, the difficulty posed by finding and retaining talent has intensified in the past year, with an increased percentage reporting this as the top internal challenge for all demographics. This may be related to a renewed confidence in the economy, which leaves employees somewhat more confident in their ability to find new work after leaving a current position.

For American and European firms, “innovation capability” presents a challenge on a level near equal with Chinese firms. Swiss companies, while feeling more pressure to innovate than in previous years, still remain ahead of competitors in their ability to do so comfortably.

![Figure 36 - What are the greatest internal challenges facing your company in China? (Multiple answers)](chart)

- Finding and retaining talent: 67% (CH), 59% (EU), 40% (USA), 60% (PRC)
- Innovation capability: 47% (CH), 41% (EU), 48% (USA), 59% (PRC)
- Marketing capability: 41% (CH), 45% (EU), 47% (USA), 48% (PRC)
- Corporate governance: 32% (CH), 35% (EU), 35% (USA), 32% (PRC)
- Support from head office: 19% (CH), 19% (EU), 25% (USA), 35% (PRC)
- Distribution problems: 19% (CH), 19% (EU), 25% (USA), 20% (PRC)
- Finance related difficulties: 16% (CH), 11% (EU), 19% (USA), 11% (PRC)
- Other: 7% (CH), 7% (EU), 7% (USA), 5% (PRC)
“Support from the head office” slid from the third to the fourth most important internal challenge for foreign companies, despite slightly increased percentages of managers reporting this as a challenge. This demonstrates that while this issue remains, challenges resulting from HR, innovation, and marketing are taking more importance.

All but Swiss managers perceive increased competition to be a more pressing external challenge than the economic slowdown (although for just a 1% not significant difference between the two, for the Swiss).

The chart below is telling of the greater trends in the Chinese business environment: IP infringement and corruption rank far below challenges associated with competition or economic development, and Chinese companies see government and legal environment as more troublesome than most foreign companies do, indicating the development of a more level playing field.

**FIGURE 37 - WHAT ARE THE GREATEST EXTERNAL CHALLENGES FOR YOUR COMPANY? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**
5.2 Labor Force Evolution

9% more Swiss companies are reporting increase in labor force compared to last year (47% compared to 38%). Furthermore, Swiss companies report the largest proportion of labor forces that were kept the same size from 2014 to 2016.

Labor changes from Chinese companies, however, are on par with their ambitious plans for investment; Chinese companies are hiring significantly more than foreign companies and decreasing little labor.

European and American companies are maintaining similar labor forces since the last survey, possibly focusing more on efficiency.
5.3 HR Issues Remain Management’s Top Internal Priority

“Finding and hiring talent” remains the top challenge for most respondents.

And, in general, companies of different origins do not experience fundamentally different HR challenges, with the possible exception of the Swiss feeling less difficulty in generating loyalty and commitment.

---

**FIGURE 41 - WHAT ARE THE MAJOR HUMAN RESOURCE ISSUES FACING YOUR COMPANY IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)**

- **Finding and hiring talent**
  - CH: 73%
  - EU: 73%
  - USA: 84%
  - PRC: 87%

- **Rising labor costs**
  - CH: 74%
  - EU: 76%
  - USA: 66%
  - PRC: 72%

- **Generating commitment & loyalty**
  - CH: 49%
  - EU: 48%
  - USA: 50%
  - PRC: 32%

- **Retaining employees**
  - CH: 31%
  - EU: 18%
  - USA: 39%

- **Unrealistic expectations of young**
  - CH: 23%
  - EU: 17%
  - USA: 24%

- **Difficulties in firing employees**
  - CH: 21%
  - EU: 20%
  - USA: 30%

- **Unethical behavior**
  - CH: 7%
  - EU: 11%
  - USA: 4%

- **Other**
  - CH: 5%
  - EU: 3%
  - USA: 5%

- **CH (n=75)**
- **EU (n=70)**
- **USA (n=64)**
- **PRC (n=709)**
While companies of all origins have similar challenges, there exist different strategies to achieve the key objective of employee retention. As discussed in section 2.1 above, Swiss companies typically do not rely on hard monetary incentives to retain employees, however and interestingly, European and American companies are closer to the Chinese one in using such approach.

FIGURE 42 - WHAT MEASURES DO YOU FIND MOST EFFICIENT IN RETAINING EMPLOYEES? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

- Develop a feeling of belonging
- Offering good career path
- System of rewards & recognition
- Company reputation
- Good relationship with boss
- Pay above market
- Coaching and mentoring
- Training plans
- Retention bonus
- Stock plans
- Other
5.4 Chinese Competitors’ Advantages

As in the past 3 surveys, Chinese private firms are the most significant source of competition for companies of all origins. The increased pressure from heavy competition is undoubtedly a result of the emergence of Chinese private firms as the cornerstone of China’s economic development. However, the competitiveness of state owned enterprises is also improving. This is visible by the position of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) in the chart below: SOEs rank second as a source of competition for Chinese companies, but third for Swiss companies, fourth for European companies, and fifth for American companies.

Still, foreign companies present much more competition to each other than they do to Chinese companies; this reflects the orientation of foreign companies toward premium segments in China where Chinese companies have a quality and technology disadvantage (69-82% of foreign companies surveyed target the premium segment, compared to just 38% of Chinese companies, see Figure 46, below).

FIGURE 43 - WHO ARE YOUR MAJOR COMPETITORS IN CHINA?
Foreign companies see the advantages of their Chinese competitors in generally the same way, with the exception of Swiss companies having significantly more difficulty with “local knowledge and reach”.

This indicates an opportunity for exchanging and providing more knowledge within the Swiss business community.

FIGURE 44 - WHAT ARE THE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF YOUR MAIN CHINESE COMPETITORS? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)
5.5 The Key Success Factors: Superior Product Quality and Human Resources

As seen in the past two surveys as well, quality tops success factors for all respondent demographics. In this area, U.S. and EU companies present some interesting outliers, with American firms stressing “R&D and product innovation” considerably more than other foreign demographics and Europeans placing much more emphasis on “cost control, operations efficiency”.

Otherwise, there are no fundamental differences in the way international companies see their key factors towards success.
FIGURE 46 - WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS FOR YOUR COMPANY’S SUCCESS IN CHINA? (MULTIPLE ANSWERS)

- Quality of the products/services: CH 52%, EU 56%, USA 51%, PRC 56%
- Brand and awareness creation: CH 27%, EU 40%, USA 42%, PRC 40%
- Strong company culture & values: CH 36%, EU 36%, USA 36%, PRC 44%
- Quality of the management team: CH 31%, EU 33%, USA 33%, PRC 33%
- Cost control, operations efficiency: CH 35%, EU 40%, USA 40%, PRC 49%
- Employee selection and training: CH 25%, EU 25%, USA 25%, PRC 25%
- R&D and product innovation: CH 24%, EU 34%, USA 49%, PRC 45%
- Guanxi and networking: CH 26%, EU 10%, USA 17%, PRC 17%
- Having a good partner: CH 14%, EU 8%, USA 20%, PRC 20%
- Performance & incentive systems: CH 14%, EU 12%, USA 18%, PRC 18%
- Internet-based business model: CH 5%, EU 6%, USA 5%, PRC 12%
- Other: CH 1%, EU 2%, USA 2%, PRC 2%
As well, in terms of sales success factors, international companies present a similar picture, with maybe the exception of the Swiss emphasizing service significantly more.
The focus on quality and brand awareness by foreign companies is clearly understood when analyzing the segments within which foreign companies operate; foreign companies are considerably more focused on the premium segments than their Chinese counterparts.
And, as expected, most Swiss companies compete for the premium segment, unquestionably helped by a continued focus on high quality.

One may however wonder if leaving the mid-segment alone will provide too much of a fertile ground for local players to develop, improving quality and then challenging premium segment actors on their own turf.

The intention in the chart below is to indicate very similar strategies of all players in terms of market segment development. It is worth noting that this same statistic was nearly identical in 2016, with only a 1-2% differential on intentions for each group. Additionally, since Chinese are more active than foreign firms in the lower segments, we may still expect foreign companies to dominate higher segments for a while.
6 DEMOGRAPHICS OF SURVEY RESPONDENTS

6.1 Distribution of Swiss Companies in China Registered with the Swiss Embassy
6.2 Geographic Location of Swiss Firms Responding to the Survey

FIGURE 50 - WHERE ARE THE HEADQUARTERS OF YOUR COMPANY IN MAINLAND CHINA LOCATED IN? CH COMPANIES (N=104)

6.3 Years in the Market

With regard to establishment year, one can again note similar distributions among all respondents.

FIGURE 51 - WHEN WAS YOUR COMPANY ESTABLISHED IN CHINA? (CH COMPANIES) (N=103)
6.4 Industrial Sectors and Types of Clients

More than 60% of all foreign firms represented in the survey are manufacturing companies, while Chinese companies once again remain more focused on the service sector (59% of companies).
A different situation occurs when looking at the customer base: Chinese and American companies are selling significantly more to the consumer segment than the European respondents, who are more strongly focused on B2B clients, with Swiss companies predominantly in B2B (89% of the Swiss companies in this sample).

**FIGURE 56 - WHO ARE YOUR CLIENTS IN CHINA?**
While it is understandable that most Chinese companies surveyed generate the majority of their global sales in China, foreign companies make a significant portion of their global sales in China. The distribution of foreign companies does not show fundamental differences as much of the answers to the other survey questions. This general consistency among over 300 respondents of international firms provides confidence that the survey results for Swiss and other foreign companies are reasonably representative and certainly relevant.
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LETTER FROM THE RESEARCH TEAM

We are pleased to present the 7th annual CEIBS China Business Survey.

We want to sincerely thank all of the executives working in China who have participated in this survey for their time and valuable contribution. In particular we thank the CEIBS alumni community and current MBA, FMBA, EMBA and Executive Education students who have given their support to this research. Our gratitude is also extended to the China-Italy chamber of commerce and to various Swiss organizations in China: Swiss Center China, Swiss Embassy in China, Swiss Business Hubs, Swissnex China, SwissCham, China Integrated. Ltd.

Finally, we acknowledge the financial support from CEIBS Research Fund. Top management executives and also functional experts have given us a very valuable and rich perspective of the situation of their businesses in China in 2016 and their expectations for 2017 and the future.

The 2017 CEIBS Business in China Survey was completed by 1,300 executives between October and December 2016, with 843 from Chinese companies (65%) and 457 from foreign companies (35%). Among them were 558 CEOs, GMs, and company owners; 414 Vice Presidents, Deputy General Managers or Directors. The rest represented all the remaining business functions: HR, Finance, Marketing, Sales, Operations and Research & Development. Of the respondents, 88% are from the Chinese mainland, 2% from Taiwan, Hong Kong or Macao, and 10% from other countries, 55% of the total sample has more than 20 years of work experience. The firms they work for are both Chinese owned (65% of them) and foreign owned (45%). This broad and experienced sample added rich and valuable perspectives to the survey.

FIGURE 1 - WHAT IS YOUR POSITION IN THE COMPANY? N= 1300

![Position in Company Chart]

- CEO/GM/Owner: 43%
- VP/Vice GM/Director: 32%
- Marketing & Sales: 6%
- Finance: 5%
- Operations & Logistics: 4%
- Project Mgr./ Bus. Dev.: 4%
- R&D: 3%
- HR: 2%
- Other: 1%
Dr. Juan Antonio Fernandez is a Professor of Management at China Europe International Business School (CEIBS) in Shanghai, China.

Prof. Fernandez has co-authored six books: America Latina en China, CHINA CEO, Chinese SOEs Reform, China CEO: A Field Guide, China (Foreign) Entrepreneur and Chinese Entrepreneurs. He has given presentations about his Chinese research in USA, India, Japan, Korea, UK, France, Italy, Spain, Vietnam, Mongolia and Ghana.

Dr. Bin Xu is Professor of Economics and Finance, Associate Dean (Research) at CEIBS. He received his B.A. and M.A. from Fudan University, and Ph.D. from Columbia University. Dr. Xu’s current research focuses on the global and Chinese economy, multinational enterprises in China, and trade and finance issues of emerging markets. He has published extensively in both international and Chinese journals, and is author of International Trade (Peking University Press, 2009). Dr. Xu has worked as a consultant for International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank.

Dr. Dongsheng Zhou is Professor of Marketing at CEIBS. He is also the academic director of SEPC, a joint executive training program with Harvard Business School and Tsinghua University. He received his Ph.D. from the University of British Columbia (UBC), Canada. Dr. Zhou’s research focuses on marketing strategies, game theory, MNE strategies in China and China’s private enterprises. His research has been published in the Academy of Management Review, International Business Review, International Marketing Review, and others. Dr. Zhou has conducted consulting services for a number of firms (e.g. IBM, DuPont).

Maria J. Puyuelo is Research Associate at CEIBS. She obtained her MBA from Stanford Graduate School of Business (USA) and her MSc in Engineering from the University of Zaragoza (Spain). Maria started her career at Procter & Gamble and has held various senior marketing positions at L’Oreal Luxury Goods Division. Most recently, she has worked as a freelance consultant, helping businesses develop and grow their markets in China. She has co-authored the book America Latina en China.

Dr. Yuwen Dai is Research Fellow at CEIBS. She received her PhD from the University of York in the UK. Prior to joining CEIBS, Dr. Dai had more than 5 years of teaching experience in Australia, US and UK. She has also worked as a consultant for the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB). As a global citizen, Yuwen has living experience in 9 cities, 6 countries across 4 continents, and she has also travelled extensively to over 50 countries across 5 continents.
SPECIAL TOPICS

This section tackles subjects that are particularly relevant to current business life in China.

- Reform Effects Seen as Weak by Companies in China
- Building a Climate of Innovation in Organizations

The purpose of this section is to give an in-depth overview of what we learn about the above topics from the diverse range of surveyed companies.
SPECIAL TOPICS

REFORM EFFECTS SEEN AS WEAK BY COMPANIES IN CHINA

China’s policy makers have been faced with a difficult dilemma in recent years. On one hand, long-standing problems such as overcapacity have become so severe that they threaten the long-term health of the economy and highlight the need for deep-level structural reform. On the other hand, mounting pressure to maintain middle-high economic growth has resulted in the use of short-term stimulus and the delay of supply-side reform. Over the past few years, we have seen policy priority swinging between reform-promotion and growth-maintenance. The impact, according to our poll of 1,300 executives from companies doing business in China, has been mixed feelings of high anticipation for deep-level reform and low satisfaction with what has actually been done.

Chinese and foreign executives who participated in the CEIBS Business in China Survey over the past few years had high expectations for structural reform. In the 2014 Survey, a poll of 1,017 executives from companies doing business in China responded very positively to the reform initiatives laid out by China’s new leadership, including the establishment of a free trade zone in Shanghai. In the 2015 Survey, participating executives anticipated that the new round of economic reform which got underway in 2014 would help establish “the decisive role of the market in resource allocation”. In the 2016 Survey, we found that the business confidence levels of both Chinese and foreign executives reached their lowest levels in late 2015, which partially explains the shift of policy focus from reform to stimulus in that year. In spring 2016, the Chinese government, through the voice of an anonymous “authoritative person” in People’s Daily, expressed its strong will to pursue structural reform, and started to implement a policy labelled “cutting excess capacity, reducing inventory, and deleveraging”. It is against this background that 1,300 executives (65% from Chinese companies and 35% from foreign companies operating in China) answered our online questionnaire in late 2016. In this article, we summarise the findings on their views on how their companies had been affected by the reform policies. By comparing the results with those from previous surveys, we find that the results from this year are particularly revealing.

Overcapacity: Severe Problem, Policy Seen as Weak

When asked about how serious a problem overcapacity is in the industry of their main business, about half of the surveyed executives considered it serious. Out of the 1,290 company executives who answered this question in late 2016, 18% of them claimed that the degree of overcapacity was “extremely high (over 30%)”, and 31% said that it was “significantly high (between 15% and 30%)” (see Figure 2). Similar statistics are found from the subsamples of 840 Chinese companies and 450 foreign companies. Only 19% of the surveyed executives did not see overcapacity as a problem in the industry of their main business (see Figure 3).
The Chinese government implemented a policy dubbed “cutting excess capacity, reducing inventory, and deleveraging” in 2016. The 2017 Survey asked the executives how their companies were affected by this policy. Out of the 1,290 executives who answered this question, 45% and 36% said – respectively – that this government policy did not affect or mildly affected their companies, and only 16% said that this policy had a large effect on their companies (see Figure 4). Not surprisingly, this government policy had more effect on Chinese companies than foreign companies. Meanwhile, 56% of executives from Chinese companies reported that their companies were at least mildly affected by the policy, compared to 46% of executives from foreign companies. Overall, the effect of the policy on overcapacity was only weakly felt in 2016 by both Chinese and foreign companies in our sample, as 44% of Chinese company executives and 54% of foreign company executives said that their companies were not affected by this policy (see Figure 5).
Shanghai FTZ: High Expectation, Limited Impact
In the 2014 Survey, participating executives expressed high expectation and strong interest in the Shanghai Pilot Free Trade Zone (FTZ), which was officially launched on September 29, 2013. In November/December of 2013, when answering the survey question “What is your expectation of the Shanghai Free Trade Zone?”, 31% of Chinese company executives and 21% of foreign company executives had high expectations and considered it a model for China’s economic reform. In addition, 55% of Chinese company executives and 56% of foreign company executives had moderate expectations and were waiting to see how far the policies in the Zone would go (see Figure 6). In answering the question “Does your company have an interest in the Shanghai Pilot Free Trade Zone”, 59% of the state-owned companies and 50% of the privately-owned
Chinese companies expressed an interest. Understandably, many executives, especially those from foreign companies, felt that there was not enough information available in order to judge if the Zone would be of interest to their companies (see Figure 7).

September 29, 2016 marked the third anniversary of the Shanghai Free Trade Zone. In the 2017 Survey, we ask the question “How is your company affected by the establishment of the Shanghai Free Trade Zone?” Out of the 1,289 executives who answered this question in late 2016, 79% said that their companies had not been affected by the establishment of the Shanghai FTZ, and only 19% reported a positive effect from the Zone (see Figure 8). It is worth noting that there are 24% of executives from foreign companies who reported a positive effect on their companies from the Zone, which is much higher than the 16% of executives from Chinese companies (see Figure 9).
Special Topics

Structural Reform: High Expectation, Perception of Slow Pace

Shanghai Free Trade Zone is not the only reform policy that was perceived to be not as effective as hoped for by executives. When asked “How do you feel about the pace of economic reform in China in 2016”, only 11% of the 1,291 executives chose “Good progress”, compared to 55% who chose “Slow progress” and 17% who chose “No progress”. There were even 16% who chose “Going backwards” (see Figure 10). When we break the sample into 841 Chinese company executives and 450 foreign company executives, we find that only 9% of executives from Chinese companies felt that there was “Good progress” made in economic reform in China in 2016, compared to 13% from executives of foreign companies. In contrast, more than half of the surveyed executives, with 57% from the subsample of Chinese company executives and 50% from the subsample of foreign company executives, felt that there was only “Slow progress” on economic reform in China in 2016; and around one-third of the surveyed executives, with 31% from the subsample of Chinese company executives and 35% from the subsample of foreign company executives, felt that economic reform in China could best be described as “No progress or going backwards” in 2016 (see Figure 11).
It is not entirely surprising that the effects of economic reform policies were not strongly felt in 2016. In recent years, there has been high uncertainty surrounding the effects of economic reform policies as perceived by the executives. In the 2015 Survey, we asked the question "Do you think your company will benefit in the future from current reform policies?" Among the 731 executives who answered this question, 43% of Chinese company executives and 57% of foreign company executives said that they were not sure (see Figure 12).
Getting the Policy Priority Right
The type of economic reform longed for by the executives is supply-side reform. In the 2015 Survey, we asked a follow-up question to the 136 company executives who said that they had already benefited from new reform policies implemented in 2014. Their answers reveal the areas of reforms that companies prefer. For the 99 Chinese company executives who answered the question, 28% listed “reduction of administrative regulation” as the number one benefit from new reform policies implemented in 2014. For the 37 foreign company executives who answered the question, 41% listed “increase of market openness” as the number one benefit (see Figure 13). These answers indicate that companies prefer supply-side reform policies that increase the role of the market and decrease the role of the government.
As discussed earlier, China’s policymakers face a difficult choice between promoting structural reform whose effects are usually not immediately felt, and maintaining middle-high economic growth which is a mandate for the Chinese government in order to fulfil its promise to the Chinese people of doubling their real income in ten years (from 2010 to 2020). In the second half of 2015, pressed by the rising pace of economic slowdown, the Chinese government’s policy priority shifted towards short-term stimulus, notably in the real-estate market, which led to a significant increase of the already-high housing prices in late 2015 and in early 2016. As the skyrocketing housing prices looked to threaten economic and social stability, the Chinese government reversed the policy direction and introduced strong administrative methods to cool down the real estate market in mid 2016. Thus people in China had a rollercoaster experience in 2015-2016 in the real estate market.

In the 2017 Survey, we asked the question “How is your company affected by the roller-coaster changes of China’s real estate market?” Out of the 1,290 executives who answered this question, 35% claimed that their companies were negatively affected, compared to only 8% of executives who claimed that their companies were positively affected (see Figure 14). In addition, we find that the real-estate policy’s effects, whether negative or positive, were more pronounced on Chinese companies than on foreign companies. Among Chinese company executives, 38% reported negative effects and 10% reported positive effects. By contrast, among foreign company executives, 31% reported negative effects and 5% reported positive effects (see Figure 15). Despite the percentage differences, it is clear that the roller-coaster changes of China’s real estate prices negatively affected most companies doing business in China.

![Figure 14 - How is your company affected by the roller-coaster changes of China's real estate market? (2017 survey)](chart)
Conclusion: Note and Caveat
We would like to end with a note and a caveat. First, the note: It is important to understand that it takes time for supply-side reform to be fully implemented, and hence our finding that reform effects were weakly felt by the executives surveyed in 2016 does not necessarily mean that reform policies are not effective. The perception of weak reform impact found in our survey may come partly from the slower-than-expected implementation of reform policies, and partly from the fact that some of the reform policy effects will take a longer time to be felt. Take the Shanghai FTZ as an example. There is no denying that some of the policies created in the Zone, such as Free Trade Bank Accounts, have been put on hold due to the changing macroeconomic environment both in and outside China. However, it is also true that some other reform policies created in the Zone, such as the “negative list” policy, are in the process of being tested and improved; and the fact that their effects are yet to be felt does not imply the ineffectiveness of these policies.

Second, the caveat: The sample of executives who participated in our survey has some unique features that may affect the interpretation of the results. The majority of our survey participants are alumni or students of China Europe International Business School, and most of them come from companies ranked high in their respective industries. Taking the 2017 Survey as an example, the companies of the surveyed executives are mostly in the mid- and high-end of their respective markets. In the sample of our 2017 Survey, 48% of the companies operate mainly in the premium segment of their business areas, another 48% in the middle segment, and only 4% in the low-end of their respective market. Moreover, 34% of the companies identify themselves as market leaders for their main business line and 43% consider themselves to be in the Top 5 of their main business line. Given this “upward bias” of the sample, one needs to interpret the results from the research more carefully. For example, the policy of “cutting excess capacity, reducing inventory, and deleveraging” may be implemented unevenly across different types of companies. It is possible that this policy has been applied more frequently in companies which are more poorly managed and thereby are targeted by the policy. If this is the case, then our result that companies did not feel much effect from this policy warrants a re-examination.
BUILDING A CLIMATE OF INNOVATION IN ORGANIZATIONS

Innovation has become a key factor for the success of Chinese businesses, and therefore for the prosperity of the country’s economy. Individual and team creativity can be fostered in organizations by creating an environment that is conducive to creativity and by implementing processes that enhance creativity skills and by exercising the right leadership style.

One of the questions that we have been asking since the beginning of our annual survey, seven years ago, deals with the most pressing challenges of doing business in China as well as the key success factors. The issue that has become the most critical in the past five years is fierce competition, increasing in importance year after year and taking the top position in the 2017 Survey. The competitive landscape has changed too. A few years ago, main competitors were international companies but now Chinese firms, specifically private firms, are the strongest competitors. At the same time, labor costs have been raising. In such scenario, one way to compete is by being more efficient and reducing costs. Many of the sampled Chinese companies reflect this new reality. In this year’s survey, cost control and operations efficiency have become the second most important success factor - five years ago it was absent from the Top 4 chart.

<table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese firms – Top 4 Success Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, a strategy focused mainly on reducing costs has its limits, as eventually margins erode and quality of products and services suffer. Another possible strategy is to innovate. Innovation allows companies to improve quality while also increasing efficiency. Innovation is not just launching new products and services, but also creating improved processes, or a combination of both approaches. The McKinsey Global Institute report “The China Effect on Global Innovation” published October 2015¹, suggests that China can change its role from an absorber of innovation from other countries to an innovation leader. The report indicates that China faces an urgent need to innovate. As the two previous sources of economic growth (labor force expansion and heavy capital investment) are losing importance, innovation becomes critical for future GDP growth. According to McKinsey projections, up to 50% of the GDP growth in 2020-25 will need to come from innovation.

¹ http://mckinseychina.com/the-china-effect-on-global-innovation/
According to the McKinsey report, China is already performing well in certain industries, mainly in those that are consumer-focused and efficiency driven. Some examples of consumer-focused industries are household appliances, smartphone manufacturing or Internet services. Solar-panel manufacturing or semiconductors are instances of efficiency driven industries. However, there are others industries where the potential for improvement is still great, mainly those in engineering and science-based activities. Some instances are medical devices, branded pharmaceuticals or biotechnology. Given the importance of innovation for the future of China and therefore the very survival of Chinese companies, the question now is how to foster innovation in organizations.

**Fostering innovation**

A common misconception is that creativity results from innate talent, that there a “lucky few” who are born creative. But in fact, research has shown that creativity is a teachable and learnable skill. It can be enhanced through hard work, adequate practice and processes, and an environment that nurtures creativity. In order to foster innovation, companies need three things: a climate for innovation processes and tools to guide innovation, and the right leadership.
1. A climate for innovation

Harvard professor Teresa Amabile has summarized the research in the field of creativity in a model that encapsulates what makes a person creative. The necessary components for an individual to produce creative ideas or products in a given domain are three: 1) Expertise, or knowledge, in the domain where the person is trying to innovate; 2) Creativity Skills, or thinking skills and attitudes that help us see new perspectives and take risks in solving problems; and 3) Motivation, or the desire to engage in a task because it is interesting or personally motivating, not only because it is required or because there is the potential for external rewards. The work environment affects all these components, especially task motivation. Therefore, certain work environments enhance creativity and innovation while others suppress it.

Considering the importance of innovation for Chinese companies, this year we introduced some questions to measure the climate for innovation in the organizations where they work. There are three organizational components that affect individual or team creativity: Management practices, Organizational motivation, and Resources. Management practices need to encourage employee freedom and a sense of control over their work, provide challenging work, encourage individual contributions and support open communication. Organizational motivation is an orientation towards innovation, a culture than encourages creativity and does not impede it through obstacles such as harsh criticism or internal politics. Resources need to be accessible to employees, including sufficient time, information and tools. It includes realistic workload that does not drown people in deadlines and pressure.

We selected the following questions from a questionnaire developed by Amabile that measures the three components mentioned above. Higher implementation of the practices covered in the questionnaire is associated with more innovation for a large database of organizations worldwide.


KEYS® to Creativity and Innovation
How does the work environment in your organization encourage employee initiative?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Always</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have the freedom to decide how I carry out my projects</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I work on projects that challenge me</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management encourages and supports work on new ideas</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is free and open communication within my workgroup</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People are encouraged to solve problems creatively</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The organization culture fosters creativity</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generally, I can get the resources I need for my work</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no unrealistic expectations or excessive pressure</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My organization is very creative</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a comparison of State-Owned companies, Chinese private companies and foreign firms (WFOEs), Chinese private firms have the highest scores when it comes to the three components of a climate that nurtures innovation (Management practices, Organizational motivation and Resources). SOEs show the lowest values. Access to appropriate resources, including the necessary time to work on innovative work is the lowest rated component for all companies. In terms of perceived creativity outcome (whether people believe they actually produce creative work), Chinese private firms and WFOEs are both somewhere, between “sometimes” and “often”. SOEs have a lower score.
The next set of graphs examine the components of a climate for innovation by industry. We observe that Technology & Telecommunication shows the highest scores for management practices that foster innovation, and organizational motivation to innovate. However, they are not the leading industry in terms of access to resources, maybe because the fast pace of the industry results in high pressure or unrealistic expectations, which is counterproductive to innovation. Industrials and Basic materials are the leading industries in terms of access to resources (which includes tangible resources like funds, facilities, but also time to work on the innovations).

Let us see now the second factor of the innovation equation.

2. Processes & tools that foster innovation

One successful method used for creative problem solving and innovation is Design Thinking. It is used by companies and organizations around the world as it is based in well proven tools and techniques to enhance personal and team creativity. The method combines a number of steps: looking at the problem at hand with open mind; researching and gaining fresh perspectives by reaching out to users and empathizing with them; using divergent thinking to ideate as many solutions to the problem as possible; using convergent thinking to narrow down on the most promising idea; rapid prototyping and testing; learning from successes and failures and iterating until goal is reached.

The d.school is an institute of design based at Stanford University that has as objective to spread the use of design thinking. It is one of the various institutions working to disseminate this creativity enhancing method throughout the world. The d.school partners with industries, researchers, non-profit and government organizations to tackle complex problems that require innovative thinking. Their model includes five steps in the innovation process:
CEIBS has created the eLab to bring the innovation to our students and disseminate innovation practices in China.

However, the model is not complete without the leader support.

3. The right leadership

Having the right person in the driving seat is fundamental in order to create a climate for innovation in which individuals and teams can tap into their full potential, Creative leaders allows followers to experiment, take risks and even fail as long as they learn from mistakes. Creative leaders set the context for their subordinates to express themselves. Creative leadership can be defined as⁴, “the ability to deliberate engage one’s imagination to define and guide a group toward a novel goal – a direction that is new for the group.” This requires a new leader’s mindset from telling to collaboration in which the leader breaks the barriers of hierarchy.

The combination of the right leader in an organization that has the right climate and offers the right tools for innovation can go a long way towards fostering innovation, which is so vital to the future of Chinese companies. However it will still not be an easy task to transform a traditional organization into an innovative one. But as Lao Zi said: a long journey starts with a small step.

---

SECTION 1
DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANIES PARTICIPATING

This section details the following information about the companies that have participated in the 7th edition of the CEIBS China Business Survey:

- Type of ownership (Chinese or foreign), business sector, industry and type of activity
- Location of Global Headquarters
- Degree of internationalization
- Number of employees in China and globally
- Revenue level in China
- Legal form in China
- Years of operation

The purpose of the section is to give a general overview of the type of companies that took part in the survey. This information helps clarify the scope of the survey and provides background to interpret survey results.
A total of 1,300 companies operating in China have participated in the 2017 edition of the CEIBS China Business Survey. This sample of businesses includes 843 (65%) Chinese owned companies (i.e. with 50% or more Chinese ownership)\(^5\) and 457 (35%) foreign-owned companies (i.e. with more than 50% foreign ownership).

In terms of business activity, the sample is well balanced with 48% of firms having their main activity in manufacturing and 52% in services. 75% of the total sample of firms are B2B companies, having other businesses as main clients, while 25% are B2C companies, offering products and services directly to consumers.

The industries represented are varied, topped by industrial products and services (346 firms, 27% of total sample), consumer goods and services (278 firms, 21%), Financials (206 firms, 16%), Technology and Telecommunications (132 firms, 10%), Healthcare (107 firms, 8%), Basic Materials like chemicals, forestry and mining (92 firms, 7%), and Energy (79 firms, 6%). However, we observe a considerably larger presence of financial companies within the Chinese-owned firms of our sample (21% versus 6% of foreign firms), a consequence of existing regulations limiting or constraining foreign investment in the sector. Foreign-owned firms are markedly more represented in “Industrials” with 32% of them vs. 24% of Chinese-owned firms in the industry.

In terms of market position, the firms surveyed operate mostly in the mid and high ends of the market. According to survey answers\(^6\), 525 firms (48%) in our sample operate mainly in the premium segment, another 524 (48%) in the middle segment and only 44 (4%) in the low-end of the market. Moreover, 377 companies (34%) identify themselves as market leaders for their main business line and 470 (43%) consider to be in the Top 5. When splitting by type of company ownership, we do observe a considerable difference in market positioning between the two groups with 70% of foreign companies in the sample in the premium segment vs. a lower 38% of Chinese-owned firms. Inversely, 57% of Chinese-owned firms operate in the middle segment of the market, while 28% of foreign-owned do so.

The location of their Global headquarters is shown in the following map:

\(^{5}\) Including Chinese controlled Joint Ventures
\(^{6}\) N= 1300
In 2016, participating companies had generated collective revenue of more than 880 billion RMB and were employing more than 9.5 million people in China alone.

Of participating companies, 60% of the Chinese-owned firms and 65% of the foreign-owned firms surveyed are considered large.

The sample of companies varies widely in size, ranging from companies with less than 10 employees in China to those with more than 50,000. Surveyed Chinese firms tend to be larger than foreign ones with, in average, 4,500 employees in China or more, compared to 2,800 employees or more for foreign-owned firms. Moreover, 20% of foreign-owned firms surveyed have less than 50 employees versus only 12% of Chinese firms.

According to China official definition companies with more than 300 million RMB are considered large.
37% of the Chinese owned firms in our sample have operations abroad too. And although 79% of foreign firms in our sample are international, there is a non-negligible 21% of foreign owned firms that only operate in China. These are foreign entrepreneurs who have started their business ventures in China.

Within the companies that operate both in China and abroad, foreign-owned ones are overall larger in their global operations than Chinese-owned firms. 53% of the surveyed foreign companies with international operations have more than 10,000 employees globally vs. 32% of their Chinese-owned counterparts. Chinese international firms in our survey fall mostly within the small and medium categories (21% with < 300 employees, 48% with 300-9,999)\(^8\).

\(^8\) In our survey, companies are classified in terms of number of employees as Small (<300 employees), Medium (300-10,000) and Large (>10,000)
46% of surveyed companies are Chinese privately owned or private-holding companies and 13% are state-owned or state-holding enterprises. Joint Ventures (JV) constitute 9% of the sample, with different levels of Chinese and foreign ownership. Most of the foreign-owned companies are Wholly Foreign Owned Enterprises (WFOE, 28% of total sample), with Representative Offices (RO) and Branches of foreign companies making up the rest.
Most of Chinese private companies in our sample, 91%, have been established after 1990 following the economic reforms initiated by Deng Xiaoping in the late 1980s and early 1990s, which triggered a remarkable growth of the private sector. In contrast, 44% of the SOE in our sample were established before 1990.
This section presents four Indices:

- Two Business Performance Indices that measure performance variation compared to the previous year, and expected performance for the next year. They are based on sales revenue and profit growth, realized and expected.
- Two Business Confidence Indices give reading on the optimism and confidence in business results declared by respondents.

Business Performance Indices are:

- Current Performance Index (CPI)
- Expected Performance Index (EPI)

These two directional indices were introduced in the 2013 report to measure variation both in current and expected performance of the surveyed companies. Each index (ranging from 0 to 100) is constructed similarly to the well-known Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI): an index reading of 50 means that performance is unchanged compared to previous year, a number over 50 indicates an improvement while anything below 50 suggests a decline. The further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the
change over the period. The indices are based on multiple choice questions with 5 possible answers.²

**Business Confidence Indices** are:
- Business Confidence Index, for next year
- Business Confidence Index, for the next 5 years

The Business Confidence Index is a measure of the optimism stated by executives in our sample with respect to the evolution of their businesses for the next year (2017) and the next 5 years (2017 to 2021). These 2 indices have been part of the CEIBS Business in China Survey since its inception 6 years ago, which allows us to start recognizing emerging trends as well as current values.

The reading ranges from 0 to 10 (0 = Absolutely Not Confident, 3 = Not Confident, 5 = Neutral, 7 = Confident, 10 = Extremely Confident). The executives in our survey are asked to state their confidence level in the short term (next year) and in the medium term (next 5 years).

² \( \text{INDEX} = (P1 \times 1) + (P2 \times 0.75) + (P3 \times 0.5) + (P4 \times 0.25) + (P5 \times 0) \)

- \( P1 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported a substantial improvement.
- \( P2 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported an improvement.
- \( P3 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported no change.
- \( P4 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported a deterioration.
- \( P5 \) = Percentage number of answers that reported a substantial deterioration.
2.1. CURRENT PERFORMANCE INDEX – CPI


The CPI Index is calculated as a composite of 2 survey questions: Revenue and Profit Level. Each variable is attributed the same weighting. An index above 50 indicates performance improvement vs. the previous year, the further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the change over the period. Performance is based on both revenue and profit evolution in 2016.

2016 Current Performance Indices (CPIs) are higher than 50 for both sampled Chinese and foreign firms, reflecting better business results in 2016 than in 2015. Moreover, their indices are higher than the previous year, 2015. While 2015 had shown a slight slump in growth of profits and revenues when compared to previous years, 2016 seems to have brought a recovery and are back to where they were in 2014. Current Performance Index for 2016 is 69 for Chinese companies and 65 for foreign companies, versus last year’s indices of 63 and 59 respectively. Chinese owned companies in our sample reflect slightly better results than foreign ones.

![Figure 28 - Current Performance Index](image)

Given that the CPI integrates sales and profits results into one index, we can explore both business indicators separately to better understand the growth in 2016.

Sales:
Figures 21 and 22 reflect the answers of our sample to the question “How do you expect your company’s China sales compared to last year?” as asked in 2016 and 2015. We observe that 69% of Chinese firms reported higher or substantially higher sales for 2016, vs. a lower 60% in 2015. Within foreign firms, 56% of our sample reports sales growth in 2016 and only 11% experience decrease in sales vs. 22% in 2015.
Profits:
In our overall sample, 76% of the companies declared being profitable or very profitable in 2016, while 9% incurred losses, a situation improved versus last year’s survey in which 13% of them declared losses. Figure 23 reflects the profit situation of our sample in 2016, separating the results of Chinese and foreign firms.

In terms of industry, Healthcare and Basic Materials sectors report the largest percentage of firms profitable or very profitable in 2016, 87% and 85% respectively. Financials (79%), Consumer (76%) and Industrials (76%) follow closely. However, in what refers to improvement versus 2015, Healthcare, Industrials and Technology firms in our sample report the biggest jump in percentage of profitable firms with 87%, 76% and 67% of them experiencing profits in 2016 vs. lower 76%, 47% and 59% respectively in 2015.
Figure 32 - 2017 Survey: How profitable do you consider your China operation in 2016? Profitable or very profitable - by industry

Figure 33 - 2016 Survey: How profitable do you consider your China operation in 2015? Profitable or very profitable - by industry
2.2. EXPECTED PERFORMANCE INDEX – EPI

Growth expectation for 2017 stronger than what was expected for 2016 in last year’s edition of the survey.

A majority of the companies surveyed are optimistic for 2017, and more than what they were for 2016 in last year’s survey. The Expected Performance Index (EPI) amounts to 76 (Chinese companies) and 69 (foreign companies), reflecting an expected growth for 2017 for both types of companies. These EPI values are higher than those declared in last year’s survey (70 for Chinese companies and 64 for foreign companies) and are back at the levels the 2015 edition of the survey.

The EPI is calculated as a composite of 2 survey questions: Revenue and Profit Level expectations for 2017. Each variable is attributed the same weighting.

When we look at planned investments in China for 2017, which are closely related to growth expectations, optimism is observed especially in foreign firms. This redresses the trend in foreign firms to keep stable level of investments seen in the past four editions of the survey. Chinese firms show relative stability in their intention to increase investments in China compared to previous years (67% in 2013, 71% in 2014, 73% in 2015, 72% in 2016, 72% in 2017).

\[\text{An index above 50 indicates expected growth in sales and profit, the further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the change over the period.}\]
**SECTION 2: BUSINESS INDICES**

**Figure 35 - Foreign Firms: What Investments Do You Plan for the Next Year in China?**

- Decrease or close operations: 2013 Survey - Foreign firms: 2%, 2015 Survey - Foreign firms: 2%, 2017 Survey - Foreign firms: 4%
- Stay the same: 2014 Survey - Foreign firms: 38%, 2016 Survey - Foreign firms: 48%

**Figure 36 - Chinese Firms: What Investments Do You Plan for the Next Year in China?**

2.3. BUSINESS CONFIDENCE INDICES – BCI

Confidence in the next year goes up to levels not seen since 2012. Confidence in the next five years stays stable.

The annual survey provides two confidence indices based on the question, “How confident are you that your operations in China will be successful in the next year and in the next 5 years?” The scale is from 0 (no confidence at all) to 10 (maximum confidence).

The current issue reveals very similar levels of business confidence for Chinese and foreign firms as both claim to be close to “confident” that their operations in China will be successful in 2017 (confidence index of 6.6). Nevertheless, Chinese firms show slightly more enthusiasm for the next 5 years with an index of 6.9 vs. 6.7 for non-Chinese firms.

Confidence for the next year: When examining the seven year trend we remark that in the past five years, the value of the one-year confidence index had been moving down and reached its lowest level in 2016 at 6.2 for both Chinese and foreign companies. This year’s survey shows a reversal in this trend with confidence level picking up to 6.6, the level of the 2012 edition of the survey.

Confidence for the next five years: We notice that the five-year confidence of executives continues the timid recovery started last year, after a four-year downward trend in confidence recorded from 2012 to 2015 editions (Figure XX). This may be a sign of perceived consolidation of the “new normal” stage in the Chinese economy together with optimism brought by the improved performance of sampled companies in 2016, as described in Section 2.1 of this report.
Analyzing by industry, we notice that companies in Healthcare exhibit the most confidence in the next year and the next five year (7.0 and 7.3 respectively). Comparing to last year's survey, Basic Materials and Industrials exhibit the biggest jump in confidence in their results for the next year, changing from 5.7 and 5.8 respective in last year’s edition of the survey to greatly improved 6.5 and 6.6 confidence levels this year.
SECTION 3

CHALLENGES AND SUCCESS FACTORS

This section details the difficulties encountered in China by the firms in our sample and the keys to their success:

- External Challenges
- Internal Challenges
- Success Factors

The purpose of this section is to identify the main challenges faced in China by the companies surveyed, explore the relevant differences in the difficulties faced by Chinese companies compared to foreign companies, and learn how the companies in our survey deal with these challenges.
3.1. EXTERNAL CHALLENGES

The fierce competition in China’s business environment has become the most pressing external challenge for our sample of firms when compared to five years ago. Rising labor costs, economy slowdown in China and struggles with the government and legal environment remain in the Top 4 of external challenges.

We compare the evolution of the Top 4 External Challenges for both Chinese and foreign companies in the last five years. For both Chinese and foreign companies in our sample, “Fierce Competition” has become the number one concern surpassing “Rising labor costs” and “Economy slowdown in China”, which were the most pressing issue of Chinese and foreign firms five years ago. This phenomenon reflects the fundamental changes that the Chinese economy and business landscape have experienced over the past half-decade.

Despite this change in the most pressing issue, the Top 4 External Challenges remain unchanged for both Chinese and foreign firms in the last 5 years: “Fierce competition”, “Rising labor costs” “Slowdown of Chinese economy” and “Government and legal environment”.

---

**Chinese firms – Top 4 External Challenges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Survey</th>
<th>2013 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Fierce competition (63%)</td>
<td>Rising labor cost (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rising labor cost (62%)</td>
<td>Fierce competition (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Economy slowdown in China (55%)</td>
<td>Economy slowdown in China (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Government &amp; Legal environment (39%)</td>
<td>Government &amp; Legal environment (34%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Foreign firms – Top 4 External Challenges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Survey</th>
<th>2013 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Fierce competition (69%)</td>
<td>Economy slowdown in China (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Economy slowdown in China (60%)</td>
<td>Fierce competition (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rising labor cost (53%)</td>
<td>Rising labor cost (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Government &amp; Legal environment (34%)</td>
<td>Slow global economy (36%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 41 - 2017 Survey: What are the greatest external challenges facing your company in China?**

![Bar chart showing external challenges faced by Chinese and foreign firms in 2017 survey.](chart.png)
3.2. INTERNAL CHALLENGES

Three top internal challenges are shared by both Chinese and foreign owned firms and remain unchanged from previous years’ polls: Finding and retaining talent, innovation, and marketing capabilities.

The Top 3 internal challenges of Chinese and foreign firms in our sample remain unchanged over the last five years: “Finding and retaining talent”, “Innovation Capability”, and “Marketing Capability”. However, both innovating and marketing capability appear to be more critical skills in 2017 than they were in 2013. This is consistent with the changes experienced by the Chinese economy. When the economy matures and competition heats up, coming up with innovative products and services and effective marketing tactics increase in importance.

The 4th challenge on the top list differs for both groups. “Corporate governance” is a concern relatively more prevalent in Chinese firms with 40% of the executives working them selecting it vs. a lower 30% of those working for foreign companies. This effect was already observed five years ago, in 2013. On the other hand, for executives working for foreign-owned companies “Support from Head Office” is one of the top issues more frequently mentioned, cited by 34% of them and this is also consistent with previous polls (vs. a much lower 9% of Chinese firms) and with the physical and cultural distance with their global headquarters.

### Chinese firms – Top 4 Internal Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Survey</th>
<th>2013 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Finding and retaining talent (65%)</td>
<td>Finding and retaining talent (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Innovation capability (60%)</td>
<td>Innovation capability (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Marketing capability (47%)</td>
<td>Marketing capability (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Corporate governance (40%)</td>
<td>Corporate governance (36%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Foreign firms – Top 4 Internal Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Survey</th>
<th>2013 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Finding and retaining talent (62%)</td>
<td>Finding and retaining talent (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Innovation capability (53%)</td>
<td>Innovation capability (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Marketing capability (45%)</td>
<td>Marketing capability (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Support from Head Office (34%)</td>
<td>Support from Head Office (29%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 42 - 2017 SURVEY: WHAT ARE THE GREATEST INTERNAL CHALLENGES FACING YOUR COMPANY IN CHINA?**
A group-specific challenge is “Finance related difficulties” which worries 26% of the Chinese private firms vs. a much lower 13% of SOEs and 13% of foreign firms.
3.3. SUCCESS FACTORS

Product and service quality and the ability to control costs are key success factors in the current economy.

Both executives from Chinese and foreign-owned companies in our sample believe that success in China is linked to product and service quality superiority coupled with cost control and operations efficiency. Interestingly, the ability to control costs was not in the Top 4 of challenges five years ago. The fierce competition in the current economy forces companies in China to be careful with their operating expenses if they want to survive and be profitable.

Brand awareness and creation is a top concern for foreign companies pooled (40% cite it as key factor), but less so for their Chinese counterparts (27%). Soft factors such as company culture & values and quality of the management team also make the Top 4 Success Factors in the 2017 survey. “Employee selection and training”, although not in the Top 4 list, stands out as a factor more frequently considered key to success by foreign companies than by Chinese companies, 24% of foreign firms vs. 16% of Chinese firms.

### Chinese firms – Top 4 Success Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Survey</th>
<th>2013 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Quality of the products/services (51%)</td>
<td>Quality of the products/services (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Cost control, operations efficiency (40%)</td>
<td>Quality of the management team (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Quality of the management team (33%)</td>
<td>Strong company culture &amp; values (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Strong company culture &amp; values (33%)</td>
<td>R&amp;D and product innovation (41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Foreign firms – Top 4 Success Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2017 Survey</th>
<th>2013 Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Quality of the products/services (58%)</td>
<td>Quality of the products/services (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Brand and awareness creation (40%)</td>
<td>Quality of the management team (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cost control, operations efficiency (38%)</td>
<td>Strong company culture &amp; values (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Strong company culture &amp; values (35%)</td>
<td>Brand and awareness creation (45%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When analyzing success factors by type of industry, some differences are revealed: According to our sample of surveyed companies, cost control and operations efficiency is considered a success factor more often for companies operating in mature sectors like Basic Materials, Industrials and Consumers (48%, 47% and 46% of them, respectively). In contrast, more recently established sectors, like Financials and Healthcare, seem to rely less often in operation efficiency as key to their success (24% and 30%, respectively).

Healthcare, Energy, Basic Materials and Technology and Telecommunications firms in our sample rely in R&D and Product Innovation more often than firms in other sectors, with 48%, 48%, 47% and 45% of them choosing it as a success factor. This contrasts with a much lower 18% of companies in the financial sector emphasizing the role of innovation in their success.

The internet is revolutionizing the way business is conducted in China and around the world. However, our sample reveals that for the time being, its effect in China is stronger in some industries than for others. Firms in Technology and Telecommunications sectors seem to rely on internet based business models more often than firms in other sectors: 28% of sampled firms for Technology & Telecom vs. 1% for Energy and 3% for Basic Materials and Industrials.
This section gives an overview of the following topics:

- **Human Resources**
  The chapter covers the top HR issues that companies in our sample face in China. It also gives an in-depth commentary on what the executives in our sample think are the most effective measures to retain employees.

- **Sales and Marketing**
  This chapter describes the competitive landscape and includes an overview of the market segments where companies in our sample operate. It also details which are the most effective sales and marketing strategies for the companies in our sample.

- **Research & Development**
  This chapter presents a summary of main learning’s on R&D trends from our sample of surveyed companies.

- **Government and Legal Environment**
  This chapter discusses the perceived important of establishing relationships with the Chinese government as well as polled executives’ outlook on corruption in their industries and in general.
4.1. HUMAN RESOURCES

Labor force increased in 2016 for 55% of the sample while it stayed stable or decreased for the other 45%. Chinese SOE’s and firms in rapidly growing sectors (Healthcare, Financials and Technology & Telecom) lead the workforce growth. Finding talent and rising labor costs are cited as the top HR issues for managing businesses in China. Offering a good career path and developing a feeling of belonging to the company are cited most often as successful strategies to retain employees.

4.1.1. Change in labor force, employee turnover and salary increase

In line with the overall positive business performance experienced by our sample of firms in 2016 (see Section 2.1 of this report), a large proportion of the surveyed companies increased their labor force in 2016: 62% of Chinese SOE, 59% of Chinese private and 46% of foreign firms. Chinese SOEs are the companies sampled showing overall lower levels of salary increase and employee turnover in 2016.

The transformation of the Chinese economy from heavy industry and manufacturing to a more modern one with a higher representation of services and technologies can be witnessed in our sample of surveyed companies. Analyzing the data by industry, we discover that it is Healthcare, Financials and Technology & Telecom firms that have proportionally increased their in labor force the most in 2016, with 70%, 66% and 59% of them expanding in number of employees. This compares to a significantly lower 46% for companies in the industrial sector. Also in line with the economy transformation of China, we note 61% of firms dealing with services increased labor force in 2015 compared to a lower 49% of firms in the manufacturing side of their industries.
4.1.2. Top Human Resources issues in China

Consistent with previous years, “Finding and hiring suitable talent”, “Rising labor costs” and “Generating commitment and loyalty” are the top HR concerns for surveyed Chinese and foreign companies. The rapid growth of Chinese private firm results in them struggling relatively more than SOEs and foreign firms with “Finding and hiring suitable talent” (86% of Chinese private firms, vs. 75% of SOEs and 80% of foreign firms declare issues in hiring suitable talent). Healthcare, Consumer and Technology & Telecommunications have encountered the most problems finding fitting talent with 86% and 85% and 85% of them reporting staffing to be a key HR issue in 2015. Industrials and Healthcare declare to struggle more often with the issue of rising labor costs (79% and 73% of them, respectively).
The most cited causes why sampled companies lose employees are them leaving to take care of their family, start their own businesses or work for other Chinese private companies. Foreign-owned firms (WFOEs) lose their employees also to other foreign firms although this happens less often to Chinese owned firms. On the other hand, Chinese SOEs lose employees to Chinese private companies more often than WFOEs and Chinese private companies.

**Figure 51 - By Company Type: Which Are the Major Human Resources Issues Facing Your Company in China?**

**Figure 52 - By Industry: Which Are the Major Human Resources Issues Facing Your Company in China?**
4.1.3. Most effective measures to retain employees

In terms of most efficient measures to retain employees, “Offering good career path” is cited as most efficient measure by 60% of the total sample. It is closely followed by “Develop a feeling of belonging to the company” (56% of the sample). Both sets of measures come before salary as “Pay above market” is the third most mentioned measured cited by 44% of sampled companies.

We observe differences in the relative weight of some of the strategies between types of firms. A larger proportion of Chinese private firms favor compensation related measures when compared to SOEs or foreign firms. Offering good career path is favored more often by SOEs than by Chinese private firms or WFOEs. The use of stock plans to retain employees, even if less frequent, is more successful amongst Chinese private firms (40% of Chinese private firms, 26% of SOEs and 11% of WFOEs). On the other hand, foreign firms put more emphasis on company reputation and keeping a good relationship with the boss.
FIGURE 55 - BY COMPANY TYPE: WHAT MEASURES DO YOU FIND MOST EFFICIENT IN RETAINING EMPLOYEES?

- Career path
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Chinese Private (N=532) - SOE (N=121) - WFOE (N=244)
4.2. SALES AND MARKETING

4.2.1 Competition

85% of Chinese firms and 89% of foreign firms polled consider the competition in China to be intense or very intense, which is consistent with competition being the most pressing external challenge faced by our sample of companies (Section 3.1).

A majority of respondents cited their main competitors to be Chinese private enterprises (80% of Chinese firms and 72% of foreign firms). Chinese-owned respondents cite state-owned enterprises as a distant second (42%). In contrast, foreign companies measure themselves also amongst each other, citing WFOE (59%) as their second major type of competitor. These results are consistent with previous surveys.

The top strengths of foreign firms according to their Chinese competitors are related to their capability to create brands, the superiority of their products and their technology. In contrast, foreign-owned firms consider that their main weaknesses vis-à-vis their Chinese competitors lay in Chinese firms’ superiority in “Cost advantages”, “Price”, and “Relationships with Government and other guanxi”.

“Unethical behavior” is seen by 29% of polled executives working for foreign-firms as a competitive advantage of Chinese firms. On the contrary, a much lower 1% of executives in Chinese firms mention “Unethical behavior” as strength of their foreign competitors. This difference may be due to the sometimes stricter environmental control policies that foreign firms apply in their production sites, thus increasing their costs.

“Local knowledge and reach” is seen as a competitive advantage of Chinese firms by 28% of polled executives working for foreign-firms while only 8% of those in Chinese firms mention it as a capability of their foreign competitors.

![Figure 56 - How intense is the competition you are facing in China?](image)
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FIGURE 57 - WHO ARE YOUR MAIN COMPETITORS IN CHINA? MULTIPLE ANSWERS

FIGURE 58 - BY INDUSTRY - HOW INTENSE IS THE COMPETITION YOU ARE FACING IN CHINA?

FIGURE 59 - WHAT ARE THE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF YOUR MAIN FOREIGN COMPETITORS IN CHINA? MULTIPLE ANSWERS

FIGURE 60 - WHAT ARE THE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF YOUR MAIN CHINESE COMPETITORS IN CHINA? MULTIPLE ANSWERS
4.2.2. Market segments and mobility
Our sample of companies operates mainly in the premium and middle segments with 48% and 48% of companies respectively, and only a small 4% in the low-end. The foreign firms sample is more skewed towards high end markets than the Chinese sample: 70% of foreign firms declare to operate in the premium market vs. 38% Chinese firms.

In terms of segment mobility we see that even if a majority of companies plan to grow future sales within their existing segment, there is still a significant amount of companies that plan to grow outside of their current segment. This trend is stronger for companies in the low and middle segments where 48% and 32% of them, respectively, plan to move up into the next segment. For companies currently operating in the premium segment, a smaller proportion (22%) of them wants to expand down into the middle segment.

4.2.3. Marketing, Sales and Distribution strategies
Marketing & Sales spending: There is no significant difference in marketing and sales spending for Chinese owned and foreign-owned firms or in their spending intention for the coming year. However, we remark important differences between B2C and B2B companies with the former dedicating more important budgets to marketing and sales activities. These results are consistent with previous edition of this survey not surprising given the high cost in China of advertising and promotion activities directed to the consumer.

When analyzing marketing investments by sector, the industries with larger percentage of players declaring marketing investments of above 10% of revenues are Healthcare, Technology & Telecommunications and Consumer goods or services. In contrast, 60% of respondents working in Basic Materials and 45% of those in Industrials claim to spend in marketing & sales activities below 5% of their revenues. Companies operating in the medium and low-end segments of the market tend to have larger marketing & sales spending than those in the premium sectors.

Sales strategies: B2B companies in our sample emphasize providing service and high quality above other factors. However, executives in B2C companies consider their distribution network most often as a key success factor for their sales.
**SECTION 4: FOCUS BY AREA**

**Marketing strategies:** Most cited marketing expenditures for B2B companies in our sample are visits to clients or prospective clients, followed distantly by organizing seminars and attending conferences. In contrast, B2C firms favor mostly social media, internet marketing and traditional advertising. When trying to understand more about main users of social media as a marketing tool, we see that 56% of sampled low-end companies rely on it (amongst other marketing tools), versus a lower 33% of companies in the middle segment and 27% of those operating in the higher end of the market.

**Distribution network:** 21% of the total sample considers their distribution network in China to be bad or very bad, 49% consider it sufficient and 30% of them label it efficient or very efficient. More firms in B2C label are satisfied with their distribution system than firms in B2B. Companies operating in the low-end segment appear to be most satisfied with their distribution system (49% of them describe it as efficient or very efficient vs. lower 26% of companies in the middle segment and 32% of those in the premium segment).

Companies using the internet as sales channel report higher levels of satisfaction than those keeping traditional channels with 35% of them claiming to be satisfied or very satisfied with their distribution network vs. 26% of companies without internet sales.

**Figure 63 - By B2B/B2C: What % of Yearly Revenues Do You Spend in Marketing & Sales?**

**Figure 64 - By Industry - What % of Yearly Revenues Do You Spend in Marketing & Sales?**
Figure 65 - By Market Segment - What % of Yearly Revenues Do You Spend in Marketing & Sales?

Figure 66 - By B2B/B2C: Please Choose the Most Important Factors on the Success of Your Sales in China. Multiple Answers Possible

Figure 67 - By B2B/B2C: Which Marketing Activities Are Most Effective for Your Main Business?
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FIGURE 68 - BY MARKET SEGMENT - WHICH MARKETING ACTIVITIES ARE MOST EFFECTIVE FOR YOUR MAIN BUSINESS?

FIGURE 69 - HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR COMPANY DISTRIBUTION NETWORK IN CHINA?

FIGURE 70 - BY B2B/B2C - HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR COMPANY DISTRIBUTION NETWORK IN CHINA?
Figure 71 - By Market Segment - How do you evaluate your company distribution network in China?

Figure 72 - Internet Sales Yes/No - How do you evaluate your company distribution network in China?

Figure 73 - By Industry - How do you evaluate your company distribution network in China?
4.3. RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Chinese and foreign executives who participated in this year’s survey report high levels of innovation for their companies as 67% of them have introduced new products or services in 2016. More Chinese firms declare introducing new management techniques than foreign ones (63% vs. a lower 44% of foreign firms). Service improvements, introduction of e-business and process improvements have been popular within our sample too.

Levels of R&D investment vary for Chinese and foreign companies in our sample with Chinese firms leading in large investments (above 10% of revenues). This tendency appears to be consolidating further as 60% of executives working for Chinese firms declare intention of their company to increase R&D spending in the next three years vs. a significantly lower 48% of executives working for foreign firms. Within Chinese companies, it is private firms that take the lead in intention to expand R&D investment.

When we explore R&D investment by industry in our sample we observe that Technology & Telecommunication have the highest percentage of “big R&D spenders”, or firms that devote more than 5% of their sales revenues to R&D (65% of them), closely followed by Healthcare (56% of them). In contrast, lower 15% of Basic materials companies declare such high R&D investments.

FIGURE 74 - WHAT TYPES OF INNOVATIONS HAVE YOU INTRODUCED IN 2016?
Figure 75 - What is your annual expenditure in R&D as a % of revenues?

Figure 76 - What are your plans for the next 3 years?

Figure 77 - By type - What are your plans for the next 3 years?

Figure 78 - By industry - Have you introduced new products or services in 2016?
FIGURE 79 - ZOOM BY INDUSTRY: ANNUAL R&D EXPENDITURE ABOVE 5% OF SALES REVENUES
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4.4. GOVERNMENT & LEGAL ENVIRONMENT

4.4.1. Government relationships
70% of the sample executives view the relationship with the Chinese authorities as important or very important to their businesses, regardless of whether they work for a Chinese or foreign firm. However, it is Chinese state-owned firms that emphasize most the importance of this relationship, with 38% of them labeling it critical for business success.

When analyzing by industry, we observe different levels of importance of government relationships. Our polled sample would suggest that such relations may be more significant for companies operating in Healthcare, Financials or Energy, where 86%, 86% and 73% of their executives in our sample have labeled them important or critical.

![Figure 80 - How important are the relationships with the authorities?](image)

![Figure 81 - By industry how important are the relationships with the authorities?](image)
4.4.2. Corruption

The Corruption Improvement Index is based on the multiple choice question “In your view, how is corruption in your industry compared to last year?” and it is built in similar way to the well-known Purchasing Managers’ Index (PMI). A reading of 50 means that corruption is unchanged; a number over 50 indicates an improvement while anything below 50 suggests a worsening of the situation in the past year. The further away from 50 the index is, the stronger the improvement over the year.

After four years observing the Corruption Improvement Index get better year after year, 2016 shows a slight deterioration. The index is still larger than 50, meaning that our sample perceives an improvement in the corruption situation in 2016 vs. 2015. However, its value is smaller than what it was in 2015.

An interesting phenomenon that we have been observing in all editions of this survey is that respondents perceive corruption in China as being less acute when asked about one’s own industry than when asked about the country in general. When we ask our sample about general corruption in China, a large majority (68% of respondents) view corruption in China to be a problem (moderate to serious). However, when asked about corruption in their industry, the number of respondents seeing it as a problem drops to 35%. This circumstance is observed with respondents from all types of companies (Chinese private-owned firms, WFOEs and Joint Ventures), and across industries.

Different industries seem to perceive different levels of corruption. Of the executives surveyed, those working in Healthcare emphasize the existence of corruption in their industry much more often than others with 54% of them rating corruption in their industry as being a moderate or serious problem. Those working in Technology and Telecommunications seem to perceive corruption in their industries the least often with 76% of them considering corruption to be either nonexistent or a minor problem in their industry.

With respect to the legal status of the company, State-owned companies appear to perceive corruption as less problematic than those in the private sector. This same situation is observed whether asked about corruption in China in general or corruption in one’s own industry.
FIGURE 83 - IN YOUR VIEW, HOW SERIOUS IS CORRUPTION IN CHINA, IN GENERAL, AND IN YOUR INDUSTRY? N=1285

![Bar chart showing corruption levels by severity and comparison between general corruption and industry corruption.]

FIGURE 84 - BY COMPANY TYPE - IN YOUR VIEW, HOW SERIOUS IS CORRUPTION IN YOUR INDUSTRY?

![Bar chart showing corruption levels by company type: Chinese State-owned, Chinese Private, and WFOE.]

FIGURE 85 - BY INDUSTRY - IN YOUR VIEW, HOW SERIOUS IS CORRUPTION IN YOUR INDUSTRY?

![Bar chart showing corruption levels by industry sector.]
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